## School of Physics and Astronomy Electromagnetic Radiation in Astrophysics (AST740P) No. of responses = 6 (85.71%)



Survey Results						
Legend Relative Frequ Question text	Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention		
Description of quality symbol	value is below the y guideline.	<ul> <li>Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.</li> </ul>	Mean va guality (	alue is within the guideline.		
1. Rate this module						
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught	Definitely agree	33.3% 66.7% 0% 0% 5 4 3 2	0%Defin	itely disagree n=6 av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.52		
<ul> <li><sup>1.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on the module l been made clear in advance</li> </ul>	nave Definitely agree	66.7% 16.7% 16.7% 0%	0% Defin	n=6 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.84		
<ul> <li><sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate feedback during module</li> </ul>	g the Definitely agree	66.7% 33.3% 0% 0% 66.7% 33.3% 0% 0% 5 4 3 2	0% Defin	n=6 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.52		
<sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice and suppor my studies on the module	t with Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	0% Defin	itely disagree n=6 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.55		
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoo	Definitely agree	16.7% 50% 16.7% 16.7% 5 4 3 2		itely disagree itely		
<sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning resources for module	the Definitely agree	66.7% 0% 16.7% 16.7% 5 4 3 2		n=6 av.=4.17 md=5 dev.=1.33		
<sup>1.7)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	33.3% 33.3% 33.3% 0% 5 4 3 2	0% Defin	itely disagree n=6 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.89		
<ul> <li>5. Associate students</li> <li><sup>5.2)</sup> Are you a student participating in the 'Science</li> </ul>	e without Borders' so	heme?				

0% Yes 100% No (

#### School of Physics and Astronomy The Galaxy (ASTM002) No. of responses = 9 (81.82%)



Survey Results						
Legend Relative Frequencies of Question text	f answers Std. Dev. Left pole	Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.= md= dev. ab.=	o. of responses Mean Median Std. Dev. Abstention	
Description of quality symbol Rean value i quality guide	s below the line.	<ul> <li>Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.</li> </ul>	P N	lean value is with uality guideline.	in the	
1. Rate this module						
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught	Definitely agree	33.3% 44.4% 22.2% 0%	0%	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=0.78	
<sup>1.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	Definitely agree	44.4% 22.2% 22.2% 0%	11.1%	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=1.36	
<sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate feedback during the module	Definitely agree	22.2% 22.2% 44.4% 0% 22.2% 44.4% 0% 5 4 3 2	11.1%	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=3.44 md=3 dev.=1.24	
<sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module	Definitely agree	33.3% 55.6% 11.1% 0% 5 4 3 2	0%	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.22 md=4 dev.=0.67	
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	44.4% 55.6% 0% 0% 44.4% 55.6% 0% 0% 5 4 3 2	0%	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.44 md=4 dev.=0.53	
<ul> <li><sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning resources for the module</li> </ul>	Definitely agree	66.7% 33.3% 0% 0% 66.7% 33.3% 0% 0% 5 4 3 2	0%	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.5	
<ul> <li><sup>1.7)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module</li> </ul>	Definitely agree	33.3% 44.4% 11.1% 11.1% 5 4 3 2	0%	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1	
5. Associate students						
<sup>5.2)</sup> Are you a student participating in the 'Science with	out Borders' scł	neme?				

Yes 0% No 00%

#### School of Physics and Astronomy Astrophysical Plasmas (ASTM116) No. of responses = 18 (90%)




Survey Results									
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of ans Left p	25%	Mean 0% 509 4 3	Median 6 0% F Histogram	25%	Right pole	av.= md= dev. ab.=	o. of re Media Media Std. Abste	Dev. ntion
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is be quality guideline	elow the	Mean is toleranc guideline	e for the qu	range of uality	N P	lean value is with uality guideline.	in the	9
1. Rate this module									
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught		Definitely agree	64.7%	23.5% 09		0%	Definitely disagree		n=17 av.=4.41 md=5 dev.=1
<sup>1.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance	module have	Definitely agree	12.5%	37.5% 43.6	8% 6.3%	0%	Definitely disagree	•	n=16 av.=3.56 md=3.5 dev.=0.81
<sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate feedba module	ack during the	Definitely agree		44.4% 27.1		0%	Definitely disagree	•	n=18 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.86
<ul> <li><sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice an my studies on the module</li> </ul>	d support with	Definitely agree	29.4%	47.1% 17.		0%	Definitely disagree	•	n=17 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.87
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and r	uns smoothly	Definitely agree	66.7%	33.3% 09		0%	Definitely disagree	•	n=18 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.49
<sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning resound module	urces for the	Definitely agree	55.6%	38.9% 5.6	3% 0%	0%	Definitely disagree		n=18 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.62
<sup>1.7)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the quali module	ty of the	Definitely agree	61.1%		<u> </u>		Definitely disagree	•	n=18 av.=4.39 md=5 dev.=0.98
<ul> <li>5. Associate students</li> <li><sup>5.2)</sup> Are you a student participating in th</li> </ul>	ne 'Science without	Borders' sc	heme?						

Yes 0%

### School of Physics and Astronomy Extrasolar Planets and Astrophysical Discs (ASTM735) No. of responses = 18 (90%)





Yes	0%
No	100%

# School of Physics and Astronomy Advanced Quantum Field Theory (PHY7007U) No. of responses = 12 (80%)



	Survey Results						
Legend Relative Question text	Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Left pole		Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention			
Description of quality symbol	ean value is below the ality guideline.	<ul> <li>Mean is within the range of</li> <li>tolerance for the quality guideline.</li> </ul>	Mean value quality guide				
1. Rate this module							
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught	Definitely ag	83.3% 8.3% 0% 8.3%	0% Definitely di	sagree n=12 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.89			
<sup>1.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on the modu been made clear in advance	Ile have Definitely agr	72.7% 18.2% 9.1% 0%	0% Definitely di	sagree n=11 av.=4.64 md=5 dev.=0.67			
<sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate feedback du module	ring the Definitely agr	5 4 3 2	0% Definitely di	sagree n=12 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.65			
<ul> <li><sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice and sup my studies on the module</li> </ul>	port with Definitely agr	45.5% 36.4% 18.2% 0%	0% Definitely di	sagree n=11 av.=4.27 md=4 dev.=0.79			
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs s	moothly Definitely agr	81.8% 9.1% 0% 9.1% 5 4 3 2	0% Definitely di	sagree n=11 av.=4.64 md=5 dev.=0.92			
<sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning resources module	for the Definitely agr	58.3% 25% 8.3% 0%	8.3% Definitely di	sagree n=12 av.=4.25 md=5 dev.=1.22			
<ul> <li><sup>1.7)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the quality of t module</li> </ul>	he Definitely agr	Pe 75% 16.7% 0% 8.3% 5 4 3 2	0% Definitely di	sagree n=12 av.=4.58 md=5 dev.=0.9			
<ul> <li>5. Associate students</li> <li><sup>5.2)</sup> Are you a student participating in the 'Sci</li> </ul>							

23.04.2014

Yes

No (

n=9

0%

100%