School of Engineering and Materials Science Studio Practice Module Year 2 Human and Machine (DEN212) No. of responses = 11 (61.11%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Design For Manufacture (DEN5101) No. of responses = 41 (19.9%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Solid Mechanics (DEN5102) No. of responses = 45 (21.33%)



Survey Results									
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of an text Left		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram		Right pole	n=No. of response av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention		esponses n Dev. ntion	
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is b quality guideline	elow the e.	Mean is tolerance guideline	within the e for the qu e.	range of Jality	P N	lean value is with uality guideline.	in the	9
1. Rate this module									
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	35.6%	60% 4.4 4 3	8 0%	0%	Definitely disagree		n=45 av.=4.31 md=4 dev.=0.56
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance	he module have	Definitely agree	28.6%	52.4% 14.3	2	0%	Definitely disagree	••	n=42 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.79
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feed module	lback during the	Definitely agree	21.4%	42.9% 23.8	3% <u>11.9%</u> ⊣ 2	0%	Definitely disagree		n=42 av.=3.74 md=4 dev.=0.94
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	14.3%	54.8% 31 ¹⁰	2	0%	Definitely disagree		n=42 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.66
^{1.5)} The module is well organised an	d runs smoothly	Definitely agree	31.8%	63.6% 4.5 4 3	2	0%	Definitely disagree		n=44 av.=4.27 md=4 dev.=0.54
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning reamodule	sources for the	Definitely agree	16.7%	40.5% 310	9.5%	2.4%	Definitely disagree	•	n=42 av.=3.6 md=4 dev.=0.96
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the qu module	ality of the	Definitely agree	24.4%	64.4% 8.9	2.2%	0%	Definitely disagree	•	n=45 av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=0.65

School of Engineering and Materials Science Energy Conversion Analysis (DEN5107) No. of responses = 28 (36.36%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Control Systems Analysis and Design (DEN5200) No. of responses = 108 (51.43%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Neuromuscular Biomechanics (DEN5301) No. of responses = 28 (45.9%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Student Centred Learning 2 (MAT308) No. of responses = 32 (50%)



Survey Results										
L QL	egend lestion text	Relative Frequencies of ar	t pole 5 Scale	Mean 0% 50% 4 3	Median 0% 25 2 Histogram	%	Right pole	n=N av= md= dev ab=	o. of re Mean Media =Std. l Abster	esponses n Dev. ntion
De	escription of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	below the e.	Mean is toleranc guideline	within the rai e for the qual e.	nge of lity		Mean value is with quality guideline.	in the	e
1	Rate this module									
1.1)	The module is well taught		Definitely agree	18.8%	31.3% 46.9%	2	3.1%	Definitely disagree	e e	n=32 av.=3.63 md=3.5 dev.=0.91
1.2)	The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance	he module have	Definitely agree	25% F	34.4% 34.4% 4 3	6.3%	0%	Definitely disagree	•	n=32 av.=3.78 md=4 dev.=0.91
1.3)	l have been given adequate feed module	lback during the	Definitely agree	15.6%	40.6% 31.3%	12.5%	0%	Definitely disagree	•	n=32 av.=3.59 md=4 dev.=0.91
1.4)	I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	13.3%	43.3% 26.7%	13.3% H	3.3%	Definitely disagree	•	n=30 av.=3.5 md=4 dev.=1.01
1.5)	The module is well organised an	d runs smoothly	Definitely agree	9.4%	37.5% 25% 4 3	21.9%	6.3%	Definitely disagree	•	n=32 av.=3.22 md=3 dev.=1.1
1.6)	I had access to good learning res module	sources for the	Definitely agree	12.5%	53.1% 25% 4 3	3.1%	6.3%	Definitely disagree	•	n=32 av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=0.98
1.7)	Overall I am satisfied with the qu module	ality of the	Definitely agree	9.4%	43.8% 34.4%	9.4%	3.1%	Definitely disagree		n=32 av.=3.47 md=4 dev.=0.92

School of Engineering and Materials Science Metals (MAT321) No. of responses = 34 (51.52%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Structural Characterisation (MAT400) No. of responses = 24 (36.92%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Composites for Aerospace Applications (MAT5030) No. of responses = 42 (35%)



