Geographical Information Systems (GEG5102) No. of responses = 14 (82.35%)



#### Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Median Mean 0% 0% n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Question text Right pole Left pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value is ac quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0% 46 2% 38.5% 0% 15.4% 1.1) The module is well taught Definitely disagree Definitely agree av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.41 7.1% 7.1% n=14 av.=3.64 md=4 dev.=1.39 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance ě 0% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=14 av.=4.07 md=4.5 dev.=1.38 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 28.6% 14.3% 0% 14.3% 42.9% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=14 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=1.41 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module 35.7% 7.1% 0% 14.3% 42.9% n=14 av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=1.38 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 50% 28.6% 7.1% 0% 14.3% I had access to good learning resources for the n=14 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4 md=4.5 dev.=1.41 module 35.7% 42.9% n=14 av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=1.38 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module ě


Geographical Research in Practice (GEG5103) No. of responses = 43 (50%)



### Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Median Mean 0% 0% n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Question text Right pole Left pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the quality guideline. Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0% 50% 0% 1.1) The module is well taught n=42 av.=4.36 md=4 dev.=0.62 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 56.1% 0% n=41 av.=4.27 md=4 dev.=0.67 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 4 8% 2.4% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=42 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.71 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 0% 61% 29.3% 9.8% 0% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=41 av.=4.51 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module dev = 0.683 38.1% 11.9% 0% 0% n=42 av.=4.38 md=4.5 dev.=0.7 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree 35.7% 54.8% 9.5% 0% 0% I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.26 md=4 dev.=0.63 module 47.6% 9.5% n=42 av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.65 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree \_ module

Health, Inequality and Society: from Social Medicine to Global Biotechnology (GEG5107) No. of responses = 43 (51.81%)






# School of Geography Society, Culture and Space (GEG511)

Society, Culture and Space (GEG5110) No. of responses = 51 (57.95%)





Spaces of Uneven Development (GEG5111) No. of responses = 49 (50.52%)



### Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Median Mean 0% 0% n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Question text Right pole Left pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value is ac quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 2 1% 0% 53 2% 42 6% 2 1% 1.1) The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.45 md=5 dev.=0.75 42.6% 8.5% 0% n=47 av.=4.34 md=4 dev.=0.73 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 39.6% 14.6% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=48 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.86 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 58.3% 6.3% 4.2% 2.1% 29.2% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=48 av.=4.08 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module dev = 0.8546.8% 4.3% 0% 2.1% n=47 av.=4.36 md=4 dev.=0.76 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree 33.3% 52.1% 12.5% 2.1% 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=48 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=0.72 module 43.8% 8.3% n=48 av.=4.31 md=4 dev.=0.8 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module

Urban Futures: Los Angeles and Las Vegas (GEG5112) No. of responses = 9 (90%)



#### Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Median Mean 0% 0% n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Question text Right pole Left pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value is ac quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 88 9% 11 1% 0% 0% 0% 1.1) The module is well taught n=9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.89 md=5 dev.=0.33 22.2% 66.7% 11.1% 0% n=9 av.=4.56 md=5 dev.=0.73 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 12.5% 0% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=8 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.76 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 22.2% 0% 0% 66.7% 11.1% n=9 av.=4.56 md=5 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module dev = 0.7388.9% 11.1% 0% 0% 0% n=9 av.=4.89 md=5 dev.=0.33 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree 77.8% 22.2% 0% 0% 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.78 md=5 dev.=0.44 module 5 100% 0% Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=5 md=5 dev.=0 module

# School of Geography Earth System Cycles (GEG5203) No. of responses = 27 (54%)

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the

module



### Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Median Mean 0% 0% n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Question text Left pole Right pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value is be quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0% 87.5% 8.3% 0% 1.1) The module is well taught n=24 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.48 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 15.4% 38.5% 34.6% 11.5% n=26 av.=3.54 md=3.5 dev.=0.9 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 43.5% 17.4% 21.7% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=23 av.=2.87 md=3 dev.=1.18 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 39.1% 30.4% 26.1% 4.3% 0% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=23 av.=3.22 md=3 dev.=0.9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module 3.8% 76.9% 11.5% 7.7% n=26 av.=3.77 md=4 dev.=0.65 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 8.7% 78.3% 8.7% 4.3% 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=23 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=0.6 module ĕ

Definitely agree


28%

n=25 av.=3.6 md=4 dev.=0.87


▣

ě

Definitely disagree







Research Strategies in Physical Environments (GEG5211) No. of responses = 29 (55.77%)



#### Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Median Mean 0% 0% n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Question text Right pole Left pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value is be quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 62 1% 13.8% 10.3% 0% 1.1) The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.79 md=4 dev.=0.82 31% 6.9% 0% n=29 av.=3.72 md=4 dev.=0.84 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance ě 51.7% 31% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=29 av.=3.66 md=4 dev.=0.77 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 44.8% 20.7% 6.9% 6.9% 20.7% n=29 av.=3.41 md=3 dev.=1.12 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module 58.6% 17.2% 10.3% n=29 av.=3.62 md=4 dev.=0.94 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 20.7% 51.7% 20.7% 6.9% 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=29 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.83 module ĕ 21.4% 53.6% 3.6% 10.7% 10.7% n=28 av.=3.5 md=4 dev.=1.11 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree ▣ module ě