DRA106 Performance in History


No. of responses = 67



Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module 0% 59.7% 1.1) The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.51 md=5 dev.=0.7 56.7% 17.9% 0% Definitely disagree


The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




n=67 av.=4.03 md=4 dev.=0.7








n=65 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.87








Definitely disagree

n=67 av.=4.52 md=5 dev = 0.75

The module is well organised and runs smoothly






n=66 av.=4.35 md=4 dev.=0.73 Definitely disagree


I had access to good learning resources for the module





n=67 Definitely disagree av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.75

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Definitely disagree

n=66 av.=4.26 md=4 dev.=0.81

DRA108 Theatre and its Others





Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Median Mean 0% 0% n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Question text Left pole Right pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the quality guideline. Description of quality symbol Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 7 4% 8.8% 42 6% 2 9% 1.1) The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.04 md=4 dev.=1.04 25.4% 11.9% n=67 av.=3.69 md=4 dev.=0.91 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 30.9% 25% 11.8% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=68 av.=3.78 md=4 dev.=1.06 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 31.3% 22.4% 10.4% 4.5% 31.3% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=67 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1.15 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module

Definitely agree

Definitely agree

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

module

The module is well organised and runs smoothly

I had access to good learning resources for the

16.4% 41.8% Definitely agree

50%

52.2%

20.9%

19.1%

20.9%

8.8%

6%

0%

av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.81 • ĕ

n=67

n=68 av.=3.79 md=4 dev.=0.92

Õ

Definitely disagree

Definitely disagree

Definitely disagree

n=67 av.=4.03 md=4 dev.=1

DRA111 Interventions


No. of responses = 35



Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention




Description of quality symbol




Mean value is below the quality guideline.




Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.



1. Rate this module




Definitely agree





The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance








I have been given adequate feedback during the module





n=35 av.=3.43 md=4 dev.=1.17 Definitely disagree

I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module










n=35 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=0.9

The module is well organised and runs smoothly






n=34 av.=3.76 md=4 dev.=1.02 Definitely disagree Õ

I had access to good learning resources for the module






n=35 Definitely disagree av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=0.9

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module





Definitely disagree

DRA113 Staging the Image


No. of responses = 34



Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention




Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



60.6% 30.3% 6 1% 0% Definitely agree Definitely disagree

8.8%


8.8%

2.9%

av.=4.45 md=5 dev.=0.83

The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance





n=34 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.04

I have been given adequate feedback during the module






n=34 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=1.17 Definitely disagree

dev = 1.03

I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module






n=33 av.=4.39 md=5

The module is well organised and runs smoothly






Definitely disagree

I had access to good learning resources for the






n=34 av.=4.29 md=5 dev.=0.94

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module





n=34 Definitely disagree av.=4.35 md=5 dev.=0.92

70.6% 11.8% 11.8% Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=34 av.=4.47 md=5 dev.=0.93

module