Ablative Therapy (CANM905) No. of responses = 9 (64.29%)								Londo	n
Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies o Relative Frequencies o		0 50 0% 50% 4 3	ledian 0 25 0% 25% 25% 2 1 togram		Right pole	av mde ab	No. of r =Mean J=Media v.=Std. .=Abste	an Dev. ention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value i e quality guide	s below the line.	 Mean is with tolerance for guideline. 	in the range the qualit	ge of ty	P M	ean value is wit ıality guideline.	hin th	е
1. Rate this module									
^{.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	9 0% 0% 5 4	0 0% 3	0 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree		n=9 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
^{.2)} The criteria used in marking on t been made clear in advance	he module have	Definitely agree	5.6% 44.4 55.6% 44.4 5 4		 0% 2		Definitely disagree		n=9 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.
^{.3)} I have been given adequate feed module	back during the	Definitely agree	66.7% 33.3 66.7% 33.3 5 4		0 	0 	Definitely disagree		n=9 av.=4.6 md=5 dev.=0.
⁴⁾ I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	88.9% 0% 88.9% 0% 5 4	1 5 11.1% 3		- 0 0% 1	Definitely disagree		n=9 av.=4.7 md=5 dev.=0.
^{.5)} The module is well organised an	d runs smoothly	Definitely agree	9 100% 0% 5 4		2	- 0 0% 1	Definitely disagree		n=9 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
^{.6)} I had access to good learning re- module	sources for the	Definitely agree	66.7% 33.3 66.7% 33.3 5 4		 2		Definitely disagree		n=9 av.=4.6 md=5 dev.=0.
⁷⁾ The use of QMplus has made ar contribution to this module	appropriate	Definitely agree	5 - 3 62.5% 37.5 5 - 4	<u> </u>	2	0 	Definitely disagree		n=8 av.=4.6 md=5 dev.=0.
 ⁸⁾ Overall I am satisfied with the qu module 	ality of the	Definitely agree	<u>9</u> 0 0%0%	$\frac{1}{0}$			Definitely disagree		n=9 av.=5 md=5


Barts Cancer Institute Queen Mary Biological Therapies (CANM907) No. of responses = 8 (26.67%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0 1 12.5% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=8 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.53 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 0 37.5% 09 12 5% 25% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=8 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=2.13 md=2 dev.=1.13 been made clear in advance 8 5 4 3 2 0 3 3 0 1.3) 0% 14.3% 42.9% 42.9% 0% n=7 av.=2.71 md=3 dev.=0.76 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree F module : 3 3 7.5% Π 12. 37 . .5% 25% 25% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=8 av.=2.25 md=2 dev.=1.04 Definitely agree Ţ Definitely disagree my studies on the module 8 5 -2 0 Δ 0 12 5% 50% 37.5% 0% 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=8 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=0.71 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 Δ 3 3 37.5% 2 0 0 37.5% 1.6) 0% n=8 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.83 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module íĕì 3 3 3 1 0 0 42.9% 42.9% 14 0 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=7 av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.76 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • contribution to this module 5 3 2 3 0 37.5% 25% 12 .5% 25% 0% 1.8) n=8 av.=3.25 md=3 dev.=1.04 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ 5 3

Queen Mary

University of London

Barts Cancer Institute

Cancer Prevention and Screening (CANM912) No. of responses = 17 (43.59%)



Barts Cancer Institute Drug Development (CANM906 No. of responses = 11 (37.93%))				<u>k</u>	QUEET) IV	lary
Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lef		Mean Medi 0 50 0 0% 50% 0% 4 3 2 Histog	25 25% 	Right pole	av: mdi dev ab:	lo. of r =Mean =Media =Std. =Abste	an Dev. Intion
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelin	below the e.	 Mean is within tolerance for th guideline. 	the range o e quality	f 🚺 M	ean value is with ality guideline.	in th	9
1. Rate this module			-					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	5 45.5% 36.4% 5 4	2 0 18.2% 0% 1 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree		n=11 av.=4.27 md=4 dev.=0.79
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	45.5% 27.3% 45.5% 4	2 1 18.2% 9.1% 		Definitely disagree		n=11 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=1.0
^{.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	4 4 36.4% 36.4%	2 0 18.2% 0%	1 9.1% 1	Definitely disagree		n=11 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=1.2
⁴⁾ I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	4 5 36.4% 45.5%	0 1 0% 9.19 3 2	1 9.1% 1	Definitely disagree		n=11 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=1.3
⁵⁾ The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	6 5 5 4.5% 27.3% 5 4	0 2 0% 18.2 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree		n=11 av.=4.18 md=5 dev.=1.1
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	6 5 5 4.5% 27.3% 5 4		9.1%	Definitely disagree		n=11 av.=4.18 md=5 dev.=1.2
.7) The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	n appropriate	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c} 6 & 4 \\ 54.5\% & 36.4\% \\ 1 & 1 \\ 5 & 4 \end{array} $	<u> 1 0</u> <u>9.1% 0%</u> <u> 3 2</u>	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree		n=11 av.=4.45 md=5 dev.=0.6
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the que module	uality of the	Definitely agree	5 4 5 4	1 1 9.1% 9.19 	0	Definitely disagree		n=11 av.=4.18 md=4 dev.=0.9

25.04.2016

Barts Cancer Institute Queen Mary Genomic Approaches to Human Diseases (CANM920) University of London No. of responses = 21(50%)Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=19 av.=3.53 md=4 dev.=0.7 Definitely agree Definitely disagree e Õ 5 3 2 8 15% 15% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=20 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=2.9 md=3 dev.=1.12 been made clear in advance 8 5 3 2 0 11 1.3) 0% 5% 55% 25% 15% n=20 av.=2.5 md=3 dev.=0.83 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н _ module : 3 12 1<u>1%</u> 5 Λ 57 23.8% 4.8% 14 3% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=21 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0.77 Definitely agree Definitely disagree . my studies on the module ð 5 3 9 6 28.6% 14.3% 42.9% 9.5% 4.8% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=21 av.=3.52 md=4 dev.=1.03 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 2 9 8 0 38.1% 9 42.9% 1.6) 0% n=21 av.=3.52 md=4 dev.=0.81 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 5 3 11 3 4 1 0 15.8% 57.9% 21 5 1% 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=19 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.84 md=4 dev.=0.76 ۲ 1 contribution to this module Õ 5 3 2 5 9 3 10% 25% 45% 15% 5% 1.8) n=20 av.=3.2 md=3 dev.=1.01 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree ŀ module Õ 3 5

Barts Cancer Institute

Molecular Diagnostics and Therapeutics (CANM921) No. of responses = 19 (43.18%)



Legend Question text			Mean Median 0 50% 0% 25% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=1 md= dev: ab.=,	o. of responses Mean Median Std. Dev. Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is t quality guideling	pelow the [Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	of 💽 Mi	ean value is withi uality guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	3 7 4 1 20% 46.7% 26.7% 6.7 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=15 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=0.86
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	1 6.7% 33.3% 20% 40 5 5 4 3 2 2 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=15 av.=3.07 md=3 dev.=1.03
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	1 2 8 5 5.9% 11.8% 47.1% 29.4 5 4 3 2	<u>% 5.9%</u> I	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=2.82 md=3 dev.=0.95
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=18 av.=3.33 md=3 dev.=0.97
^{1.5)} The module is well organised a	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	3 17.6% 41.2% 23.5% 17.6 41.2% 23.5% 17.6 5 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=3.59 md=4 dev.=1
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	% 0%	Definitely disagree	n=18 av.=4.06 md=4 dev.=0.8
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	n appropriate	Definitely agree	$5 \\ 10 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 7.8 \\ 55.6 \\ 16.7 \\ 0 \\ 9 \\ 16.7 \\ 0 \\ 9 \\ 16.7 \\ 0 \\ 9 \\ 16.7 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10$	<u> 0% </u>	Definitely disagree	n=18 av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=0.68
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the q module	uality of the	Definitely agree	4 8 4 2 22.2% 44.4% 22.2% 11. 5 4 3 2	% 0%	Definitely disagree	n=18 av.=3.78 md=4 dev.=0.94

Barts Cancer Institute

Molecular Pathology of Solid Tumours (CANM924) No. of responses = 10 (52.63%)




	e Frequencies of answers Frequencies of answers Left pole Scale	Mean Median 0 50% 0% 25 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is below the quality guideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	Mean value 🚔 quality guide	is within the line.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agre	$e \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definitely d	isagree av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.32
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the mod been made clear in advance	lule have Definitely agre	$e \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definitely d	n=10 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.57
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback d module	uring the Definitely agre	$e \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definitely d	isagree 1 =9 av.=3.56 md=3 dev.=0.88
 ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and su my studies on the module 	pport with Definitely agre	$e \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definitely d	n=10 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.32
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs	smoothly Definitely agre	$e \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definitely d	isagree av.=3.7 imd=4 dev.=0.82
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources module	s for the Definitely agre	e 5 4 3 2	0 0% Definitely d	isagree e n=10 av.=3.9 md=4 dev.=0.32
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an appro contribution to this module	Driate Definitely agre	e 5 4 3 2	0 0% Definitely d	isagree
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of module	the Definitely agre	$e \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definitely d	n=10 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.63

Barts Cancer Institute

Molecular Targeted Therapies and Immunotherapy for Blood Cancers (CANM935) No. of responses = 11 (61.11%)





Barts Cancer Institute				. <u>+</u>	~	
Paediatric and Adolescent Onc No. of responses = 10 (38.46%)	cology (CANM911))		<u>kQ</u> f	Queen University of Lo	IVIary
Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of a Relative Frequencies of a Le		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=h md=l dev.= ab.=/	. of responses Itean Std. Dev. Std. Dev. ubstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is guideli		 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	🌔 Mea	n value is withi ity guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	4 4 0 1 44.4% 44.4% 0% 11.1%		Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.22 md=4 dev.=0.97
²⁾ The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=3.44 md=4 dev.=1.2
^{.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=1.0
^{.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 33.3\% \\ 44.4\% \\ 11.1\% \\ 11.1\% \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ \end{array}$	0C	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1
^{1.5)} The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.8
^{.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c} $	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	n appropriate	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=4.3 md=5 dev.=1.0
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the que module	uality of the	Definitely agree	5 3 1 1 50% 30% 10% 10%	0%	Definitely disagree	n=10 av.=4.2 md=4.5 dev.=1.03

Barts Cancer Institute, Paediatric and Adolescent Oncology, CANM911

2

Queen Mary

Barts Cancer Institute

Site Specific Tumour Treatment (CANM904) No. of responses = 6 (42.86%)

Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of a Relative Frequencies of a Let		0 50 0% 50% 4 3	Median 0 25 0% 25% 2 1 2 1 listogram	Right	pole av. mc de ab	No. of ro =Mean I=Media v=Std. .=Abste	Dev. ntion
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is Quality guidelir	below the ne.	Mean is wit tolerance fo guideline.	hin the rang or the quality	ge of y	Mean value is wit	hin the	
1. Rate this module								
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree		5 0 3% 0%	0 0 0% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree		n=6 av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=0.41
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on t been made clear in advance	he module have	Definitely agree		4 3	1 0 16.7% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree	e 0	n=6 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=1.05
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feed module	dback during the	Definitely agree		2 2 2 2 20% 40% 40% 4 3	0 0 0% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree	e	n=5 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=0.84
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree		2 1 20% 20%	1 0 20% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree	0	n=5 av.=3.6 md=4 dev.=1.14
^{1.5)} The module is well organised an	d runs smoothly	Definitely agree		4 1 7% 16.7%	2 1	Definitely disagree		n=6 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.63
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re- module	sources for the	Definitely agree	0% 83	5 1 3% 16.7%	0 0% 0% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree		n=6 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.41
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made ar contribution to this module	appropriate	Definitely agree		5 0 3% 0%	00 0%	Definitely disagree		n=6 av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=0.41

^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree

5

16.7%

5

4

3 50%

3

2 33.3%

3

2

0

0%

1 0%

Definitely disagree

n=6 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.75

۲

Õ