
English and Drama
Beyond Acting (DRA302)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 6 (60%)






Culture, Performance and Globalisation (DRA304)

Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 10 (62.5%)






Feeling It: Emotion and Sensation in the Theatre (DRA307)

Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 11 (52.38%)





Shakespeare After Shakespeare (DRA316) No. of responses = 18 (85.71%)



Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Median Mean 0% 0% n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Question text Right pole Left pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the quality guideline. Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 76.5% 23.5% 0% 0% 0% 1.1) The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.76 md=5 dev.=0.44 31.3% 6.3% 50% 12.5% 0% n=16 av.=4.19 md=4.5 dev.=1.05 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 17.6% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=17 av.=4.35 md=5 dev.=1 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 29.4% 0% 5.9% 0% 64.7% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=17 av.=4.59 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 1 my studies on the module dev = 0.6270.6% 29.4% 0% 0% 0% n=17 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.47 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree * 52.9% 29.4% 17.6% 0% 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=17 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.35 md=5 dev.=0.79 module 23.5% 11.8% 64.7% n=17 av.=4.53 md=5 dev.=0.72 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree : module

Madness and Theatricality (DRA323) No. of responses = 14 (93.33%)

I had access to good learning resources for the

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the

module

module



Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Median Mean 0% 0% n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Question text Right pole Left pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the quality guideline. Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1.1) The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=5 md=5 dev.=0 35.7% 50% 0% 0% n=14 av.=4.36 md=4.5 dev.=0.74 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 22.2% 33.3% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=9 av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=0.93 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 0% 0% 50% 0% 50% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=14 av.=4.5 md=4.5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module dev = 0.5271.4% 28.6% 0% 0% 0% n=14 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.47 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree

Definitely agree

Definitely agree

50%

38.5%

53.8%

7 1%

0%

0%

n=14

av.=4.36 md=4 dev.=0.63

n=13 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.66


Page 1

Definitely disagree

Definitely disagree

Staging Countercultures (DRA325) No. of responses = 18 (85.71%)





Performance, Sexuality, Identity (DRA332) No. of responses = 14 (73.68%)

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the

module



n=14 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.51

Definitely disagree

Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Median Mean 0% 0% n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Question text Right pole Left pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the quality guideline. Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 64.3% 35.7% 0% 0% 0% 1.1) The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree * av.=4.64 md=5 dev.=0.5 78.6% 21.4% 0% 0% 0% n=14 av.=4.79 md=5 dev.=0.43 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 78.6% 14.3% 0% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=14 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.61 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 0% 0% 42.9% 0% 57.1% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=14 av.=4.57 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module dev = 0.5185.7% 14.3% 0% 0% 0% n=14 av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.36 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree 85.7% 14.3% 0% 0% 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=14 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.36 module

Definitely agree

57.1%

42.9%

English and Drama Managing Theatre (DRA336) No. of responses = 8 (66.67%)



Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Median Mean 0% 0% n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Question text Left pole Right pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the quality guideline. Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 37.5% 37.5% 0% 0% 1.1) The module is well taught n=8 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.83 Definitely disagree Definitely agree 50% 0% 12.5% n=8 av.=2.75 md=2 dev.=1.49 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=1.2 module 25% 0% 25% 25% I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=1.2 my studies on the module 50% 0% 25% n=8 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1.16 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 25% 50% 12.5% 12.5% 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=8 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.99 Definitely agree Definitely disagree

module

module

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the

Definitely agree

12.5%

12.5%

ĕ

▣

ě

Definitely disagree

n=8 av.=3.5 md=4 dev.=1.41

module

Applied Performance (DRA339) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 13 (86.67%)



Definitely disagree

Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Mean 0% Question text n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Left pole Right pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value is as quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 69.2% 30.8% 1.1) The module is well taught n=13 av.=4.69 md=5 dev.=0.48 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 50% 25% 0% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=12 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.74 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 30.8% 61.5% 7.7% 0% 0% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=13 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.6 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 69.2% 23.1% 0% 0% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=13 av.=4.62 md=5 dev.=0.65 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module 61.5% 38.5% 0% 0% 0% 1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=13 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.62 md=5 dev.=0.51 * 60% 10% n=10 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.63 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 83.3% 16.7% 0% 0% Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=12 av.=4.83 md=5 dev.=0.39 Definitely agree

1

Cultures of Sleep (DRA342) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 8 (36.36%)



Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Mean 0% Question text n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Left pole Right pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value is as quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 87.5% 12.5% 1.1) The module is well taught n=8 av.=4.88 md=5 dev.=0.35 Definitely agree 12.5% 12.5% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=8 av.=3.88 md=4.5 dev.=1.46 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 12.5% 0% 62.5% 25% 0% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=8 av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=1.06 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 62.5% 37.5% 0% 0% 0% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=8 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.52 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module 75% 25% 0% 0% 0% 1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=8 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.46 Definitely agree ***** Definitely disagree 37.5% 25% 37.5% n=8 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.83 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 75% 12.5% 12.5% 0% Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=8 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.74 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module

3