Development Futures: Mumbai Unbound (GEG6120) No. of responses = 26 (86.67%)



Survey Results Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Median Relative Frequencies of answers n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% Question text Right pole Left pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the quality guideline. Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 1.1) The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.56 md=5 dev.=0.51 5 18 0 0 69.2% 19.2% n=26 av.=4.58 md=5 dev.=0.7 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 3 1.5% 0 I have been given adequate feedback during the n=26 av.=4.27 md=4 dev.=0.67 Definitely agree LV Definitely disagree module 0 53.8% 15.4% n=26 av.=4.15 md=4 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module dev = 0.6710 38.5% 19.2% 38.5% n=26 av.=4.12 md=4 dev.=0.86 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree 13 I had access to good learning resources for the n=26 Definitely disagree Definitely agree av.=4.23 md=4.5 dev.=1.03 module 13 10 38.5% n=26 av.=4.38 md=4.5 dev.=0.7 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module

Environmental Hazards (GEG6203) No. of responses = 22 (57.89%)



Survey Results Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Median Relative Frequencies of answers n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% Question text Right pole Left pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the quality guideline. Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 1.1) The module is well taught n=22 av.=4.64 md=5 dev.=0.79 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 0 n=21 av.=4.29 md=5 dev.=0.96 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 10 I have been given adequate feedback during the n=21 av.=4.38 md=4 dev.=0.74 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 0 n=21 av.=4.33 md=4 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module dev = 0.7363.6% 27.3% 9.1% The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=22 av.=4.36 md=5 dev.=1.18 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 12 0 I had access to good learning resources for the n=20 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.35 md=5 dev.=1.09 module 12 60% n=20 av.=4.4 md=5 dev.=0.94 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module

Gender and Development (GEG6101) No. of responses = 20 (74.07%)



Survey Results Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Median Relative Frequencies of answers n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% Question text Right pole Left pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the quality guideline. Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 17 89.5% 1.1) The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.84 md=5 dev.=0.5 13 6 0 0 n=20 av.=4.6 md=5 dev.=0.6 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 0 0% 3 15.8% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=19 av.=4.42 md=5 dev.=0.84 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 0 57.9% 36.8% n=19 av.=4.53 md=5 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module dev = 0.61n=20 av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=0.69 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree 0 16 0 I had access to good learning resources for the n=20 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.55 module 18 90% n=20 av.=4.85 md=5 dev.=0.49 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module

Geo-ecology and Geo-ecosystems (GEG6222) No. of responses = 14 (82.35%)



Survey Results Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Median Relative Frequencies of answers n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Question text Right pole Left pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the quality guideline. Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 1.1) The module is well taught n=14 av.=3.29 md=3.5 dev.=1.49 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=14 av.=3.29 md=3 dev.=1.33 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance ě 3 21.4% 28.6% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=14 av.=2.86 md=3 dev.=1.41 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 42.9% 14 14.3% 21.4% I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3 md=3.5 dev.=1.36 my studies on the module 7.1% 42.9% The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3 md=3 dev.=1.04 Õ 42.9% 28.6% 7.1% I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely disagree Definitely agree av.=3.21 md=3.5 dev.=1.19 module ĕ 15.4% 30.8% 30.8% n=13 av.=3 md=3 dev.=1.22 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree 9 module ě

Geography, Architecture and the City (GEG6126) No. of responses = 18 (72%)



Survey Results Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Median Relative Frequencies of answers n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% Question text Right pole Left pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the quality guideline. Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 1.1) The module is well taught Definitely disagree Definitely agree av.=4.06 md=4 dev.=0.64 9 0 0 n=17 av.=4.24 md=4 dev.=0.66 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance I have been given adequate feedback during the n=18 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.97 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 27.8% I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.06 md=4 dev.=1 my studies on the module n=18 av.=4.39 md=4 dev.=0.61 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree 0 44.4% 27.8% I had access to good learning resources for the n=18 Definitely disagree Definitely agree av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.77 module 16.7% 27.8% n=18 av.=3.78 md=4 dev.=0.81 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module ě

Quaternary Palaeoenvironments (GEG6213) No. of responses = 8 (61.54%)



Survey Results Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Median Relative Frequencies of answers n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% Question text Right pole Left pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the quality guideline. Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 1.1) The module is well taught n=7 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.53 Definitely disagree Definitely agree 0 0 0 37.5% n=8 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.52 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 3 37.5% 0 0% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=8 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.93 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 0 0 I have received sufficient advice and support with n=7 av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.49 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module n=8 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.46 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree 0 6 0 0 I had access to good learning resources for the n=8 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.46 module 6 85.7% 14 n=7 av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.38 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module

Regional Economics and Policy (GEG6108) No. of responses = 10 (90.91%)



Survey Results Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Median Relative Frequencies of answers n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% Question text Right pole Left pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the quality guideline. Description of quality symbol Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 1.1) The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.32 0 0 n=10 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.79 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 0 0% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=10 av.=3.9 md=4 dev.=0.88 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ĕ 0 0 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.1 md=4 my studies on the module dev = 0.32The module is well organised and runs smoothly 70% n=10 av.=4.3 md=4 dev.=0.48 Definitely agree Definitely disagree I had access to good learning resources for the n=10 Definitely disagree Definitely agree av.=3.7 md=4 dev.=0.95 module ĕ n=10 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=0.79 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree ▣ module ě

Victorian London: Economy, Society and Culture (GEG6117) No. of responses = 11 (32.35%)



Survey Results Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Median Relative Frequencies of answers n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% Question text Right pole Left pole Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the quality guideline. Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 10 90.9% 1.1) The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.91 md=5 dev.=0.3 0 0 0 63.6% 36.4% n=11 av.=4.64 md=5 dev.=0.5 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 0 0% 0 36.4% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=11 av.=4.64 md=5 dev.=0.5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 5 0 0 10 90.9% 9.1 I have received sufficient advice and support with n=11 av.=4.91 md=5 dev.=0.3 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module 81.8% 18.2% n=11 av.=4.82 md=5 dev.=0.4 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree 8 0 0 0 27.3% I had access to good learning resources for the n=11 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.73 md=5 dev.=0.47 module 10 90.9% n=11 av.=4.91 md=5 dev.=0.3 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module