# Economics and Finance Macroeconomics A (ECOM001) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 11 (61.11%)



# Survey Results

#### Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Mean 0% Question text n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Left pole Right pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value is as quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 81.8% 18.2% 1.1) The module is well taught n=11 av.=3.82 md=4 dev.=0.4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 45.5% 9.1% 27.3% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=11 av.=3.55 md=4 dev.=1.13 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 27.3% 36.4% 0% 0% 36.4% I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.89 module 36.4% 36.4% 27.3% 0% 0% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=11 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.83 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module 9.1% 45.5% 27.3% 18.2% 0% 1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=11 av.=3.45 md=4 dev.=0.93 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • ĕ 45.5% 18.2% 9.1% 27.3% n=11 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=0.94 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree (E) module ě 63.6% 18.2% 9.1% Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=11 av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=0.79 Definitely agree • Definitely disagree module ŏ

Economics and Finance
Microeconomics A (ECOM002)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 14 (82.35%)



# Survey Results

#### Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Mean 0% Question text n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Left pole Right pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value is as quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 21.4% 1.1) The module is well taught n=14 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.76 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 76.9% 15.4% 0% 7 7% 0% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=13 av.=4.62 md=5 dev.=0.87 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 7.1% 35.7% 0% 0% 57.1% n=14 av.=4.21 md=5 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module dev = 0.973 53.8% 30.8% 7.7% 7.7% 0% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=13 av.=4.31 md=5 dev.=0.95 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module 61.5% 30.8% 7 7% 0% 0% 1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=13 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.54 md=5 dev.=0.66 53.8% 38.5% n=13 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.66 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 28.6% 21.4% 0% 0% Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=14 av.=4.29 md=4.5 dev.=0.83 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module

**Economics and Finance** Econometrics A (ECOM003) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 32 (86.49%)

module




#### Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Mean 0% Question text n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Left pole Right pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value is as quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 6.3% 6.3% 1.1) The module is well taught n=32 av.=4.25 md=4.5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 46.9% 12.5% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=32 av.=4.06 md=4 dev.=0.95 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 22.6% 29% 0% 3.2% 45.2% n=31 av.=4.06 md=4 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module dev = 1.0331.3% 12.5% 6.3% 6.3% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=32 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.19 my studies on the module 59 4% 28.1% 9.4% 0% 3.1% 1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=32 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.41 md=5 dev.=0.91 28.1% 9.4% 43.8% n=32 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=1.34 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree (E) module ě 51.6% 35.5% 3.2% 3.2% Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=31 av.=4.23 md=5 dev.=1.12 Definitely agree Definitely disagree

Time Series Analysis (ECOM014)

Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 27 (96.43%)





Economics and Finance Corporate Finance (ECOM015) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 35 (74.47%)




#### Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Mean 0% Question text n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Left pole Right pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value is as quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 55.9% 1.1) The module is well taught n=34 av.=4.26 md=4 dev.=0.62 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 38.2% 20.6% 5.9% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=34 av.=4.03 md=4 dev.=0.9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 39.4% 21.2% 0% 0% 39.4% n=33 av.=4.18 md=4 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module dev = 0.7740.6% 15.6% 0% 0% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=32 av.=4.28 md=4 dev.=0.73 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module 37.5% 46.9% 12.5% 3.1% 0% 1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=32 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.19 md=4 dev.=0.78 45.5% 9.1% n=33 av.=4.18 md=4 dev.=0.85 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 39.4% 18.2% Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=33 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=0.83 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module

Mathematics for Economists (ECOM036)


Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 15 (88.24%)





# **Economics and Finance** Quantitative Techniques (ECOM037) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 50 (79.37%)





Commercial and Investment Banking (ECOM049) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 391 (85%)




#### Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Mean 0% Question text n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Left pole Right pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value is as quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 29.2% 13.8% 1.1) The module is well taught n=363 av.=3.32 md=3 dev.=1.15 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 26.6% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=357 av.=3.58 md=4 dev.=1.18 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 34.4% 16.9% 13.9% 25.7% n=366 av.=3.19 md=3 dev.=1.15 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ě 30.1% 29.5% 15.9% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=352 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.24 md=3 dev.=1.18 my studies on the module Õ 14.6% 27.8% 32% 14.3% 11.2% 1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=356 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ▣ av.=3.2 md=3 dev.=1.19 ĕ 26.2% 10.1% n=355 av.=3.5 md=4 dev.=1.22 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree (E) module ě 29.8% 30.9% 13.2% Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=356 av.=3.24 md=3 dev.=1.19 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ŏ

Investment Management (ECOM050)


Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 388 (74.47%)





Economics and Finance
Business Finance (ECOM051)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 153 (74.63%)






# Economics and Finance Financial Statements (ECOM052)

Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 205 (57.42%)






Quantitative Methods in Finance (ECOM053)

Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 327 (74.66%)








Investments (ECOM065) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 68 (80.95%)



#### Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Mean 0% Question text n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Left pole Right pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value is as quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 17.7% 1.1) The module is well taught n=62 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=1.07 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 20% 4 6% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=65 av.=4.2 md=5 dev.=1.02 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 22.6% 1.6% 45.2% 29% 1.6% n=62 av.=4.08 md=4 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module dev =0.98 28.6% 22.2% 6.3% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=63 av.=4.02 md=4 dev.=1.02 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module











Definitely disagree

I had access to good learning resources for the module





Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



n=61 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=1.03

Econometrics for Finance (ECOM072)

Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 27 (57.45%)



#### Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Mean 0% Question text n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Left pole Right pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value is as quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 30.8% 1.1) The module is well taught n=26 av.=3.62 md=4 dev.=0.9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 44% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=25 av.=3.6 md=3 dev.=1.04 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 38.5% 11.5% 0% 23.1% 26.9% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=26 av.=3.62 md=3.5 dev.=0.98 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 37.5% 12.5% 25% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=24 av.=3.29 md=4 dev.=1.27 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module Õ 26.9% 23.1% 26.9% 19.2% 3.8% 1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=26 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ▣ av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=1.21 ĕ 28% n=25 av.=3.76 md=4 dev.=1.09 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree (E) module ě 29.2% 33.3% 8.3% Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=24 av.=3.46 md=3.5 dev.=1.18 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ŏ