## SLLF UG Taught Overall: Sem 1 & 2 2012/13 (all people of London No. of responses = 3868

## Survey Results

## Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Median Mean 0% 0% n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Question text Left pole Right pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the quality guideline. Description of quality symbol Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 37.8% 9.8% 0.9% 1.1) The module is well taught n=3789 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.3 md=4 dev.=0.83 18% 35.6% 6.2% 38.5% n=3739 av.=4.03 md=4 dev.=0.99 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 31.8% 19.4% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=3739 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.96 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 35.6% 16.2% 4.4% 1.3% 42.6% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=3758 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.14 md=4 my studies on the module dev = 0.9336% 11.7% 4.4% The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=3765 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 43.1% 37.8% 14.4% 3.6% 1.1% I had access to good learning resources for the n=3755 Definitely disagree Definitely agree av.=4.18 md=4 dev.=0.89 module 36.6% 13.3% n=3760 av.=4.16 md=4 dev.=0.94 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module