Barts Cancer Institute PGT Overall: Sem 1 & 2 2012/13

No. of responses = 194

Queen Mary
University of London

Survey Results

Legend

Question text

Relative Frequencies of answers   Std. Dev.   Mean   Median

Left pole — Right pole

Scale

Histogram

n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index


Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.


1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught

Definitely agree — Definitely disagree

44% | 41.2% | 13.2% | 1.6% | 0%

n=182
av.=4.27
md=4
dev.=0.75

1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance

Definitely agree — Definitely disagree

33.7% | 43.3% | 15.2% | 5.6% | 2.2%

n=178
av.=4.01
md=4
dev.=0.96

1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module

Definitely agree — Definitely disagree

36.9% | 35.2% | 21% | 5.1% | 1.7%

n=176
av.=4.01
md=4
dev.=0.97

1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module

Definitely agree — Definitely disagree

32.4% | 44.1% | 15.6% | 5.6% | 2.2%

n=179
av.=3.99
md=4
dev.=0.95

1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly

Definitely agree — Definitely disagree

42.1% | 38.8% | 13.5% | 4.5% | 1.1%

n=178
av.=4.16
md=4
dev.=0.9

1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module

Definitely agree — Definitely disagree

30.6% | 44.4% | 21.1% | 2.8% | 1.1%

n=180
av.=4.01
md=4
dev.=0.86

1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree — Definitely disagree

41.2% | 42.4% | 12.4% | 2.3% | 1.7%

n=177
av.=4.19
md=4
dev.=0.86