School of Politics and International Relations Theories of Policy Making Process (POLM001) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 7 (35%)



Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Mean 0% 500 0% Question text n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Left pole Right pole 3 2 5 1 Scal Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value lo so quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 85.7% 14.3% 0% 0% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=7 av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.38 Definitely agree Definitely disagree н : 5 4 3 2 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=7 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 5 4 3 2 1 85.7% 14.3% 0% 0% 0% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=7 av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.38 Definitely agree Definitely disagree : module 5 4 3 2 1 85.7% 14.3% 0% 0% 0% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=7 av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.38 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • my studies on the module 3 2 5 1 85.7% 14.3% 0% 0% 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=7 av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.38 Definitely agree Definitely disagree -4 • 5 3 2 85.7% 14.3% 0% 0% 0% 1.6) n=7 av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.38 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree 14 • module 5 4 3 2 1 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=7 Definitely agree Definitely disagree : av.=5 md=5 dev.= module

5

4

3

2

School of Politics and International Relations Nationalism, Democracy and Cosmopolitanism (POLM016) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 7 (63.64%)



Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Mean 0% 50% 0% Question text n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Left pole Right pole 3 2 5 1 Scal Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value lo so quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 85.7% 14.3% 0% 0% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=7 av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.38 Definitely agree Definitely disagree н : 5 4 3 2 75% 25% 0% 0% 0% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=4 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.5 1 Definitely agree Definitely disagree : been made clear in advance 5 3 2 1 16.7% 0% 0% 0% 83.3% 1.3) n=6 av.=4.83 md=5 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree : module dev =0.41 5 4 3 2 1 80% 20% 0% 0% 0% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=5 av.=4.8 md=5 dev.=0.45 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • my studies on the module 3 2 5 1 71 4% 28.6% 0% 0% 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=7 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.49 Definitely agree T Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 71.4% 28.6% 0% 0% 0% 1.6) n=7 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.49 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree 1 • module 5 2 Δ 3 1 66.7% 16.7% 16.7% 0% 0% 1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=6 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.84 Definitely agree Definitely disagree : module


5

4

3

School of Politics and International Relations Theories of International Relations (POLM023) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 30 (54.55%)

Jeen I larv **University of London**



School of Politics and International Relations Themes and Cases in US Foreign Policy (POLM040) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 13 (81.25%)



Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Mean 0% 500 0% Question text n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Left pole Right pole 3 5 2 1 Scal Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value lo so quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=13 av.=5 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree : dev = 05 Δ 3 2 76.9% 23.1% 0% 0% 0% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=13 av.=4.77 md=5 dev.=0.44 Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree : been made clear in advance 5 3 2 1 30.8% 7.7% 0% 0% 61.5% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=13 av.=4.54 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree H module dev =0.66 5 4 3 2 1 76.9% 15.4% 7.7% 0% 0% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=13 av.=4.69 md=5 dev.=0.63 Definitely agree Definitely disagree . F • my studies on the module 5 3 2 1 84 6% 15 4% 0% 0% 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=13 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.85 md=5 dev.=0.38 **H** • 5 3 2 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1.6) n=13 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree : module 5 3 2 4 1 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=13 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module


5

3

2

School of Politics and International Relations The Americas in Comparative Perspective I: Historical Roots (POLM041) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 5 (125%)





School of Politics and International Relations International Public Policy: Concepts and Practice (POLM050) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 20 (54.05%)



Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Mean 0% 500 0% Question text n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Left pole Right pole 3 5 2 1 Scal Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value lo so quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 36.8% 5.3% 5.3% 0% 52.6% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=19 av.=4.37 md=5 dev.=0.83 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Ч : 5 3 2 35% 35% 25% 5% 0% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=20 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.92 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 5 2 3 1 26.3% 21.1% 0% 0% 52.6% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=19 av.=4.32 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Р module dev =0.82 5 Δ 3 2 47.4% 21.1% 26.3% 5.3% 0% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=19 av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=0.99 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • my studies on the module 5 3 2 55% 40% 5% 0% 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=20 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.61 4 • 5 3 2 40% 5% 45% 5% 5% 1.6) n=20 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=1.09 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н • module 5 3 2 Δ 1 60% 25% 15% 0% 0% 1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=20 av.=4.45 md=5 dev.=0.76 Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree : module

5

3

School of Politics and International Relations Approaches to Political Economy (POLM059) Seminar Leader: No. of responses = 11 (78.57%)



Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Median Mean 0% 500 0% Question text n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Left pole Right pole 3 2 5 4 1 Scal Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol Mean value lo so quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 63.6% 36.4% 0% 0% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=11 av.=4.64 md=5 dev.=0.5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree I. : 5 Δ S 2 81.8% 18.2% 0% 0% 0% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=11 av.=4.82 md=5 dev.=0.4 Definitely agree 14 Definitely disagree : been made clear in advance 5 3 2 1 45.5% 0% 0% 0% 54.5% 1.3) n=11 av.=4.55 md=5 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree Λ : module dev =0.52 5 4 3 2 1 50% 0% 0% 0% 50% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=10 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.53 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • my studies on the module 3 2 5 4 1 63.6% 36.4% 0% 0% 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=11 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.64 md=5 dev.=0.5 4 • 5 3 2 54.5% 36.4% 9.1% 0% 0% 1.6) n=11 av.=4.45 md=5 dev.=0.69 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree Н • module 3 2 5 Δ 1 45.5% 54.5% 0% 0% 0% 1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=11 av.=4.45 md=4 dev.=0.52 Definitely agree . Definitely disagree • module

3

4

5