
Centre for Commercial Law Studies Trade Mark Law and Practice B (IPLC011) No. of responses = 6 (50%)






LLM	0%	n=6
MSc Law and Finance	0%	
LLM Law and Economics	0%	
PGCert / PGDip International Finance Law	50%	
Dresden	0%	
Semester in London	0%	
LLM Paris	0%	

Centre for Commercial Law Studies Patents - CIPA (IPLM009) No. of responses = 14 (43.75%)






LLM (7.1%
MSc Law and Finance (14.3%
LLM Law and Economics	0%
PGCert / PGDip International Finance Law	0%
Dresden	0%
Semester in London	0%
LLM Paris	0%

Study Project (IPLM027) No. of responses = 24 (80%)






LLM	16.7%
MSc Law and Finance	16.7%
LLM Law and Economics	0%
PGCert / PGDip International Finance Law	0%
Dresden	0%
Semester in London	0%
LLM Paris	0%

Basic Principles of English Law, Evidence and Practice (IPLM028) No. of responses = 34 (100%)






LLM	0%
MSc Law and Finance	0%
LLM Law and Economics	0%
PGCert / PGDip International Finance Law	0%
Dresden	0%
Semester in London	0%
LLM Paris	0%

The Law of Competition I (IPLM031) No. of responses = 13 (108.33%)






LLM (15.4%
MSc Law and Finance	15.4%
LLM Law and Economics	0%
PGCert / PGDip International Finance Law	0%
Dresden	0%
Semester in London	0%
LLM Paris	0%

Centre for Commercial Law Studies The Law of Copyrights and Designs I (IPLM033) No. of responses = 13 (44.83%)

Queen Mary
University of London



LLM	7.7%
MSc Law and Finance	15.4%
LLM Law and Economics	0%
PGCert / PGDip International Finance Law	0%
Dresden	0%
Semester in London	0%
LLM Paris	0%

The Law of Patents I and II (IPLM041) No. of responses = 14 (43.75%)




Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Median Mean 0% 0% n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Question text Right pole Left pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value is be quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 71 4% 28.6% 0% 0% 0% 1.1) The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.47 42.9% 50% 0% 0% n=14 av.=4.36 md=4.5 dev.=0.84 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 35.7% 21.4% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=14 av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=1.14 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 64.3% 28.6% 7.1% 0% 0% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=14 av.=4.57 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module dev = 0.6571.4% 28.6% 0% 0% 0% n=14 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.47 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree 1 57.1% 35.7% 7 1% 0% 0% 1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the n=14 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.65 module 42.9% 0% n=14 av.=4.43 md=4.5 dev.=0.65 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree : module

LLM (7.1%
MSc Law and Finance (14.3%
LLM Law and Economics	0%
PGCert / PGDip International Finance Law	0%
Dresden	0%
Semester in London	0%
LLM Paris	0%

Trade Mark Law I and II (IPLM044) No. of responses = 14 (43.75%)






egistered
е

LLM	7.1%
MSc Law and Finance	14.3%
LLM Law and Economics	0%
PGCert / PGDip International Finance Law	0%
Dresden	0%
Semester in London	0%
LLM Paris	0%

IP in Biotechnology, Food and Agriculture (IPLM047) No. of responses = 9 (100%)





LLM	0%
MSc Law and Finance	11.1%
LLM Law and Economics	0%
PGCert / PGDip International Finance Law	0%
Dresden	0%
Semester in London	0%
LLM Paris	0%