Wolfson Institute Overall: Sem 1&2 12/13

No. of responses = 89

Queen Mary
University of London

Survey Results

Legend

Question text

Relative Frequencies of answers   Std. Dev.   Mean   Median

Left pole

25%   0%   50%   0%   25%

Right pole

Scale   5   4   3   2   1   Histogram

n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Quality index

Description of quality symbol

| | Mean value is below the quality guideline. | | Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. | | Mean value is within the quality guideline. |

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught

Definitely agree   6.7%   65.2%   14.6%   13.5%   0%   Definitely disagree

5   4   3   2   1

n=89
av.=3.65
md=4
dev.=0.8

1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance

Definitely agree   13.5%   40.4%   22.5%   13.5%   10.1%   Definitely disagree

5   4   3   2   1

n=89
av.=3.34
md=4
dev.=1.18

1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module

Definitely agree   13.8%   43.7%   26.4%   11.5%   4.6%   Definitely disagree

5   4   3   2   1

n=87
av.=3.51
md=4
dev.=1.02

1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module

Definitely agree   7.1%   45.9%   24.7%   16.5%   5.9%   Definitely disagree

5   4   3   2   1

n=85
av.=3.32
md=4
dev.=1.03

1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly

Definitely agree   9.2%   23%   24.1%   24.1%   19.5%   Definitely disagree

5   4   3   2   1

n=87
av.=2.78
md=3
dev.=1.26

1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module

Definitely agree   16.3%   48.8%   23.3%   8.1%   3.5%   Definitely disagree

5   4   3   2   1

n=86
av.=3.66
md=4
dev.=0.97

1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree   8%   36.8%   34.5%   14.9%   5.7%   Definitely disagree

5   4   3   2   1

n=87
av.=3.26
md=3
dev.=1.01