## SEMS UG Taught Overall: Sem 1 & 2 2012/12 Queen Mary No. of responses = 2984 University of London

## Survey Results

## Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Median Mean 0% 0% n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Question text Left pole Right pole Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Mean value is ac quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 46% 20.1% 27.6% 4 8% 1.1) The module is well taught n=2830 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=0.9 26.7% 37.5% 9.8% 2.8% n=2805 av.=3.68 md=4 dev.=1.02 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance ĕ 34.6% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=2807 av.=3.5 md=3 dev.=1.02 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ĕ 36.4% 31.1% 10.5% 2.1% 19.9% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=2763 av.=3.62 md=4 dev.=0.99 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module 42.2% 18.7% 5.2% The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=2803 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.98 md=4 dev.=0.94 Õ 24.5% 40.4% 25.4% 6.9% 2.9% I had access to good learning resources for the n=2780 Definitely disagree Definitely agree av.=3.77 md=4 dev.=0.99 module ĕ 23.8% 43.7% n=2768 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=0.94 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree 9 module ě