School of Engineering and Materials Science Computational Engineering (DEN401) No. of responses = 18 (36.73%)



		Survey Re	esults							
Legend Question text		swers Std. Dev. 25% pole	Mean 0% 509 4 3	Median 6 0% 2 Histogra	25% H		Right pole		n=No. of nd=Medi lev.=Std lb.=Abstr Quality in	an Dev. ention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is b quality guideline	elow the e.	Mean is toleranc guidelin	e for the	ne rang quality	e of	P N	lean value is w uality guideline	ithin th	e
1. Rate this module										
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree		68.8%	12.5% 3	0%	0%	Definitely disagree	ee	n=16 av.=4.06 md=4 dev.=0.57
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree		61.1%	22.2% 1 3	0%	0%	Definitely disagree	e 💽	n=18 av.=3.94 md=4 dev.=0.64
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree		31.3%	50%	2	0%	Definitely disagree	e 💽	n=16 av.=3.69 md=3.5 dev.=0.79
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	12.5%	50%	37.5%	0%	0%	Definitely disagree	e 💽	n=16 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=0.68
^{1.5)} The module is well organised an	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	40%	60%	0%	2	0%	Definitely disagr	e 💽	n=15 av.=4.4 md=4 dev.=0.51
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	25% 	50%	12.5%	12.5%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=16 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.96
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the q module	uality of the	Definitely agree		58.8%	23.5% H	0%	0%	Definitely disagro	e 💽	n=17 av.=3.94 md=4 dev.=0.66

School of Engineering and Materials Science Biomedical Engineering in Urology (DEN430) No. of responses = 10 (90.91%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Renewable Energy Sources (DEN438) No. of responses = 15 (51.72%)




		Survey R	esults						
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of a	nswers Std. Dev. 25% ft pole 5 Scale	Mean 0% 509 4 3	Median % 0% 2 Histogram	25%	Right pole		r.=Mear d=Medi ev.=Std o.=Abst	an . Dev. ention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelir	below the ne.	Mean is toleranc guidelin	e for the c	e range of quality		۵ Mean value is wii quality guideline.	hin th	
1. Rate this module									
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	6.7%	46.7% 33	3.3% 13.3%	0%	Definitely disagree	e I	n=15 av.=3.47 md=4 dev.=0.83
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	6.7%	20% 53	3.3% 6.7%	13.3%	Definitely disagree	•	n=15 av.=3 md=3 dev.=1.07
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	6.7%	20% 6	0% 6.7% 3 2	6.7%	Definitely disagree	e	n=15 av.=3.13 md=3 dev.=0.92
 ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module 	and support with	Definitely agree	6.7%		3 2 .7% 6.7% 3 2		Definitely disagree	e e	n=15 av.=3.47 md=3 dev.=0.74
^{1.5)} The module is well organised an	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree		28.6% 28			Definitely disagree	e •	n=14 av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=1
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning remodule	esources for the	Definitely agree		26.7% 4	3 2	6.7%	Definitely disagree	• •	n=15 av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=1.11
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the q module	uality of the	Definitely agree			3 2		Definitely disagree	• •	n=14 av.=3.5 md=4 dev.=0.94

School of Engineering and Materials Science

Advanced Flight Control and Simulation of Aerospace Vehicles (DENM001) No. of responses = 17 (94.44%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Computational Engineering (DENM004) No. of responses = 8 (40%)



		Survey R	esults							
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of a	nswers Std. Dev. 25% ft pole	Mean 0% 500 4 3	Med		6	Right pole	a r C	=No. of v.=Mean nd=Medi ev.=Std b.=Abst	an . Dev.
		Scale		Histog	Iram)uality ir	dex
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelir	below the ne.	Mean is tolerand guidelin	e for th	the ran le quali	ige of ty	P M	lean value is w uality guideline	ithin th	ie
1. Rate this module										
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	37.5%	62.5%	0%	2	0%	Definitely disagree	e	n=8 av.=4.38 md=4 dev.=0.52
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	57.1%	28.6%	14.3%	2	0%	Definitely disagree	e 💽	n=7 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.79
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	0%	57.1%	14.3%	28.6% 	0%	Definitely disagr	e 💽	n=7 av.=3.29 md=4 dev.=0.95
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	12.5%	50%	37.5%	2	0%	Definitely disagro	e 💽	n=8 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=0.71
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree		57.1%	14.3%	2	0%	Definitely disagree	e 💽	n=7 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.69
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	25% 	62.5%	12.5% 1 3	2	0%	Definitely disagro	e 💽	n=8 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.64
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the que module	uality of the	Definitely agree	37.5%	50%	12.5%	2	0%	Definitely disagree	e 💽	n=8 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.71

School of Engineering and Materials Science Mechanics of Continua (DENM008) No. of responses = 17 (65.38%)



		Survey R	esults						
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of a	th pole	Mean 0% 50%	Median	25%	Right pole	av m de	No. of -=Mear d=Medi v.=Std. .=Abste	an Dev.
		Scale	- Maan in	Histogram	6			uality in	
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelir	ie.	Mean is toleranc guidelin	e for the qu	ality	di E	lean value is wi uality guideline.	riiri uri	e
1. Rate this module									
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	23.5%	58.8% 11.8		0%	Definitely disagree		n=17 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.79
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree		52.9% 17.6 4 3		0%	Definitely disagree		n=17 av.=4.12 md=4 dev.=0.7
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree		41.2% 35.3		0%	Definitely disagree	e	n=17 av.=3.53 md=4 dev.=0.87
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	5.9%	64.7% 23.5 4 3		0%	Definitely disagree	e I	n=17 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=0.69
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree		47.1% 11.8		0%	Definitely disagree	e I	n=17 av.=3.94 md=4 dev.=0.97
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree		64.7% 11.8 4 3	2	0%	Definitely disagree	e	n=17 av.=4.12 md=4 dev.=0.6
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the que module	uality of the	Definitely agree	25%			0%	Definitely disagree	e – –	n=16 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.72

School of Engineering and Materials Science Advanced Environmental Engineering (DENM012) No. of responses = 7 (53.85%)



		Survey Re	esults						
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of an	nswers Std. Dev. 25% ft pole 5 Scale	Mean 0% 509 4 3	Media 6 0% 2 Histogr	25% H	Right po		n=No. of av.=Meai md=Medi dev.=Std ab.=Abst Quality ir	an . Dev. ention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelin	below the ne.	Mean is toleranc guidelin	e for the	he range e quality	of 🚺	Mean value is v quality guideline	vithin th e.	ie
1. Rate this module									
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	85.7%	14.3% H		2 1	Definitely disage	ee	n=7 av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.38
²⁾ The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree		28.6%		2 1	Definitely disage	ee	n=7 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.49
³⁾ I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree		71.4%		2 1	Definitely disage	ee	n=7 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.5
⁴⁾ I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree		71.4%		2 1	Definitely disage	ee	n=7 av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.4
^{.5)} The module is well organised ar		Definitely agree	85.7%	14.3%	0% 0	0%	Definitely disage	ee	n=7 av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.3
^{.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree		4 71.4%	0% 0	2 1 	Definitely disage	ee	n=7 av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.4
^{.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the q module	uality of the	Definitely agree		42.9%		2 1	Definitely disage	ee	n=7 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.53

School of Engineering and Materials Science

Research Methods and Experimental Techniques in Engineering (DENM014) No. of responses = 42 (62.69%)



		Survey Re	esults							
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of ar Lef	t pole 5 Scale	Mean 0% 50% 4 3	Media 6 0% 2 Histogr	25% 		Right pole		n=No. of av.=Mea nd=Med dev.=Std ab.=Abst	an Dev. ention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	below the e.	Mean is tolerance guideline	e for the	the rang e qualit	ge of y	•	Mean value is w quality guideline	vithin th	e
1. Rate this module										
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	16.7%	61.9%	21.4% H	0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee 💽	n=42 av.=3.95 md=4 dev.=0.62
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	52.4%	38.1%	9.5%	0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=42 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.67
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	edback during the	Definitely agree	37.5%	37.5%	17.5%	 5% 2	2.5%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=40 av.=4.03 md=4 dev.=1
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	28.6%	52.4%	11.9%	7.1%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=42 av.=4.02 md=4 dev.=0.84
^{1.5)} The module is well organised a	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	26.8%	39%	29.3%	4.9%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee Q	n=41 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.87
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning r module	esources for the		41.5%	39%	17.1% H	2.4%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=41 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.87
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the c module	juality of the	Definitely agree	19.5% F	53.7%	14.6%	12.2%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee 💽	n=41 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=0.9


School of Engineering and Materials Science Biomedical Engineering in Urology (DENM016) No. of responses = 12 (70.59%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Renewable Energy Sources (DENM035) No. of responses = 8 (53.33%)






School of Engineering and Materials Science Advanced Structure-Property Relationships in Materials (MAT706) No. of responses = 6 (46.15%)






School of Engineering and Materials Science Research and Design Team Project (MAT7400) No. of responses = 44 (59.46%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Nanotechnology and Nanomedicine (MAT7803) No. of responses = 8 (42.11%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Surgical Techniques and Safety (MELM003) No. of responses = 22 (81.48%)



		Survey R	esults						
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of ansv Left p	25%	Mean 0% 50% 4 3	Median 0% 2 2 Histogram	5%	Right pole		No. of i .=Mear d=Medi v.=Std. .=Abste	an Dev. ention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is be quality guideline.	elow the	Mean is tolerance guideline	e for the qua	ange of ality		Mean value is wit quality guideline.	hin th	e
1. Rate this module									
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	50%	27.3% 22.79	<u>6 0%</u> 2	0%	Definitely disagree	•	n=22 av.=4.27 md=4.5 dev.=0.83
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	31.8%	50% 9.1% 4 3	9.1%	0%	Definitely disagree		n=22 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree		33.3% 28.69 4 3	6 14.3% H	0%	Definitely disagree	e O	n=21 av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=1.02
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree		42.9% 33.3%	2	0%	Definitely disagree		n=21 av.=3.9 md=4 dev.=0.77
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree		45.5% 13.69	2	0%	Definitely disagree		n=22 av.=4.27 md=4 dev.=0.7
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree		45.5% 13.6%	2	0%	Definitely disagree		n=22 av.=4.27 md=4 dev.=0.7
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the qu module	uality of the	Definitely agree	45%	50% 5% 4 3	0%	0%	Definitely disagree	e •	n=20 av.=4.4 md=4 dev.=0.6

School of Engineering and Materials Science Radiation Physics and Lasers (MELM006) No. of responses = 8 (80%)



		Survey R	esults							
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of a Le	nswers Std. Dev.	Mean 0% 50	Medi			Right pole		n=No. of av.=Mean nd=Medi dev.=Std ab.=Abst	an Dev.
		Scale		Histog	ram				Quality in	dex
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelir	below the ne.	Mean is tolerand guidelir	ce for th	the ran e qualit	ge of ly		/lean value is w juality guideline	vithin th e.	e
1. Rate this module										
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree		28.6%	42.9%	0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee 💽	n=7 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	25% F	50%	25% 	0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=8 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.76
 ^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module 	dback during the	Definitely agree		42.9%	42.9%	0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee 💽	n=7 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=0.76
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	25%	50%	25% 	0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=8 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.76
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	25%	50%	12.5%	12.5%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee 💽	n=8 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.99
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree		37.5%	25% 	0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee 💽	n=8 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.83
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the que module	uality of the	Definitely agree	25% 	37.5%	37.5%	0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee 💽	n=8 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.83

School of Engineering and Materials Science Physiology (MELM007) No. of responses = 8 (88.89%)



		Survey Re	esults						
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of a	ft pole	Mean 0% 50%	2	25%	Right pole		n=No. of av.=Mear nd=Medi dev.=Std ab.=Absto	an . Dev.
Description of quality symbol	🖷 Mean value is	Scale	Mean is	Histogra			Aean value is w	Quality in	
Description of quality symbol	 Mean value is quality guidelin 	ie.	i toleranc guidelin	e for the	quality	q	juality guideline		0
1. Rate this module									
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	75%	12.5% 1	2.5% 0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=8 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.74
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	62.5%	25% 1	2.5% 0%	0%	Definitely disagn	ee 💽	n=8 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.76
 ^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module 	dback during the	Definitely agree	50%	25%	25% 0% 3 2	0%	Definitely disagn	ee 💽	n=8 av.=4.25 md=4.5 dev.=0.89
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	87.5%	 0% 1 	2.5% 0%	0%	Definitely disagn	ee	n=8 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.71
^{1.5)} The module is well organised an	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree		14.3% 1	4.3% 0%	0%	Definitely disagn	ee	n=7 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.79
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning remodule	esources for the	Definitely agree	62.5%		2.5% 0%		Definitely disagn	ee	n=8 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.76
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the q module	uality of the	Definitely agree	75%	12.5% 1 1	2.5% 0%	0%	Definitely disagn	ee	n=8 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.74

School of Engineering and Materials Science Advanced Structure-Property Relationships in Materials (MTRM065) No. of responses = 7 (100%)



