Department of Law UG Taught Overall: Sem 1 & 2 2012/13

Queen Mary
University of London

No. of responses = 1579

Survey Results

Legend

Question text

Relative Frequencies of answers | Std. Dev. | Mean | Median

n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Left pole | Right pole

Scale | Histogram

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught

Definitely agree | Definitely disagree

33.1% 46.4% 14.2% 5.2% 1.1%

n=1576
av.=4.05
md=4
dev.=0.88

1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance

Definitely agree | Definitely disagree

15.5% 34.9% 31.3% 15.9% 2.4%

n=1571
av.=3.45
md=4
dev.=1.01

1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module

Definitely agree | Definitely disagree

18.3% 37.8% 31.6% 9.6% 2.6%

n=1565
av.=3.59
md=4
dev.=0.98

1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module

Definitely agree | Definitely disagree

23.1% 39.3% 26.6% 8.6% 2.5%

n=1569
av.=3.72
md=4
dev.=0.99

1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly

Definitely agree | Definitely disagree

35% 41.8% 15.5% 6.3% 1.5%

n=1576
av.=4.02
md=4
dev.=0.94

1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module

Definitely agree | Definitely disagree

36.3% 41.8% 16.3% 4.4% 1.2%

n=1572
av.=4.08
md=4
dev.=0.9

1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree | Definitely disagree

30.1% 43.4% 18.6% 6.2% 1.7%

n=1574
av.=3.94
md=4
dev.=0.94