Maths UG Taught Overall: Sem 1 & 2 2012/13

No. of responses = 2458

Queen Mary
University of London

Survey Results

Legend

Question text

Relative Frequencies of answers | Std. Dev. | Mean | Median

Left pole ... Right pole

Scale ... Histogram

n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

---

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught

Definitely agree — Definitely disagree

36.2% | 40% | 15.9% | 5.5% | 2.4%

n=2433
av.=4.02
md=4
dev.=0.98

1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance

Definitely agree — Definitely disagree

19.4% | 34.1% | 29.9% | 12.5% | 4.2%

n=2428
av.=3.52
md=4
dev.=1.07

1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module

Definitely agree — Definitely disagree

23.9% | 37.4% | 25.5% | 9.9% | 3.2%

n=2420
av.=3.69
md=4
dev.=1.04

1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module

Definitely agree — Definitely disagree

24.3% | 36.6% | 25.8% | 10.3% | 3%

n=2416
av.=3.69
md=4
dev.=1.04

1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly

Definitely agree — Definitely disagree

37.7% | 38.1% | 16.6% | 5.1% | 2.4%

n=2430
av.=4.03
md=4
dev.=0.98

1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module

Definitely agree — Definitely disagree

27.4% | 34% | 24.8% | 9.9% | 3.9%

n=2426
av.=3.71
md=4
dev.=1.09

1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree — Definitely disagree

27.5% | 42.3% | 19.8% | 7.8% | 2.6%

n=2423
av.=3.84
md=4
dev.=1