School of Physics and Astronomy
Fluid Dynamics (SPA6310)
No. of responses = 23 (100%)

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module



1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module



1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Physics and Astronomy

Independent Project (SPA6776)
No. of responses = 27 (46.55%)

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well supervised



1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module



1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module



1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Physics and Astronomy
Mathematical Techniques 4 (SPA6324)
No. of responses = 18 (69.23%)

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module



1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module



1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Physics and Astronomy

Physics Review Project (SPA6913)
No. of responses = 20 (51.28%)

Queen Mary University of London

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well supervised



1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module



1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module



1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Physics and Astronomy

Quantum Mechanics B (SPA6413)
No. of responses = 37 (51.39%)

Queen Mary University of London

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module



1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module



1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Physics and Astronomy
Radiation Detectors (SPA6309)
No. of responses = 6 (18.75%)

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module



1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module



1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Physics and Astronomy
Spacetime and Gravity (SPA6308)
No. of responses = 62 (76.54%)

Queen Mary University of London

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module



1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module



1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Physics and Astronomy

Statistical Data Analysis (SPA6328)
No. of responses = 20 (60.61%)

Queen Mary University of London

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module



1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module



1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Physics and Astronomy

Synoptic Physics (SPA6300)
No. of responses = 69 (75%)

Queen Mary University of London

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



n=66
av.=4.48
md=5
dev.=0.73

1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



n=58
av.=4.22
md=5
dev.=0.96

1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module



n=62
av.=4.32
md=4.5
dev.=0.78

1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module



n=61
av.=4.3
md=5
dev.=0.86

1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=63
av.=4.49
md=5
dev.=0.69

1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



n=57
av.=3.67
md=3
dev.=1.14

1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



n=64
av.=4.45
md=5
dev.=0.8

School of Physics and Astronomy
The Physics of Galaxies (SPA6305)
No. of responses = 11 (52.38%)

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module



1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module



1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module