
School of Engineering and Materials Science Computational Fluid Dynamics (DEN403) No. of responses = 13 (50%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Clinical Measurements (DEN406) No. of responses = 11 (55%)



		Survey Re	esults							
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of a	off pole	Mean 0% 50% 4 3	2	25%		Right pole	a n d	=No. of v.=Meai nd=Medi ev.=Std b.=Abst	an Dev.
Description of quality symbol	🖷 Mean value is	Scale	. Mean is	Histog		ne of			thin th	
	 Mean value is quality guidelir 	ne.	toleranc guidelin	e for th	e qualit	y y	in i	lean value is w uality guideline		
1. Rate this module										
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree		81.8%	0%	2	0%	Definitely disagre	e	n=11 av.=4.18 md=4 dev.=0.4
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree		63.6%	9.1%	9.1%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=11 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=0.8
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	0%	45.5%	54.5%	0%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=11 av.=3.45 md=3 dev.=0.5
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	0%	63.6%	27.3%	0%	9.1%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=11 av.=3.45 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree		72.7%	9.1%	0%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=11 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.5
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree		60%	10%	0%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=10 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.6
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the que module	uality of the	Definitely agree	9.1%	90.9%	0%	0%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=11 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.3

School of Engineering and Materials Science Robotics (DEN408) No. of responses = 16 (30.19%)



		Survey R	esults						
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of ar	t pole 5 Scale	Mean 0% 509 4 3		25%	Right pole		a=No. of Iv.=Mear nd=Medi lev.=Std b.=Abstr Quality in	an . Dev. ention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	below the e.	Mean is toleranc guidelin	e for the p	range of juality	! • •	Mean value is w quality guideline	ithin th	e
1. Rate this module									
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	7.1%		.6% 14.3%	0%	Definitely disagro	e 💽	n=14 av.=3.5 md=4 dev.=0.85
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree			20% 20%	0%	Definitely disagree	e 💽	n=15 av.=3.53 md=4 dev.=0.99
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	20%		.3% 6.7% 1 3 2	0%	Definitely disagr	e 💽	n=15 av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=0.88
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree		21.4% 42	.9% 0%	7.1%	Definitely disagr	e 💽	n=14 av.=3.64 md=3.5 dev.=1.15
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree			7% 6.7% 	6.7%	Definitely disagree	ee 💽	n=15 av.=3.87 md=4 dev.=1.13
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	25% 	37.5% 31	.3% 0%	6.3%	Definitely disagree	e 💽	n=16 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1.06
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the que module	uality of the	Definitely agree		57.1% 7.	1% 7.1% 1 3 2	7.1%	Definitely disagree	e 💽	n=14 av.=3.79 md=4 dev.=1.12

School of Engineering and Materials Science Design and Innovation Year 4 Major Design Project (DEN419) No. of responses = 6 (100%)






School of Engineering and Materials Science Advanced Gas Turbines (DEN427) No. of responses = 7 (41.18%)



		Survey Re	esults						
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of a	th pole 5 Scale	Mean 0% 50% 4 3	Median 0% 25	i%	Right pole	a' m di	=No. of i v.=Mear d=Medi ev.=Std. b.=Abste	an Dev.
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelir		 Mean is tolerance 	within the ra	nge of lity	P A	lean value is wi	uality in	
1. Rate this module			guideline) .					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	71.4%	28.6% 0% -1 4 3	0%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=7 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.49
^{.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree		57.1% 0% 4 3	2	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=7 av.=4.43 md=4 dev.=0.5
^{.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree		14.3% 85.7% 4 3	2	0%	Definitely disagre	e 🚺	n=7 av.=3.14 md=3 dev.=0.3
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree		71.4% 14.3%	2	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=7 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.5
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree		14.3% 14.3%	2	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=7 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.7
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	85.7%	4 3	0%	0%	Definitely disagre	e	n=7 av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.3
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the que module	uality of the	Definitely agree		28.6% 14.3%	2	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=7 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.7


School of Engineering and Materials Science Implant Design and Technology (DEN437) No. of responses = 14 (77.78%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Advanced High Speed Aerodynamics (DEN7405) No. of responses = 15 (68.18%)






School of Engineering and Materials Science Medical Ethics, Law and Regulatory Practice in Bioengineering (DENM009) No. of responses = 8 (33.33%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Computational Fluid Dynamics (DENM010) No. of responses = 21 (84%)






School of Engineering and Materials Science Implant Design and Technology (DENM020) No. of responses = 12 (60%)



		Survey R	esults						
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of answ Left po	25%	Mean 0% 50% 4 3	Median 0% 2 Histogra	25%	Right pole		n=No. of av.=Meai nd=Medi aev.=Std ab.=Abst	an Dev. ention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is bel quality guideline.	low the	Mean is tolerance guideline	e for the	e range quality	of 🚺	Mean value is w quality guideline	rithin th	e
1. Rate this module									
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	54.5%	45.5%	0% 04		Definitely disagr	ee	n=11 av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=0.52
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree		41.7% 1	6.7% 09		Definitely disagn	ee	n=12 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.75
 ^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module 	dback during the	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	66.7%	25%	3 3 2		Definitely disagr	ee	n=12 av.=4.58 md=5 dev.=0.67
 ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module 	and support with	Definitely agree	33.3%	50% 1 • • • • • •	6.7% 0°		Definitely disagn	e	n=12 av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=0.72
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	70%	30% 	0% 00		Definitely disagr	ee	n=10 av.=4.7 md=5 dev.=0.48
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	54.5%	27.3% 1	8.2% 09		Definitely disagr	ee	n=11 av.=4.36 md=5 dev.=0.81
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the que module	uality of the	— — — — — — — — — Definitely agree	60%	40%	0% 09		Definitely disagn	e	n=10 av.=4.6 md=5 dev.=0.52


School of Engineering and Materials Science Advanced Combustion in Reciprocating Engines (DENM021) No. of responses = 9 (75%)






School of Engineering and Materials Science Advanced Gas Turbines (DENM022) No. of responses = 6 (75%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Economics and Management of Sustainable Energy (DENM023) No. of responses = 8 (50%)






School of Engineering and Materials Science Clinical Measurements (DENM024) No. of responses = 10 (37.04%)



		Survey R	esults							
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of an	pole 5	Mean 0% 50%	Media			Right pole	a r C	=No. of v.=Mean nd=Medi ev.=Std b.=Abst	an . Dev.
		Scale		Histog	ram				Quality in	dex
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is t quality guideling	pelow the e.	Mean is toleranc guideline	e for th	the ran e qualit	ge of y		Mean value is w quality guideline	ithin th	ie
1. Rate this module										
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	60%	30%	0% H 3	2	0%	Definitely disagre		n=10 av.=4.4 md=5 dev.=0.97
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	50%	30%	10%	10%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=10 av.=4.2 md=4.5 dev.=1.03
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	30% • • • •	40%	30% 	0%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=10 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.82
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	20%	50%	30% 	0%	0%	Definitely disagre		n=10 av.=3.9 md=4 dev.=0.74
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	50%	40%		10%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=10 av.=4.3 md=4.5 dev.=0.95
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	40%	4 50%	 0% 	2 10%	0%	Definitely disagre		n=10 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.92
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the que module	uality of the	Definitely agree	50%	40%		10%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=10 av.=4.3 md=4.5 dev.=0.95

School of Engineering and Materials Science Aeroelasticity (DENM032) No. of responses = 8 (42.11%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Advanced High Speed Aerodynamics (DENM405) No. of responses = 9 (50%)



Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Mean 0% 0% 25% 50% 25% n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Question text Right pole Left pole Scale Histogram . Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value is buildeline. tolerance for the quality Ĩ quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0% 77 8% 11 1% 11 1% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.71 3 2 4 5 1 77.8% 22.2% 0% 0% 0% 1.2) n=9 av.=4.78 md=5 dev.=0.44 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree -. been made clear in advance 2 5 Δ 3 85.7% 0% 14.3% 0% 0% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=7 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.76 Definitely agree . Definitely disagree : module 5 3 2 1 4 12.5% 87.5% 0% 0% 0% n=8 av.=4.88 md=5 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree -4 • my studies on the module dev =0.35 3 2 5 4 1 87.5% 0% 0% 0% 12.5% 1.5) n=8 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=1.41 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 1 55.6% 44.4% 0% 0% 0% 1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the n=9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.56 md=5 dev.=0.53 đ . module 5 3 2 0% 87.5% 12.5% 0% 0% 1.7) n=8 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.71 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 3

School of Engineering and Materials Science Renewable Energy Materials (MAT427) No. of responses = 21 (63.64%)



		Survey Re	esults							
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of ans Left p	25%	Mean 0% 509 4 3	Media 0% 2 Histogr	25% 		Right pole	a r c	=No. of v.=Mean dev.=Std b.=Abst	an Dev.
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is be quality guideline	elow the	 Mean is toleranc guideling 	e for the	he ranç e qualit	ge of y	D M	lean value is w uality guideline	Quality in ithin th	
1. Rate this module										
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	55%	45%	0%	0%	0%	Definitely disagre		n=20 av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=0.5
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	52.6%	42.1%	5.3%	2	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=19 av.=4.47 md=5 dev.=0.6
^{.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	55%	25%	20%	0%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=20 av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=0.8
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	47.4%	36.8%	10.5% I	5.3%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=19 av.=4.26 md=4 dev.=0.8
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	85%	10%	5%	2	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=20 av.=4.8 md=5 dev.=0.5
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	50%	38.9%	5.6%	5.6%		Definitely disagre	e	n=18 av.=4.33 md=4.5 dev.=0.8
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the qu module	uality of the	Definitely agree	42.1%	57.9%	0%	2	0%	Definitely disagre	e .	n=19 av.=4.42 md=4 dev.=0.5


School of Engineering and Materials Science Digital Electronics (MELM004) No. of responses = 9 (90%)



		Survey Re	esults						
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of a	answers Std. Dev. 25% eft pole 5 Scale	Mean 0% 509 4 3	Median 6 0% 25 1 2 1 Histogram	%	Right pole	av m de	No. of i .=Mear d=Medi ev.=Std. o.=Abste	an Dev.
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guideli	holow the	tolerance	within the rai	nge of	P A	lean value is wit	uality in	
1. Rate this module			guidelin	e.					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree		11.1% 66.7% 4 3	2	0%	Definitely disagree	• •	n=9 av.=3.56 md=3 dev.=0.88
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree		44.4% 22.2%	0%	0%	Definitely disagree		n=9 av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=0.78
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	0% 5	44.4% 55.6%	0%	0%	Definitely disagree	Ì	n=9 av.=3.44 md=3 dev.=0.53
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	0%	55.6% 33.3%	11.1%	0%	Definitely disagree	Ì	n=9 av.=3.44 md=4 dev.=0.73
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree		66.7% 22.2% 4 3	0%	0%	Definitely disagree	e I	n=9 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=0.6
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	0% 	55.6% 44.4%	0%	0%	Definitely disagree		n=9 av.=3.56 md=4 dev.=0.53
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the qu module	uality of the	Definitely agree	0%	55.6% 44.4%	0%	0%	Definitely disagree	e e	n=9 av.=3.56 md=4 dev.=0.53


School of Engineering and Materials Science Ultrasound and Imaging (MELM005) No. of responses = 9 (90%)





School of Engineering and Materials Science Analogue Electronics (MELM008) No. of responses = 8 (80%)

Queen Mary



School of Engineering and Materials Science Renewable Energy Materials (MTRM061) No. of responses = 11 (57.89%)



