Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Control Systems (ECS601U) No. of responses = 61 (68.54%)



		Survey Re	esults						
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of an Left	swers Std. Dev.	Mean 0% 50%	Median 0% 25	5% 	Right pole	a n d	=No. of v.=Mear id=Medi ev.=Std. b.=Abste	an Dev.
		Scale		Histogram				uality in	dex
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is t quality guideline	pelow the e.	Mean is tolerance guideline	e for the qua	nge of llity	P N	lean value is wi uality guideline	thin th	e
1. Rate this module									
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	31.1%	41% 9.8%	8.2% H	9.8%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=61 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1.26
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree		43.3% 10%	8.3%	11.7%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=60 av.=3.65 md=4 dev.=1.2
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree		37.7% 18%	3.3%	8.2%	Definitely disagre	e 📭	n=61 av.=3.84 md=4 dev.=1.1
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree		32.8% 14.8%	8.2%	11.5%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=61 av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=1.3
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree		36.1% 21.3%	4.9% 1 2	6.6%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=61 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=1.1
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	34.4%	41% 9.8%	8.2%	6.6%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=61 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=1.1
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the que module	uality of the	Definitely agree		41.7% 13.3%	10%	8.3%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=60 av.=3.68 md=4 dev.=1.2


Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Digital Signal Processing (ECS602U) No. of responses = 67 (72.83%)



		Survey Re	esults							
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of a	answers Std. Dev. 25% off pole 5 Scale	Mean 0% 509 4 3		25% H		Right pole	a r c	=No. of v.=Mea nd=Med ev.=Std b.=Abst	ian . Dev.
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelin	below the ne.	Mean is toleranc guidelin	e for the	ne rang quality	e of		Mean value is w quality guideline	Quality ir ithin th	
1. Rate this module										
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	7.6%	25.8% 2	28.8%	18.2%	19.7%	Definitely disagree	e 🍺	n=66 av.=2.83 md=3 dev.=1.24
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	6%	34.3%	35.8%	11.9%	11.9%	Definitely disagree	e 💽	n=67 av.=3.1 md=3 dev.=1.09
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	6.1%	25.8% 2	27.3%	21.2%	19.7%	Definitely disagree	e 🍋	n=66 av.=2.77 md=3 dev.=1.27
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	6%	29.9% 3	35.8%	14.9%	13.4%	Definitely disagree	e 💽	n=67 av.=3 md=3 dev.=1.1
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree		31.3% 2	29.9%	14.9%	11.9%	Definitely disagree	e 💽	n=67 av.=3.16 md=3 dev.=1.19
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree		31.8% 2	28.8%	15.2%	10.6%	Definitely disagro	e 💽	n=66 av.=3.23 md=3 dev.=1.19
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the que module	uality of the	Definitely agree	7.5%	20.9%	34.3%	20.9%	16.4%	Definitely disagree	e 🍯	n=67 av.=2.82 md=3 dev.=1.17

Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Entrepreneurship in Information Technology (ECS604U) No. of responses = 36 (61.02%)






Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Communication Systems Electronics (ECS606U) No. of responses = 57 (71.25%)



		Survey Re	esults							
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of a	t pole 5 Scale	Mean 0% 509 4 3	Media 6 0% 2 Histogr	25%		Right pole		n=No. of av.=Meai nd=Medi dev.=Std dev.=Abst	an Dev. ention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is guality guidelir	below the e.	Mean is toleranc guideline	e for the	he ran e quali	ge of y		Mean value is v quality guideline	vithin th	le
1. Rate this module										
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	50.9%	45.6%	3.5%	0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=57 av.=4.47 md=5 dev.=0.57
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on t been made clear in advance	he module have	Definitely agree	52.6%	43.9%	3.5%	0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=57 av.=4.49 md=5 dev.=0.57
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feed module	dback during the	Definitely agree	52.6%	36.8%	7%	1.8%	1.8%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=57 av.=4.37 md=5 dev.=0.84
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	45.6%	43.9%	8.8%	0%	1.8%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=57 av.=4.32 md=4 dev.=0.78
^{1.5)} The module is well organised an	id runs smoothly	Definitely agree	51.8%	41.1% 41.1%	7.1%	0%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=56 av.=4.45 md=5 dev.=0.63
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	sources for the	Definitely agree	43.9%	40.4%	10.5% H	5.3%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=57 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.85
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the qu module	uality of the	Definitely agree	49.1%	40.4%	8.8%	1.8%	0%	Definitely disagr	ee	n=57 av.=4.37 md=4 dev.=0.72


Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Data Mining (ECS607U) No. of responses = 73 (75.26%)






Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Distributed Systems and Security (ECS608U) No. of responses = 40 (75.47%)





Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Computer Graphics (ECS610U) No. of responses = 18 (56.25%)

Queen Mary




Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Interaction Design (ECS612U) No. of responses = 47 (73.44%)



	Surve	/ Results		
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Left pole	Dev. Mean Median 25% 0% 50% 0% 25% 5 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is below the quality guideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	of 💽 Mean equality	Quality index
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely	agree 19.1% 66% 10.6% 2.19 5 4 3 2		finitely disagree initely disagree initely disagree av.=3.98 md=4 dev.=0.77
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have Definitely	agree 14.9% 42.6% 34% 4.39 5 4 3 2		finitely disagree av.=3.6 md=4 dev.=0.95
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the Definitely		8.5% De	finitely disagree mean of the second secon
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with Definitely	agree 14.9% 53.2% 19.1% 10.6 5 4 3 2		finitely disagree n=47 av.=3.68 md=4 dev.=0.93
^{1.5)} The module is well organised an	nd runs smoothly Definitely	agree 21.3% 59.6% 14.9% 2.19 5 4 3 2		finitely disagree n=47 av.=3.96 md=4 dev.=0.81
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning remodule	esources for the Definitely	agree 34% 40.4% 17% 4.3%		finitely disagree initely disagree initely disagree av.=3.96 md=4 dev.=1.04
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the q module	uality of the Definitely	agree 17% 51.1% 23.4% 4.39 5 4 3 2		finitely disagree n=47 av.=3.72 md=4 dev.=0.95


Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Advanced Database Systems and Technology (ECS613U) No. of responses = 54 (68.35%)






Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Multimedia (ECS632U) No. of responses = 32 (58.18%)





Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Algorithms and Complexity (ECS634U) No. of responses = 50 (78.13%)





Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Design for Human Interaction (ECS638U) No. of responses = 32 (72.73%)



