

Science and Engineering Foundation Programme


Mathematics I (SEF001)
Seminar Leader:
No. of responses = 35 (53.85%)



Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention



Quality index

Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Introductory Chemistry (SEF003)

Seminar Leader:

No. of responses = 43 (70.49%)




Queen Mary
University of London

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention



Quality index

Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Physics (Mechanics and Materials) (SEF005)

Seminar Leader:

No. of responses = 43 (40.57%)




Queen Mary
University of London

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention



Quality index

Description of quality symbol



Mean value is below the quality guideline.




Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.




Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

English Language I (SEF009)

Seminar Leader:

No. of responses = 37 (67.27%)




Queen Mary
University of London

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention



Quality index

Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Principles of Mathematics (SEF014)

Seminar Leader:

No. of responses = 59 (65.56%)




Queen Mary
University of London

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention



Quality index

Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Essential Foundation Mathematical Skills (SEF026)

Seminar Leader:

No. of responses = 34 (34.69%)




Queen Mary
University of London

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention



Quality index

Description of quality symbol



Mean value is below the quality guideline.




Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.



Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught



Definitely disagree

n=31
av.=1.71
md=1
dev.=0.9


1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



Definitely disagree

n=31
av.=1.58
md=1
dev.=0.96


1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module



Definitely disagree

n=30
av.=1.43
md=1
dev.=0.77


1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module



Definitely disagree

n=30
av.=1.83
md=1.5
dev.=0.99


1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



Definitely disagree

n=25
av.=2.04
md=2
dev.=1.27


1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



Definitely disagree

n=29
av.=2.69
md=3
dev.=1.2

1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Definitely disagree


n=28
av.=1.64
md=1
dev.=0.83



Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention



Quality index

Description of quality symbol



Mean value is below the quality guideline.




Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.



Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught



Definitely disagree

n=44
av.=4.07
md=4
dev.=1


1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



Definitely disagree

n=41
av.=3.76
md=4
dev.=1.16


1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module



Definitely disagree

n=42
av.=4.1
md=4
dev.=1.1


1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module



Definitely disagree

n=40
av.=3.78
md=4
dev.=0.97


1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



Definitely disagree

n=41
av.=3.68
md=4
dev.=1.11


1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



Definitely disagree

n=43
av.=3.6
md=4
dev.=1.22

1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



Definitely disagree

n=41
av.=3.46
md=4
dev.=1.27

Science and Engineering Foundation Programme

Form and Function in Biology (SEF031)

Seminar Leader:

No. of responses = 18 (39.13%)




Queen Mary
University of London

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention



Quality index

Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module



1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

