

Drug Discovery and Pre-Clinical Research and Development (WHRM901) No. of responses = 25 (89.29%)





Toxicology: from Molecules to Man (WHRM902) No. of responses = 25 (89.29%)






# William Harvey Research Institute Clinical Study Design (WHRM903)


Clinical Study Design (WHRM903) No. of responses = 30 (85.71%)





Health and Pharmaco-Economics (WHRM909) No. of responses = 27 (84.38%)





### William Harvey Research Institute Forensic Pathology (WHRM925) No. of responses = 15 (62.5%)



#### Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Median Mean 0% 0% n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Question text Right pole Left pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the quality guideline. Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0% 0% 57 1% 42 9% 0% 1.1) The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree × av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.51 46.2% 15.4% 15.4% 0% n=13 av.=3.77 md=4 dev.=1.01 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance ĕ 21.4% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=14 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=1.22 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 46.2% 0% 15.4% 0% 38.5% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=13 av.=4.23 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module dev = 0.7342.9% 21.4% 0% 0% n=14 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.77 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree 35.7% 57.1% 7 1% 0% 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=14 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.61 module 42.9% 14.3% n=14 av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.73 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module


Forensic Identification I (WHRM926) No. of responses = 16 (66.67%)



#### Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Median Mean 0% 0% n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Question text Right pole Left pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the quality guideline. Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 43.8% 18.8% 6.3% 0% 1.1) The module is well taught Definitely disagree Definitely agree av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.89 31.3% 18.8% 12.5% 31.3% 6.3% n=16 av.=3.06 md=3 dev.=1.24 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance ě 6.7% 40% 33.3% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=15 av.=3.13 md=3 dev.=1.13 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 6.3% 31.3% 37.5% 0% 25% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=16 av.=3.69 md=4 dev.=1.08 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module 40% 20% 20% n=15 av.=3.6 md=4 dev.=1.06 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 31.3% 37.5% 18.8% 6.3% 6.3% I had access to good learning resources for the n=16 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • av.=3.81 md=4 dev.=1.17 module ĕ 43.8% 31.3% 6.3% 18.8% n=16 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=0.86 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module ě

Forensic Identification II (WHRM927) No. of responses = 16 (66.67%)





Legal and Ethical Issues Relevant to Forensic Medicine and Science (WHRM930) No. of responses = 17 (70.83%)



