SLLF PGT Overall: Sem 1 & 2 2012/13

No. of responses = 88

Queen Mary
University of London

Survey Results

Legend

Question text

Relative Frequencies of answers   Std. Dev.   Mean   Median

Left pole   25%   0%   50%   0%   25%   Right pole

Scale   5   4   3   2   1   Histogram

n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol   Mean value is below the quality guideline.   Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.   Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught

Definitely agree   58.8%   32.9%   8.2%   0%   0%   Definitely disagree

n=85
av.=4.51
md=5
dev.=0.65

5   4   3   2   1

1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance

Definitely agree   43.7%   31%   20.7%   3.4%   1.1%   Definitely disagree

n=87
av.=4.13
md=4
dev.=0.94

5   4   3   2   1

1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module

Definitely agree   43.9%   41.5%   9.8%   4.9%   0%   Definitely disagree

n=82
av.=4.24
md=4
dev.=0.82

5   4   3   2   1

1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module

Definitely agree   47.6%   35.7%   9.5%   7.1%   0%   Definitely disagree

n=84
av.=4.24
md=4
dev.=0.9

5   4   3   2   1

1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly

Definitely agree   50.6%   32.9%   11.8%   4.7%   0%   Definitely disagree

n=85
av.=4.29
md=5
dev.=0.86

5   4   3   2   1

1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module

Definitely agree   51.2%   40.7%   7%   1.2%   0%   Definitely disagree

n=86
av.=4.42
md=5
dev.=0.68

5   4   3   2   1

1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree   57%   34.9%   5.8%   2.3%   0%   Definitely disagree

n=86
av.=4.47
md=5
dev.=0.71

5   4   3   2   1