
Language Centre English Language and Study Skills (Pre-Masters Diploma) (IFC6001) No. of responses = 56 (84.85%)





Queen Mary

Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Mean 0% 0% 25% 50% 25% n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Question text Left pole Right pole Scale Histogram . Quality index Mean value is below the quality guideline. Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol tolerance for the quality Ĩ quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 91% 0% 9 1% 81.8% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=11 av.=4.64 md=5 dev.=0.92 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 3 2 4 5 1 30% 10% 10% 50% 0% 1.2) n=10 av.=4.2 md=4.5 dev.=1.03 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree F . been made clear in advance 3 2 Δ 45.5% 36.4% 18.2% 0% 0% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=11 av.=4.27 md=4 dev.=0.79 Definitely agree Definitely disagree : module 3 2 5 1 45.5% 0% 18.2% 0% 36.4% n=11 av.=4.18 md=4 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree : my studies on the module dev =0.75 3 5 4 2 54.5% 27.3% 18.2% 0% 0% 1.5) n=11 av.=4.36 md=5 dev.=0.81 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree T : 3 2 5 4 1 30% 70% 0% 0% 0% 1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the n=10 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.3 md=4 dev.=0.48 . module 3 2 5 36.4% 9.1% 0% 54.5% 0% 1.7) n=11 av.=4.45 md=5 dev.=0.69 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree Н • _ module

Language Centre

Global Politics (IFC6002) No. of responses = 11 (78.57%)

Language Centre Quantitative Techniques (IFC6003) No. of responses = 29 (93.55%)



	S	Survey Re	sults						
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of answers Left pole	25%	Mean 0% 50% 4 3	Median 0% 1 2 Histogram	25%	Right pole		n=No. of nv.=Mear nd=Medi lev.=Std b.=Abste Quality in	an Dev. ention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is below I quality guideline.	w the	Mean is tolerance guideline	e for the q	range of uality		Mean value is w quality guideline	ithin th	e
1. Rate this module									
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	D	efinitely agree	17.9%	42.9% 25		7.1%	Definitely disagree	e 💽	n=28 av.=3.57 md=4 dev.=1.1
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance	the module have _D	Definitely agree	33.3%	37% 18.		7.4%	Definitely disagr	e I	n=27 av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=1.17
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the _D	efinitely agree	19.2% 	38.5% 19.	2% 15.4%	7.7%	Definitely disagree	e 💽	n=26 av.=3.46 md=4 dev.=1.21
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with _D	efinitely agree	11.1%	40.7% 29.	6% <u>11.1%</u>	7.4%	Definitely disagree	e 💽	n=27 av.=3.37 md=4 dev.=1.08
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly _D	efinitely agree	27.6%	41.4% 17.3		6.9%	Definitely disagree	e 💽	n=29 av.=3.76 md=4 dev.=1.15
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the D	— — — — — —	28.6%	42.9% 14.		7.1%	Definitely disagree	e 💽	n=28 av.=3.79 md=4 dev.=1.17
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the qu module	uality of the D	Definitely agree	29.6%	37% 22.:	2% 0%	11.1%	Definitely disagree	ee	n=27 av.=3.74 md=4 dev.=1.23

Language Centre Economics and Finance (IFC6004) No. of responses = 17 (54.84%)



	Survey	Results		
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of answers Std. D Left pole	ev. Mean Median 5% 0% 50% 0% 25% 5 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is below the quality guideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	of 💽 Mean 🖉 quality	value is within the guideline.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely a	gree 17.6% 47.1% 23.5% 11.8 5 4 3 2	Defi	initely disagree n=17 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=0.92
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on t been made clear in advance	the module have Definitely a	gree 23.5% 58.8% 11.8% 5.9 5 4 3 2	Defi	initely disagree n=17 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.79
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feer module	dback during the Definitely a	gree 29.4% 29.4% 29.4% 11.8 5 4 3 2	Defi	initely disagree n=17 av.=3.76 md=4 dev.=1.03
 ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module 	and support with Definitely a	gree 23.5% 41.2% 11.8% 23.5 5 4 3 2	Defi	initely disagree av.=3.65 md=4 dev.=1.11
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly Definitely a	gree 17.6% 41.2% 35.3% 5.9 5 4 3 2	Defi	initely disagree av.=3.71 dev.=0.85
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the Definitely a	gree 5 4 3 2		initely disagree av.=3.76 md=4 dev.=0.97
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the qu module	uality of the Definitely	gree 29.4% 35.3% 23.5% 11.8 5 4 3 2	Defi	initely disagree av.=3.82 md=4 dev.=1.01

Language Centre Business Studies (IFC6005) No. of responses = 18 (60%)



		Survey R	esults						
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of ar	t pole 5 Scale	Mean 0% 50% 4 3	Median 0% 2 2 Histogram	25%	Right pole		n=No. of av.=Mear nd=Medi dev.=Std ab.=Absto Quality in	an Dev. ention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is I uality guidelin	below the e.	Mean is tolerance guideline	e for the qu	ange of ality		Mean value is w quality guideline	ithin th	e
1. Rate this module									
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	33.3%	22.2% 27.8	% 16.7% → 2	0%	Definitely disagre	ee	n=18 av.=3.72 md=4 dev.=1.13
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree		38.9% 22.2	2	5.6%	Definitely disagre	e I	n=18 av.=3.94 md=4 dev.=1.06
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree		33.3% 27.8	% <u>11.1%</u> H	0%	Definitely disagre	ee 💽	n=18 av.=3.78 md=4 dev.=1
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree		33.3% 27.8	% <u>5.6%</u>	5.6%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=18 av.=3.72 md=4 dev.=1.13
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree		38.9% 22.2	% <u>11.1%</u>	5.6%	Definitely disagre	ee 💽	n=18 av.=3.61 md=4 dev.=1.14
 ^{1.6)} I had access to good learning remodule 	esources for the	Definitely agree		35.3% 23.5	% <u>5.9%</u> ⊣ 2	5.9%	Definitely disagre	ee	n=17 av.=3.76 md=4 dev.=1.15
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the qui module	uality of the	Definitely agree		16.7% 38.9 4 3	<u>% 5.6%</u>	11.1%	Definitely disagre	ee	n=18 av.=3.44 md=3 dev.=1.29



		Survey R	esults							
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of a	ft pole 5 Scale	Mean 0% 50% 4 3	Media 6 0% 2 Histog	25% 		Right pole	av.= md= dev. ab.=	o. of r Mean Media Std. Abste	an Dev. Intion
Description of quality symbo	Mean value is quality guidelin	below the ne.	Mean is toleranc guidelin	e for th	the ran e qualit	ge of y		Mean value is with quality guideline.	in th	e
1. Rate this module										
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree		14.3%	0%	0%	0%	Definitely disagree		n=7 av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.38
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking been made clear in advance	on the module have	Definitely agree	57.1%	4 28.6%	3	2	1 	Definitely disagree		n=7 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.79
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate module	e feedback during the	Definitely agree	71.4%		3	2	0%	Definitely disagree		n=7 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.49
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient ac my studies on the module	lvice and support with	Definitely agree	100%	 0% 4	0% 3	2		Definitely disagree		n=7 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
^{1.5)} The module is well organise	and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	71.4%	28.6%	0%	0%	0%	Definitely disagree		n=7 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.49
^{1.6)} I had access to good learnir module	ng resources for the	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		4 28.6%	3	2 0%	1 	Definitely disagree		n=7 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.49
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with th module	ne quality of the	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		14.3% 1 4	0%	0%	0%	Definitely disagree		n=7 av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.38