
Barts Cancer Institute Laparoscopy Skills (CAN7001) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 5 (83.33%)



		Survey R	esults							
Legend Question text	text Left pole Scale		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram			Right pole		n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention		
Description of quality symbol			 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 				e M		Quality index	
1. Rate this module										
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	100%	0%	0%	2	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=5 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance 	the module have	Definitely agree	100%	0%	0%	2	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=5 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
I have been given adequate feedback during the module		Definitely agree	100%	4	3	2	1 0% 1	Definitely disagr	ee	n=5 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
⁴⁾ I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module		Definitely agree		 0% 4	 0% 3	2	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=5 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly		Definitely agree	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=5 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	5	4	3 0% 	2 0%	1 0% 1	Definitely disagr	ee	n=5 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
 ⁷⁾ Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module 		Definitely agree		4		2	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=5 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0

Barts Cancer Institute Laparoscopic Suturing Skills (CAN7004) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 5 (83.33%)






Barts Cancer Institute Research Methods (CAN7007) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 5 (83.33%)




		Survey R	esults								
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of ar	t pole 5	Mean 0% 50% 4 3	Median 0% 25% 2 1			Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention			
	Scale		Histogram					Quality index			
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelin	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 				Mean value is within the quality guideline.					
1. Rate this module											
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	100%	0%	0%	2	0%	Definitely disagr	e 💽	n=4 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0	
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Definitely disagn	e I	n=5 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0	
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree		4	3	2	1	Definitely disagn	e 💽	n=5 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0	
⁴⁾ I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module		Definitely agree		4	3	2	1	Definitely disagn	e	n=4 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0	
^{.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly		Definitely agree		4	3	2	1	Definitely disagn	e I	n=5 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0	
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	5 60%	4 20%	3 20%	2	1	Definitely disagn	ee 💽	n=5 av.=4.4 md=5 dev.=0.89	
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the q module	uality of the	Definitely agree		 20% 	0% 3	2		— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	e 💽	n=5 av.=4.8 md=5 dev.=0.45	



Cancer Biology (CANM902)

No. of responses = 20

Queen Mary




Cancer Pharmacology (CANM903) No. of responses = 21



Pathology of Cancer (CANM909)

No. of responses = 18

Queen Mary University of London



26.02.2014

Basic Pathology (CANM915)

No. of responses = 9

Queen Mary

