School of Languages, Linguistics and Film Sounds of English (LIN112) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 22 (56.41%)



Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Mean 0% 500 0% Question text n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Left pole Right pole 3 2 5 1 Scal Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value lo so quality guideline. ð tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 13.6% 9.1% 0% 0% 77.3% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=22 av.=4.68 md=5 dev.=0.65 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ي ا : 5 4 3 2 31.8% 22.7% 31.8% 9 1% 4 5% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=22 av.=3.68 md=4 dev.=1.17 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ¥ e been made clear in advance Ĩ 5 3 2 45.5% 4.5% 4.5% 13.6% 31.8% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=22 av.=3.45 md=3 dev.=0.96 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ 5 4 3 2 36.4% 36.4% 18.2% 4.5% 4.5% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=22 av.=3.95 md=4 dev.=1.09 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module ē 3 2 1 5 4 72.7% 22.7% 4 5% 0% 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=22 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.68 md=5 dev.=0.57 <u>بن</u> • 5 3 2 68.2% 27.3% 4.5% 0% 0% 1.6) n=22 av.=4.64 md=5 dev.=0.58 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree H. • module 5 3 2 Δ 1 63.6% 31.8% 0% 4.5% 0% 1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=22 av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=0.74 Ţ Definitely agree Definitely disagree : module 5 3 2 4


School of Languages, Linguistics and Film Foundations of Linguistics (LIN400) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 56 (76.71%)



		Survey Re	esults							
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev.		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram			Right pole	a n d	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention		
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is t quality guideling	pelow the e.	 Mean is toleranc guidelin 	e for the q	range of uality		_	thin th		
1. Rate this module										
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	16.4%		.6% 10.9% 1 3 2	0%	Definitely disagre	e I	n=55 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=0.88	
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree		33.3% 25. 4	9% 18.5%	11.1%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=54 av.=3.15 md=3 dev.=1.19	
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	5.8%		6% 23.1% 1 3 2	9.6%	Definitely disagre	e 📕	n=52 av.=2.96 md=3 dev.=1.07	
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	17%	24.5% 35.	8% 15.1% 3 2	7.5%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=53 av.=3.28 md=3 dev.=1.15	
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	The module is well organised and runs smoothly		24.5%		5% 8.2% 3 2	6.1%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=49 av.=3.65 md=4 dev.=1.13	
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree		51.9% 18.	5% 11.1% 1 3 2	3.7%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=54 av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=1	
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the que module	uality of the	Definitely agree		34.5% 30.	9% 5.5%	7.3%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=55 av.=3.58 md=4 dev.=1.12	

School of Languages, Linguistics and Film Language in the UK (LIN405) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 26 (57.78%)





School of Languages, Linguistics and Film Practicum in Linguistic Analysis (LIN406) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 37 (55.22%)



		Survey R	esults						
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev. 25% Left pole 5 Scale		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram			Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention		
Description of quality symbol	r symbol Mean value is below the quality guideline.			within the e for the q e.	range of uality		Quality index Quality index Mean value is within the quality guideline.		
1. Rate this module									
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	43.2%		1% 2.7% 3 2	2.7%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=37 av.=4.22 md=4 dev.=0.92
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree			5% 11.1%	5.6%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=36 av.=3.64 md=4 dev.=1.17
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	28.6%		6% 14.3%	2.9%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=35 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=1.1
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	34.3%		1% 8.6% 3 2	0%	Definitely disagre	e	n=35 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.94
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	25.7%		0% 8.6%	5.7%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=35 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=1.13
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree		35.3% 35.	3% 2.9%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=34 av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=0.86
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the que module	uality of the	Definitely agree		44.4% 22.	2% 2.8% 1 3 2	2.8%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=36 av.=3.92 md=4 dev.=0.94