
William Harvey Research Institute Sport Injury Assessment 1 (WHR7022) No. of responses = 11 (73.33%)



		Survey Re	esults									
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of a	t pole 5 Scale	Mean 0% 50% 4 3	Media 0%	25% H	1	Right pole					
Description of quality symbol Quality guideline. Mean value is below the Quality guideline. Mean is within the range of I tolerance for the quality guideline.						ge of y	Quality index					
1. Rate this module												
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	70%	30% 	0%	0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=10 av.=4.7 md=5 dev.=0.48		
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	75%	25% 	0% 3	0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=8 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.46		
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	75%	12.5%	12.5%	2	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=8 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.74		
⁴⁾ I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module			80%	20% H	0% 3	2	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=10 av.=4.8 md=5 dev.=0.42		
⁵⁾ The module is well organised and runs smoothly		Definitely agree	75%	25% 		2	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=8 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.46		
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	55.6%	4 33.3%		2	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=9 av.=4.44 md=5 dev.=0.73		
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the que module	uality of the	Definitely agree	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=8 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.46		

William Harvey Research Institute Podiatry and Biomechanics (WHR7023) No. of responses = 7 (50%)





William Harvey Research Institute Research Methods (WHR7026) No. of responses = 6 (42.86%)



		Survey R	esults							
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of anso Left p	25%	Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram			Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention			
Description of quality symbol	toleranc	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 				Mean value is within the quality guideline.				
1. Rate this module										
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	20%	60%	20% 	0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=5 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.71
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	25% E	75%	0%	0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=4 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.5
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	20%	80%	0%	0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=5 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.48
⁴⁾ I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module		Definitely agree	20% • • • •	80%	0%	0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=5 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.45
⁵⁾ The module is well organised and runs smoothly		Definitely agree	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=4 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.5
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	25%	4 75%	3	2	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=4 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.5
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the qu module	uality of the	Definitely agree	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=3 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0