School of Physics and Astronomy Physics Laboratory (PHY5201) No. of responses = 54 (85.71%)



	Survey R	esults			
Legend Relative Frequencies of Question text	of answers Std. Dev. Left pole	Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.= md= dev: ab.=	o. of responses Meain Std. Dev. Abstention
Description of quality symbol	is below the eline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	💽 M 💆 qu	ean value is withi uality guideline.	in the
1. Rate this module					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	26.9% 34.6% 23.1% 5.8%	9.6%	Definitely disagree	n=52 av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=1.22
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	Definitely agree	13.2% 28.3% 13.2% 37.7% 5 4 3 2	7.5%	Definitely disagree	n=53 av.=3.02 md=3 dev.=1.23
 ^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback during the module 	Definitely agree	11.3% 35.8% 24.5% 17% 5 4 3 2	11.3%	Definitely disagree	n=53 av.=3.19 md=3 dev.=1.19
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7.8%	Definitely disagree	n=51 av.=3.33 md=4 dev.=1.24
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree		 1	Definitely disagree	n=50 av.=3.78 md=4 dev.=1.07
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources for the module	Definitely agree		4%	Definitely disagree	n=50 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=1.04
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree		10.2%	Definitely disagree	n=49 av.=3.76 md=4 dev.=1.22
5. Associate students					
^{5.2)} Are you a student participating in the 'Science with	nout Borders' sc	heme?			

Yes 0%

School of Physics and Astronomy Electromagnetic Waves and Optics (PHY5222) No. of responses = 68 (68%)



		Survey R	esults					
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of answe Left pol	25%	Mean 0% 50% 4 3	Median 6 0% 25 1 2 Histogram	1	Right pole	av.= md= dev. ab.=	o. of responses Mean Median =Std. Dev. Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is belo quality guideline.	ow the	Mean is toleranc guideline	within the ra e for the qua e.	inge of ility		Mean value is with quality guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module								
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	7.7%	63.1% 21.5% 4 3	2	3.1%	Definitely disagree	n=65 av.=3.68 md=4 dev.=0.81
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance	e module have	Definitely agree	27.3%	47% 24.2%	2	<u> </u>	Definitely disagree	n=66 av.=3.98 md=4 dev.=0.81
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedb module	ack during the	Definitely agree		43.1% 35.4% 4 3	2	0%	Definitely disagree	n=65 av.=3.68 md=4 dev.=0.81
 ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice a my studies on the module 	nd support with	Definitely agree	12.5%	50% 32.8%	2	 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=64 av.=3.7 md=4 dev.=0.75
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and	runs smoothly	Definitely agree	39.1%	54.7% 6.3%	0%	0%	Definitely disagree	n=64 av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.59
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning reso module	ources for the	Definitely agree		26.2% 10.8% 4 3	2	0%	Definitely disagree	n=65 av.=4.52 md=5 dev.=0.69
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the qua module	lity of the	Definitely agree		56.1% 13.6%	2	3%	Definitely disagree	n=66 av.=3.95 md=4 dev.=0.88
5. Associate students								

^{5.2)} Are you a student participating in the 'Science without Borders' scheme?

Yes ()	2.1%	n=48
No	97.9%	

School of Physics and Astronomy Condensed Matter A (PHY5228) No. of responses = 60 (60.61%)



	9	Survey Re	esults					
Legend Re Question text	elative Frequencies of answers Left pole Scale	25%	Mean 0% 509 4 3	Median % 0% 1 2 Histogram	25%	Right pole	av.= md= dev. ab.=	o, of response Mean Median =Std. Dev. Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is below quality guideline.	w the	Mean is toleranc guidelin	e for the c	e range of quality	P N	lean value is with uality guideline.	in the
1. Rate this module								
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	C	Definitely agree	35%		.7% 1.7%	1.7%	Definitely disagree	n=60 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the r been made clear in advance 	nodule have [Definitely agree	11.9%		.9% 8.5%	3.4%	Definitely disagree	n=59 av.=3.5 ■ md=4 dev.=0.
 ^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedbac module 	sk during the c	— — — — — —	10.3%		.7% 19% 3 2	0%	Definitely disagree	n=58 av.=3.3 md=3 dev.=0.
 ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and my studies on the module 	support with	— — — — — —	15.3%		.9% 16.9%	1.7%	Definitely disagree	n=59 av.=3.4 md=3 dev.=1
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and ru	ns smoothly	Definitely agree	33.3%		4% 7%	1.8%	Definitely disagree	n=57 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resour module	ces for the c	Definitely agree			.1% 10.7%	7.1%	Definitely disagree	n=56 av.=3.7 ■ md=4 dev.=1.
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality module	r of the	— — — — — —		36.2% 22	.4% 13.8%	5.2%	Definitely disagree	n=58 av.=3.5 md=4 dev.=1.
 5. Associate students ^{5.2)} Are you a student participating in the 								

Yes

No (

n=43

0%

100%

School of Physics and Astronomy Physics of Energy and the Environment (PHY5250) No. of responses = 13 (54.17%)



	S	Survey R	esults						
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of answers Left pole	25%	Mean 0% 50% 4 3	Median % 0% 250 1 2 Histogram	<u>%</u>	Right pole	av.= md= dev.	o. of re Media =Std. Abste	Dev.
							\backslash	lity ind	ex
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is below quality guideline.	w the	Mean is tolerand guidelin	within the rar te for the qual e.	nge of ity		Aean value is with quality guideline.	in the	9
1. Rate this module									
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	E	Definitely agree	0%	66.7% 25% 4 3	0%	8.3%	Definitely disagree	9	n=12 av.=3.5 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance	ne module have _c		25% F	25% 33.3%	8.3%	8.3%	Definitely disagree	•	n=12 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=1.24
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feed module	back during the c	Definitely agree	16.7%	50% 25% 4 3	0%	8.3%	Definitely disagree	•	n=12 av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=1.07
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice a my studies on the module	and support with _c	Definitely agree	36.4%	36.4% 18.2% 4 3	0%	9.1%	Definitely disagree	9	n=11 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=1.22
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and	d runs smoothly	Definitely agree	0%	63.6% 27.3%	 0% 1 2	9.1%	Definitely disagree		n=11 av.=3.45 md=4 dev.=0.93
 ^{1.6)} I had access to good learning res module 	sources for the	Definitely agree		16.7% 66.7% 4 3	2	0%	Definitely disagree	0	n=12 av.=3.5 md=3 dev.=0.8
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the qua module	ality of the c	Definitely agree	9.1%	45.5% 36.4%	 2	9.1%	Definitely disagree	•	n=11 av.=3.45 md=4 dev.=1.04
5. Associate students									

^{5.2)} Are you a student participating in the 'Science without Borders' scheme?

0% Yes 100% No

School of Physics and Astronomy Physical Dynamics (PHY5304) No. of responses = 36 (57.14%)



		Survey Re	esults						
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of answer Left pole	25%	Mean 0% 50% 4 3	Median 2 2 Histogram	5%	Right pole	av.= md= dev. ab.=	o. of re Mediar Std. I Abster	Dev. Ition
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is belo quality guideline.	w the	Mean is tolerance guideline	e for the qua	ange of ality		Mean value is with quality guideline.	in the	
1. Rate this module			-						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	30.6%	66.7% 2.8%	2	0%	Definitely disagree		n=36 av.=4.28 md=4 dev.=0.51
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance	e module have	Definitely agree	25.7%	34.3% 37.19	2.9%	0%	Definitely disagree		n=35 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.86
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedb module	ack during the	Definitely agree	13.9%	47.2% 19.4%	<u>8.3%</u>	11.1%	Definitely disagree		n=36 av.=3.44 md=4 dev.=1.18
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice al my studies on the module	nd support with	Definitely agree	17.1%	42.9% 20%	20% H	0%	Definitely disagree		n=35 av.=3.57 md=4 dev.=1.01
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and	runs smoothly	Definitely agree	37.1%	42.9% 14.3%	<u>6 5.7%</u> 2	0%	Definitely disagree		n=35 av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=0.87
 ^{1.6)} I had access to good learning reso module 	purces for the	Definitely agree	17.6%	8.8% 29.49 4 3	6 32.4% 2	11.8%	Definitely disagree		n=34 av.=2.88 md=3 dev.=1.27
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the qual module	ity of the	Definitely agree		45.7% 14.39	6 <u>11.4%</u> 2		Definitely disagree		n=35 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=0.95
5. Associate students									
5.2)									

^{5.2)} Are you a student participating in the 'Science without Borders' scheme?

Yes	0%
No	100%

School of Physics and Astronomy Stars (PHY5307) No. of responses = 15 (48.39%)



Legend Question text Let pole Scale Let pole Scale Nean value is below the Scale Mean is within the range of guildeline. Scale Mean value is below the Scale Mean value is within the range of guildeline. Scale Mean value is within the range of Scale Mean value is well taught Definitely agree Scale Scale Mean value is well taught Definitely agree Scale Scale Scale Mean value is well taught Definitely agree Scale
Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the quality guideline. Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. Mean value is within the quality guideline. 1. Rate this module Image: the this module is well taught Image: the this module
 1.1) The module is well taught 1.1) The module is well taught Definitely agree 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance Definitely agree
 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance 1.2) Definitely agree 1.3) Definitely agree 14.3% 64.3% 7.1% 7.1% 7.1% 7.1% 7.1% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1
been made clear in advance
 ^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback during the module Definitely agree Definitely agree 5 4 3 2 1
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module $Definitely agree$ $finitely agree$ $fini$
1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree 5 4 3 2 1 Definitely disagree Definitely disagree Defini
 ^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources for the module Definitely agree 15.4% 38.5% 30.8% 7.7% 7.7% Definitely disagree 15.4% 38.5% 30.8% 7.7% Definitely disagree 16.4% 38.5% Definitely disagree 16.4% 38.5% 16.4% 38.5% 17.4% 38.5% 18.4% 38.5% 19.4% 38.5%
 ^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module Definitely agree Definitely agree Solution Solutio
 5. Associate students ^{5.2)} Are you a student participating in the 'Science without Borders' scheme?

Yes 0% No 100%