School of Politics and International Relations Ideology and Political Critique (POL308) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 9 (69.23%)



		Survey Re	esults							
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of an	swers Std. Dev.	Mean 0% 50% 4 3	Median	25% H	F	Right pole		n=No. of av.=Meai md=Medi dev.=Std ab.=Abst Quality ir	an Dev. ention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is t quality guideline	pelow the e.	Mean is toleranc guideling	e for the	ne range quality	e of		Mean value is v quality guideline	vithin th e.	e
1. Rate this module										
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	66.7%	33.3%	0%	2	0%	Definitely disage	ee	n=9 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.5
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree		33.3%	22.2%	2	0%	Definitely disage	ee	n=9 av.=4.22 md=4 dev.=0.83
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	62.5%	25%	12.5%	2	0%	Definitely disage	ee	n=8 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.76
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	55.6%	33.3%	3	2	0%	Definitely disag	ee	n=9 av.=4.44 md=5 dev.=0.73
^{1.5)} The module is well organised an	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	87.5%	 0% 4	0% 1	2.5%	0%	Definitely disage	ee	n=8 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=1.06
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning remodule	esources for the	Definitely agree	55.6%	33.3%	3	2	0%	Definitely disage	ree	n=9 av.=4.44 md=5 dev.=0.73
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the que module	uality of the	Definitely agree		22.2% H	0%	2	0%	Definitely disage	ee 💽	n=9 av.=4.78 md=5 dev.=0.44

School of Politics and International Relations Case Studies in Ethnic Conflict (POL322) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 14 (50%)



		Survey R	esults						
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of anso Left p	25%	Mean 0% 50% 4 3	Median 6 0% 4 2 Histogram	25%	Right pole		=No. of v.=Mear nd=Medi ev.=Std b.=Abste Quality in	an Dev. ention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is be quality guideline	elow the	Mean is toleranc guideling	e for the c	e range of quality	P N	lean value is w uality guideline	ithin th	e
1. Rate this module									
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	33.3%	50% 16	3.7% 0%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=12 av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=0.72
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	7.1% 5	57.1% 28	3.6% 7.1% 1 3 2	0%	Definitely disagre	e 📭	n=14 av.=3.64 md=4 dev.=0.74
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	16.7%	50% 33	3.3% 0% 1 3 2	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=12 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.72
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	38.5%	38.5% 23	3.1% 0%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=13 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=0.8
^{1.5)} The module is well organised an	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree		23.1% 38	3.5% 15.4%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=13 av.=3.54 md=3 dev.=1.05
 ^{1.6)} I had access to good learning remodule 	esources for the	Definitely agree	35.7%	28.6% 28	3.6% 7.1% 4 3 2	0%	Definitely disagre	e 🏮	n=14 av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=1
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the que module	uality of the	Definitely agree		35.7% 21	1.4% 14.3%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=14 av.=3.79 md=4 dev.=1.05

School of Politics and International Relations Electoral Behaviour (POL325) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 24 (66.67%)



		Survey Re	esults						
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of ar Lefi	t pole 5 Scale	Mean 0% 50% 4 3	Median	25% H	Right pole		■No. of /=Mear d=Medi v.=Std o.=Abste uality in	an Dev. ention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	below the e.	Mean is tolerance guideline	e for the	ie range o quality	of 🧕	Mean value is wi quality guideline.		
1. Rate this module									
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	62.5%	37.5%	0% 0%		Definitely disagre	•	n=24 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.49
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance 	the module have	Definitely agree	45.5%	50%	4.5% 0%		Definitely disagre	e .	n=22 av.=4.41 md=4 dev.=0.59
 ^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module 	dback during the	Definitely agree	65.2% 65.2%	30.4%	4.3% 0%		Definitely disagre	•	n=23 av.=4.61 md=5 dev.=0.58
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	63.6%	36.4%	0% 0%		Definitely disagre	•	n=22 av.=4.64 md=5 dev.=0.49
^{1.5)} The module is well organised an	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	58.3%	37.5%	4.2% 0%		Definitely disagre	ê	n=24 av.=4.54 md=5 dev.=0.59
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	59.1%		<u>4.5%</u> 0%	<u> </u>	Definitely disagre	e	n=22 av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=0.6
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the q module	uality of the	— — — — — — — — — — — Definitely agree	62.5%	37.5%	0% 0%		Definitely disagre	•	n=24 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.49

School of Politics and International Relations European Politics: National Identity and Ethnicity (POL351) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 21 (67.74%)



Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Mean 0% 500 0% Question text n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Left pole Right pole 5 3 2 1 Scal Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value lo so quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0% 0% 0% 50% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=20 av.=4.5 md=4.5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree : dev.=0.51 3 2 19% 47 6% 19% 14.3% 0% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=21 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=0.96 Definitely agree Definitely disagree × e been made clear in advance Ĩ 3 5 4 2 36.8% 10.5% 0% 26.3% 26.3% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=19 av.=3.79 md=4 dev.=0.98 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ 5 4 3 2 28.6% 38.1% 4.8% 0% 28.6% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=21 av.=3.81 md=4 dev.=0.93 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ¥ my studies on the module ē 2 5 4 3 1 42.9% 33.3% 23.8% 0% 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=21 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.19 md=4 dev.=0.81 • 5 3 2 47.6% 14.3% 9.5% 23.8% 4.8% 1.6) n=21 av.=3.76 md=4 dev.=1.09 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ module Õ 3 2 5 4 1 42.9% 52.4% 4 8% 0% 0% 1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=21 av.=4.38 md=4 dev.=0.59 Definitely agree + Definitely disagree • module

3

4

5

2

School of Politics and International Relations Select Topics in British Politics 1 (POL352) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 17 (60.71%)



		Survey Re	esults							
Legend Question text		swers Std. Dev. 25% pole	Mean 0% 50% 4 3	Media 6 0% 2 Histogr	25% H		Right pole	a nd a	=No. of /.=Mear d=Medi ev.=Std o.=Abstr uality in	an Dev. ention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is t quality guideline	pelow the e.	Mean is toleranc guidelin	e for the	he rang e quality	le of /		lean value is wi quality guideline.	thin th	e
1. Rate this module										
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	82.4%	11.8%	0%	0%	5.9%	Definitely disagre	e	n=17 av.=4.65 md=5 dev.=1
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	58.8%	29.4%	5.9% 	0%	5.9%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=17 av.=4.35 md=5 dev.=1.06
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	58.8%	23.5%	11.8% 	0%	5.9%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=17 av.=4.29 md=5 dev.=1.1
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	52.9%	29.4%	11.8%	0%	5.9%	Definitely disagre	e I	n=17 av.=4.24 md=5 dev.=1.09
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	70.6%	23.5%	0%	0%	5.9%	Definitely disagre	e •	n=17 av.=4.53 md=5 dev.=1.01
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning remodule	esources for the	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	58.8%	35.3%	 0% I 3	2	5.9%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=17 av.=4.41 md=5 dev.=1
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the quite module	uality of the	Definitely agree	62.5%	31.3%	0% I 3	0%	6.3%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=16 av.=4.44 md=5 dev.=1.03

School of Politics and International Relations Tolerance: Theories and Cases (POL356) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 25 (86.21%)



		Survey Re	esults							
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of ar	t pole 5 Scale	Mean 0% 509 4 3	Media % 0% 2 Histogr	25% 		Right pole	a nd a	=No. of v.=Mear d=Med ev.=Std b.=Abst	an . Dev. ention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelin	below the e.	Mean is toleranc guidelin	e for the			P N	lean value is wi uality guideline.	thin th	ie
1. Rate this module										
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	16.7%	62.5%	12.5%	8.3%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=24 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.8
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	24%	48%	12%	12%	4%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=25 av.=3.76 md=4 dev.=1.09
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	36%	32%	20%	12%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=25 av.=3.92 md=4 dev.=1.04
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	36.4%	36.4%	27.3% H	0%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=22 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.81
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	28%	40%	28%	0%	4%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=25 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.97
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree		34.8%	26.1%	0%	4.3%	Definitely disagre	e 🚺	n=23 av.=3.96 md=4 dev.=1.02
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the que module	uality of the	Definitely agree	25%	41.7%	20.8%	4.2%	8.3%	Definitely disagre	e 🚺	n=24 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=1.16

School of Politics and International Relations Gender and Politics (POL361) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 41 (68.33%)



		Survey Re	sults							
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of ar	t pole 5 Scale	Mean 0% 509 4 3	Media 6 0% 2 Histogra	25% H	Rig	ht pole	2 	n=No. of nd=Medi nd=Medi lev.=Std nb.=Abst	Dev.
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	below the e.	 Mean is toleranc guideline 	e for the	he range quality	e of		Mean value is w quality guideline	Quality in	
1. Rate this module										
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	47.5%	45%			%	Definitely disagr	e	n=40 av.=4.38 md=4 dev.=0.7
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance 	the module have	Definitely agree	12.8%	51.3%			1%	Definitely disagr	e 💽	n=39 av.=3.62 md=4 dev.=0.96
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	28.2%	48.7%			6%	Definitely disagn	e 💽	n=39 av.=3.95 md=4 dev.=0.94
 ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module 	e and support with	Definitely agree	42.5%	37.5%			5%	Definitely disagr	e	n=40 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=1.03
^{1.5)} The module is well organised an	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	42.5%	47.5%			~	Definitely disagn	e I	n=40 av.=4.3 md=4 dev.=0.72
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	52.5%	30%			~	Definitely disagn	e .	n=40 av.=4.3 md=5 dev.=0.88
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the q module	uality of the	Efinitely agree	38.5%	43.6%	7.7% 10		~ — — %	Definitely disagn	e 💽	n=39 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.94

School of Politics and International Relations The Politics of the Post-Colonial Middle East (POL365) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 71 (87.65%)



Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Mean 0% 500 0% Question text n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Left pole Right pole 3 2 5 1 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value lo so quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 80.9% 16.2% 0% 1.5% 1.5% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=68 av.=4.74 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree : dev.=0.68 5 4 3 2 40.3% 37.3% 16.4% 4 5% 1.5% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=67 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.94 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 3 2 5 4 1 41.3% 6.3% 0% 25.4% 27% 1.3) n=63 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.88 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Ĩ 5 4 3 2 39.1% 20.3% 1.6% 1.6% 37.5% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=64 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.89 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • my studies on the module 5 4 3 2 80.6% 14.9% 1.5% 1.5% 1.5% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=67 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.72 md=5 dev.=0.71 ۷ • 5 3 2 40.3% 6% 46.3% 6% 1.5% 1.6) n=67 av.=4.24 md=4 dev.=0.92 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • н module 5 3 2 Δ 68.2% 27.3% 1 5% 1.5% 1.5% 1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=66 av.=4.59 md=5 dev.=0.74 Ţ Definitely agree Definitely disagree : module

5

3


4

2

1

School of Politics and International Relations Africa and International Politics (POL372) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 39 (44.83%)

Jeen I\ lary **University of London**



School of Politics and International Relations Parliamentary Studies (POL373) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 23 (92%)



		Survey Re	esults							
Legend Question text	Relative Frequencies of an	th pole 5 Scale	Mean 0% 509 4 3	Media <u>6</u> 0% 2 Histogra	25% H		Right pole		=No. of v.=Mea nd=Med ev.=Std b.=Abst	an . Dev. ention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelin	below the ne.	Mean is toleranc guidelin	e for the	he rang e quality	ge of ⁄	Q q	lean value is wi juality guideline	thin th	ie
1. Rate this module										
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	68.2%	31.8%	0%	0%	0%	Definitely disagre		n=22 av.=4.68 md=5 dev.=0.48
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance 	the module have	Definitely agree	30%	50%	20%	0%	0%	Definitely disagre	e	n=20 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.72
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	edback during the	Definitely agree	47.6%	28.6%	19% H	4.8%	0%	Definitely disagre	e 💽	n=21 av.=4.19 md=4 dev.=0.93
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	45%	40%	5% H	10%	0%	Definitely disagre	e	n=20 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.95
^{1.5)} The module is well organised a	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	59.1%	31.8%	4.5%	2	4.5%	Definitely disagre	e	n=22 av.=4.41 md=5 dev.=0.96
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning remodule	esources for the	Definitely agree	61.9%		9.5%	2	0%	Definitely disagre	e .	n=21 av.=4.52 md=5 dev.=0.68
^{1.7)} Overall I am satisfied with the q module	uality of the	Definitely agree	52.6%	42.1%	0%	5.3%	0%	Definitely disagre	e	n=19 av.=4.42 md=5 dev.=0.77

School of Politics and International Relations Democracy in action (POL375) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 23 (63.89%)

Jeen IV lary **University of London**

Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Mean 0% 50% 0% Question text n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Left pole Right pole 3 2 5 4 1 Scal Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value lo so quality guideline. ð tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 82.6% 17.4% 0% 0% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=23 av.=4.83 md=5 dev.=0.39 Definitely agree Definitely disagree I VI -: 5 4 3 2 45.5% 45.5% 91% 0% 0% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=22 av.=4.36 md=4 dev.=0.66 Definitely agree . Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 5 3 2 1 4 34.8% 0% 0% 60.9% 4.3% n=23 av.=4.57 md=5 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н : module dev =0.59 5 4 3 2 1 65.2% 30.4% 4.3% 0% 0% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=23 av.=4.61 md=5 dev.=0.58 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 1 • my studies on the module 3 2 5 4 1 56 5% 34.8% 4.3% 4 3% 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=23 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.79 н • 5 3 2 56.5% 34.8% 8.7% 0% 0% n=23 av.=4.48 md=5 dev.=0.67 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree Н • module 5 3 2 Δ 1 60.9% 39.1% 0% 0% 0% Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=23 av.=4.61 md=5 dev.=0.5 Definitely agree 4 Definitely disagree : module 5 3 2 4

1.2)

1.3)

1.4)

1.6)

1.7)