Economics and Finance PGT Overall: Sem 1 & 2 2012/13

No. of responses = 2748

Queen Mary
University of London

Survey Results

Legend

Question text

Relative Frequencies of answers | Std. Dev. | Mean | Median

Left pole — 25% 0% 50% 0% 25% — Right pole

Scale: 5 4 3 2 1

Histogram

n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

**Description of quality symbol**

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught

Definitely agree | 32% 43.6% 18% 5.3% 1.2% | Definitely disagree
Scale: 5 4 3 2 1
n=2613
av.=4
md=4
dev.=0.9

1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance

Definitely agree | 32% 41.4% 20.6% 5% 1% | Definitely disagree
Scale: 5 4 3 2 1
n=2565
av.=3.98
md=4
dev.=0.9

1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module

Definitely agree | 24.5% 38.1% 29.2% 6.9% 1.3% | Definitely disagree
Scale: 5 4 3 2 1
n=2589
av.=3.78
md=4
dev.=0.94

1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module

Definitely agree | 26.6% 40.4% 25.6% 6.2% 1.1% | Definitely disagree
Scale: 5 4 3 2 1
n=2566
av.=3.85
md=4
dev.=0.92

1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly

Definitely agree | 32.7% 41.6% 18.1% 6.1% 1.5% | Definitely disagree
Scale: 5 4 3 2 1
n=2603
av.=3.98
md=4
dev.=0.94

1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module

Definitely agree | 31.7% 40.5% 20.8% 5.5% 1.5% | Definitely disagree
Scale: 5 4 3 2 1
n=2580
av.=3.96
md=4
dev.=0.94

1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree | 30.2% 42.9% 19.2% 6.3% 1.5% | Definitely disagree
Scale: 5 4 3 2 1
n=2534
av.=3.94
md=4
dev.=0.94