School of Engineering and Materials Science Aerospace Design (DEN305) No. of responses = 8 (30.77%)



Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Mean 0% 0% 25% 50% 25% n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Question text Right pole Left pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the quality guideline. Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol tolerance for the quality Ĩ quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0% 0% 57 1% 42.9% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=7 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.53 Definitely agree 4 Definitely disagree • 3 2 5 4 1 62.5% 25% 12.5% 0% 0% 1.2) n=8 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.76 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree ٦, . been made clear in advance 3 2 5 Δ 50% 37.5% 12.5% 0% 0% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=8 av.=4.38 md=4.5 dev.=0.74 Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree н • module 5 3 2 1 62.5% 25% 12.5% 0% 0% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=8 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.76 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • my studies on the module 5 3 2 Δ 75% 25% 0% 0% 0% 1.5) n=8 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.46 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree : 5 3 2 4 1 75% 25% 0% 0% 0% 1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the n=8 Definitely agree Ţ Definitely disagree av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.46 . module 5 3 2 75% 0% 0% 25% 0% 1.7) n=8 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.46 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree H Definitely disagree • module

School of Engineering and Materials Science Aircraft Propulsion (DEN306) No. of responses = 35 (46.05%)



Survey Results									
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of ans Left j	swers Std. Dev. 25% pole	Mean 0% 50% 4 3	Median 0% 25 2 Histogram	%	Right pole	n=N av.= md= dev ab.= Qua	lo. of re Mean Media Std. I Abster	esponses n Dev. ntion
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is b quality guideline	elow the	Mean is v tolerance guideline	within the rai e for the qual e.	nge of lity	P N	Aean value is with quality guideline.	in the	9
1. Rate this module									
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	44.1%	44.1% 11.8%	0%	0%	Definitely disagree		n=34 av.=4.32 md=4 dev.=0.68
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on t been made clear in advance	he module have	Definitely agree	38.2%	47.1% 2.9%	11.8%	0%	Definitely disagree		n=34 av.=4.12 md=4 dev.=0.95
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feed module	lback during the	Definitely agree	23.5%	29.4% 32.4% 4 3	14.7%	0%	Definitely disagree		n=34 av.=3.62 md=4 dev.=1.02
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	39.4%	39.4% 18.2%	0%	3%	Definitely disagree		n=33 av.=4.12 md=4 dev.=0.93
^{1.5)} The module is well organised an	d runs smoothly	Definitely agree	51.5%	45.5% <u>3%</u> 1 4 <u>3</u>	0%	0%	Definitely disagree	•	n=33 av.=4.48 md=5 dev.=0.57
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re- module	sources for the	Definitely agree	46.9%	37.5% 12.5% 4 3	3.1%	0%	Definitely disagree		n=32 av.=4.28 md=4 dev.=0.81
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the qu module	ality of the	Definitely agree	37.5%	43.8% 18.8%	0%	0%	Definitely disagree		n=32 av.=4.19 md=4 dev.=0.74

School of Engineering and Materials Science Aerospace Structures (DEN307) No. of responses = 24 (85.71%)



Survey Results									
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of ans Left p	wers Std. Dev.	Mean 0% 50% 4 3	Median 0% 25% 2 1 Histogram	<u>%</u>	Right pole	n=N av = md= dev. ab = Qua	o. of re Media =Std. I Abster	esponses n Dev. ntion
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is be quality guideline	elow the	Mean is tolerance guideline	within the rar e for the quali e.	nge of ity	P N	Aean value is with Juality guideline.	in the	2
1. Rate this module									
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	25% F	40% 35%	0%	0%	Definitely disagree		n=20 av.=3.9 md=4 dev.=0.79
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on t been made clear in advance	he module have	Definitely agree	26.1% 	17.4% 39.1% 4 3	13% 	4.3%	Definitely disagree	•	n=23 av.=3.48 md=3 dev.=1.16
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feed module	Iback during the	Definitely agree	17.4%	26.1% 39.1% 4 3	 13% 	4.3%	Definitely disagree	•	n=23 av.=3.39 md=3 dev.=1.08
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	14.3%	28.6% 38.1% 4 3	14.3%	4.8%	Definitely disagree	Ð	n=21 av.=3.33 md=3 dev.=1.06
^{1.5)} The module is well organised an	d runs smoothly	Definitely agree	27.3%	40.9% 22.7%	9.1%	 0% 1	Definitely disagree	•	n=22 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.94
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning remodule	sources for the	Definitely agree	14.3%	33.3% 42.9% 4 3	9.5%	0%	Definitely disagree	•	n=21 av.=3.52 md=3 dev.=0.87
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the qu module	ality of the	Definitely agree	18.2%	40.9% 27.3%	13.6%	0%	Definitely disagree	•	n=22 av.=3.64 md=4 dev.=0.95

School of Engineering and Materials Science Principles and Applications of Medical Imaging (DEN324) No. of responses = 17 (54.84%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Combustion in Automotive Engines (DEN326) No. of responses = 23 (28.05%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Studio Practice Year 3 GDP Industry Related Design Project (DEN327) No. of responses = 12 (92.31%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Studio Practice Year 3 Individual Design Project Joie de Vivre (DEN329) No. of responses = 12 (92.31%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science High Speed Aerodynamics (DEN6405) No. of responses = 12 (42.86%)



Survey Results													
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of an	swers Std. Dev. 25% pole 5 Scale	Mean 0% 50% 4 3	Media 6 0% 2 Histogr	an 25% - 1 ram		Right pole	n=N av = md= dev. ab.= Qua	o. of re Media =Std. Abste	esponses n Dev. ntion			
Description of quality symbol	ption of quality symbol Mean value is below the quality guideline. Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.						P N	lean value is with uality guideline.	ean value is within the ality guideline.				
1. Rate this module													
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	63.6%	36.4%	0%	0%	0%	Definitely disagree		n=11 av.=4.64 md=5 dev.=0.5			
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance	e module have	Definitely agree	27.3%	4 63.6%	9.1%	2	 0%	Definitely disagree		n=11 av.=4.18 md=4 dev.=0.6			
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedb module	oack during the	Definitely agree	27.3%	45.5%	27.3%	0%	0%	Definitely disagree	•	n=11 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.77			
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice a my studies on the module	nd support with	— — — — — — — — — Definitely agree	60%	30%	10%	0%	0%	Definitely disagree		n=10 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.71			
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and	runs smoothly	Definitely agree	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%	0%	0%	Definitely disagree		n=12 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.8			
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning reso module	ources for the	Definitely agree	36.4%	54.5%	9.1%	2	0%	Definitely disagree		n=11 av.=4.27 md=4 dev.=0.65			
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the qua module	lity of the	Definitely agree	45.5%	54.5%	0%	0%	0%	Definitely disagree		n=11 av.=4.45 md=4 dev.=0.52			

School of Engineering and Materials Science Medical Physiology (MAT5222) No. of responses = 19 (47.5%)



Survey Results								
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of an	swers Std. Dev.	Mean 0% 509 4 3	Median 6 0% 2 Histogran	25% 1 1 m	Right pole	n=N av.= md= dev. ab.= Qua	o, of responses Mean Median =Std. Dev. Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is t quality guideline	pelow the e.	Mean is toleranc guideline	within th e for the e.	e range of quality	f 🚺 N	Aean value is with Juality guideline.	in the
1. Rate this module								
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	78.9%	10.5% 5	5.3% 5.3%	0%	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.83
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	70.6%	17.6% 5	5.9% 5.9%	0%	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=4.53 md=5 dev.=0.87
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	66.7%	<u>0%</u> 2 4	2.2% 11.19 	<u> </u>	Definitely disagree	n=18 av.=4.22 md=5 dev.=1.17
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	66.7%		5.6% 11.19 3 2	<u>6 0%</u> 1	Definitely disagree	n=18 av.=4.39 md=5 dev.=1.04
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	77.8%		5.6% 0% 3 2	5.6%	Definitely disagree	n=18 av.=4.56 md=5 dev.=1.04
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	sources for the	Definitely agree	62.5%	12.5% 1 4	2.5% 6.3%	6.3%	Definitely disagree	n=16 av.=4.19 md=5 dev.=1.28
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the qu module	uality of the	Definitely agree	72.2%		5.6% 5.6%	0%	Definitely disagree	n=18 av.=4.56 md=5 dev.=0.86

School of Engineering and Materials Science Manufacturing Processes (MAT601) No. of responses = 33 (58.93%)



Survey Results										
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of answ Left po Sc	ers Std. Dev. 25% ble 5 xale	Mean 0% 50 4 3	Medi % 0% 2 Histog	an 25% – 1 ram		Right pole	n=N av = md= de= ab.= Qua	o. of re Media =Std. Abste	esponses In Dev. ntion
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is bel quality guideline.	low the	Mean is tolerand guidelin	within the for the terms of te	the ran e qualit	ge of ly		Mean value is with quality guideline.	in the	9
1. Rate this module										
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	12.1%	57.6%	24.2%	6.1%	0%	Definitely disagree		n=33 av.=3.76 md=4 dev.=0.75
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance	the module have	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	18.8%	34.4%	37.5%	9.4%	0%	Definitely disagree	•	n=32 av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=0.91
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	6.1%	36.4%	42.4%	12.1% I 2	3%	Definitely disagree	•	n=33 av.=3.3 md=3 dev.=0.88
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	6.3%	46.9%	40.6%	6.3%	0%	Definitely disagree	Ð	n=32 av.=3.53 md=4 dev.=0.72
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	id runs smoothly	Definitely agree	19.4%	38.7%	35.5%	3.2%	3.2%	Definitely disagree	•	n=31 av.=3.68 md=4 dev.=0.94
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	sources for the	Definitely agree	12.5%	46.9%	34.4%	3.1%	3.1%	Definitely disagree	•	n=32 av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=0.87
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the que module	uality of the	Definitely agree	6.3%	56.3%	31.3%	6.3%	0%	Definitely disagree	•	n=32 av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=0.71

School of Engineering and Materials Science Materials Selection in Design (MAT602) No. of responses = 60 (28.3%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Science of Biocompatibility (MAT6312) No. of responses = 16 (44.44%)



