
Animal and Plant Diversity (BIO211) No. of responses = 17 (36.96%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.2) The module is well taught


1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey

via QMplus

via email link

54.5%

- The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance
- Definitely agree



n=17 av.=3.94 md=4 dev.=1.03

n=11

.4) I have been given adequate feedback during the module






n=17 av.=3.76 md=4 dev.=1.2

1.5) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module






n=17 av.=3.82 md=4 dev.=1.19

⁶⁾ The module is well organised and runs smoothly






n=17 av.=4.18 md=4 dev.=0.88

.7) I had access to good learning resources for the module





13.3%

5

n=17 av.=3.82 md=4 dev.=0.88

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module






n=15 av.=3.87 md=4 dev.=1.19

.9) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree




n=17 av.=4.06 md=4 dev.=1.09

Behavioural Ecology (SBS216) No. of responses = 24 (43.64%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol


- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=19 via QMplus 57.9% via email link 42.1%



- The criteria used in marking on the module have n=24 av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.76 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance
- 15 62.5% n=24 av.=4.33 md=5 dev.=1.09 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module
- 13 n=24 av.=4.08 md=5 dev.=1.25 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree : my studies on the module
- The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=24 av.=4.54 md=5 dev.=0.93 Definitely agree Definitely disagree
- 15 62.5% 29.2% I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module



Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module


n=24 av.=4.21 md=5 dev.=1.18

Biomedical Physiology II - Cardiovascular and Respiratory (SBS202) No. of responses = 76 (42.22%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=60 via QMplus via email link 58.3% 1.2) The module is well taught n=75 av.=4.64 md=5 dev.=0.48 Definitely agree Definitely disagree * The criteria used in marking on the module have n=75 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.92 been made clear in advance 20 18.7% I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • av.=3.39 md=3 dev.=1.29 module ě n=75 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.91 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree : my studies on the module 0 The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=75 av.=4.53 md=5 dev.=0.7 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 26 34.7% 46.7% I had access to good learning resources for the n=75 av.=4.28 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module dev.=0.76 37 50% 24 32.4% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.32 md=4.5 dev.=0.76 contribution to this module 33 36 0

module

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the

3

Definitely agree


n=75 av.=4.39 md=4 dev.=0.68

Cognitive Psychology (SBC201) No. of responses = 35 (42.17%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

Definitely disagree

1. Rate this module

1.2) The module is well taught

Please select the method you used to access this survey

via QMplus

via email link


58.6%

n=35 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.73

.3) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance

Definitely agree


Definitely agree



n=35 av.=3.74 md=4 dev.=1.17

.4) I have been given adequate feedback during the module


Definitely agree



n=34 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=1.09

1.5) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module

Definitely agree



n=35 av.=3.54 md=3 dev.=0.95

1.6) The module is well organised and runs smoothly


Definitely agree



n=35 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=0.86

J had access to good learning resources for the module


Definitely agree



n=34 av.=3.82 md=4 dev.=0.83

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module


Definitely agree



av.=4.06 md=4 dev.=0.73

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree




n=35 av.=3.6 md=4 dev.=0.88

Comparative & Integrative Physiology (SBC222) No. of responses = 92 (37.55%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.


1. Rate this module

1.2) The module is well taught

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey via QMplus 55.7% via email link 44.3%

> 34.8% n=92 av.=4.45 md=5 dev.=0.7 Definitely agree Definitely disagree


- The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance
- Definitely agree



n=92 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=1.12


n=70

- I have been given adequate feedback during the module
- Definitely agree



n=92 av.=3.74 md=4 dev.=1.13 • ě

- I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module
- Definitely agree



n=89 av.=3.82 md=4 dev.=1

The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=91 Definitely disagree av.=4.42 md=5 dev.=0.68

I had access to good learning resources for the module

Definitely agree

Definitely disagree

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module

40 36 40% Definitely agree Definitely disagree

9

3

0

0

38 42.2%

42

38.9%

40


av.=4.27 md=4 dev.=0.78

n=90 av.=4.13 md=4

dev.=0.88

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree




n=91 av.=4.34 md=4 dev.=0.65

Evolutionary Genetics (SBS633) No. of responses = 38 (36.54%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=30 via QMplus 50% via email link 50% 1.2) The module is well taught n=38 av.=3.37 md=3 dev.=1.24 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • ĕ 23.7% The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.47 md=4 dev.=1.2 been made clear in advance ě 36.1% 16.7% .1% n=36 av.=3.81 md=4 dev.=1.21 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module ě 10 10 32.4% n=37 av.=3.59 md=4 dev.=1.19 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module ĕ 15.8% The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.47 md=4 dev.=1.27 8 21.1% 36.8% 18.4% 10.5% 13.2% I had access to good learning resources for the n=38 av.=3.58 md=4 dev.=1.43 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module ě 5 15 39.5% 10 26.3% 23.7% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=1.16 contribution to this module 5

module

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the

Definitely agree

12


n=37 av.=3.38 md=3 dev.=1.44

Genes and Bioinformatics (SBS642) No. of responses = 43 (36.75%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

Definitely disagree

1. Rate this module

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey

via QMplus

via QMplus 42.4%
via email link 57.6%

1.2) The module is well taught

Definitely agree

16.3% 44.2% 18.6% 9.3% 11.6% 24.3 av=3.44 md=4 dev.=1.22

.3) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance

Definitely agree

Definitely disagree

5 4 3 2 1

n=43 av.=3.28 md=4 dev.=1.28


n=33

4) I have been given adequate feedback during the module

Definitely agree 9.3% 14% 3

n=43 av.=2.74 md=3 dev.=1.18

1.5) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module Definitely agree



16.3%

n=43 av.=2.88 md=3 dev.=1.29

The module is well organised and runs smoothly

9 14 7 8 5 5

20.9% 32.6% 16.3% 18.6% 11.6%

Definitely agree

n=43 av.=3.33 md=4 dev.=1.32

7) I had access to good learning resources for the module Definitely agree

7 13 14 7 1 16.7% 31% 33.3% 16.7% 2.4% Definitely disagree

n=42 av.=3.43 md=3 dev.=1.04

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module

Definitely agree

10 17 8 4 3 23.8% 40.5% 19% 9.5% 7.1% Definitely disagree

n=42 av.=3.64 md=4 dev.=1.16

.9) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module Definitely agree




n=43 av.=3.26 md=3 dev.=1.26

Health Psychology (SBC502) No. of responses = 31 (52.54%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

10

1

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

Definitely disagree

1. Rate this module

1.2) The module is well taught

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey

via QMplus

via email link

57.1%


n=31 av.=4.61 md=5 dev.=0.56

n=28

.3) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance

Definitely agree

Definitely agree



n=31 av.=4.19 md=4 dev.=0.87


.4) I have been given adequate feedback during the module Definitely agree



n=31 av.=3.97 md=4 dev.=0.8

1.5) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module

Definitely agree



n=31 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.72

:


⁶⁾ The module is well organised and runs smoothly

Definitely agree



n=31 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.53


7) I had access to good learning resources for the module Definitely agree



n=31 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.76

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module


Definitely agree



3

n=31 av.=4.26 md=4 dev.=0.68

.9) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module




Human Molecular Biology (SBS009) No. of responses = 81 (40.1%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention

n=65

n=79 av.=2.84 md=3 dev.=1.06



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Definitely agree

- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

Definitely disagree


1. Rate this module

1.2) The module is well taught

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey




The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




27.8%

11.4%

I have been given adequate feedback during the module



I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




n=80 av.=2.13 md=2 dev.=1.01

n=80

av.=2.46 md=2 dev.=1.18

n=80 av.=1.99 md=2 dev.=1.07

The module is well organised and runs smoothly



I had access to good learning resources for the module



n=80 av.=2.93 md=3 dev.=1.17

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module

8 10.3% 23 29.5% 12 15.4% Definitely agree Definitely disagree 3

av.=3.12 md=3 dev.=1.15

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

17 27 Definitely agree


n=80 av.=2.15 md=2 dev.=1.04

Marine and Animal Diversity (BIO291) No. of responses = 13 (39.39%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=8 via QMplus 25% via email link 75% 5 38<u>.5%</u> 1.2) The module is well taught n=13 av.=4.31 md=4 dev.=0.75 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 30.8% The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.54 md=4 dev.=1.2 been made clear in advance ě 30.8% I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=1.21 module ě 15.4% n=13 av.=3.69 md=4 dev.=1.38 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module ĕ

The module is well organised and runs smoothly

46.2% 30.8% Definitely agree Definitely disagree

n=13 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=1.19

I had access to good learning resources for the module

30.8% 46.2% Definitely agree

3

n=13 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=1.12

Definitely disagree

Definitely disagree

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module

8 61.5% Definitely agree

n=13 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0.82 ŏ

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree

0 Definitely disagree 2


n=13 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.08

Pharmaceutical Chemistry (Sem A) (CHE206A) No. of responses = 38 (41.76%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

guideline. 1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=29 via QMplus 27.6% via email link 72.4% 18 47.4% 4 10.5% 1.2) The module is well taught n=38 av.=4.24 md=4 dev.=0.75 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 21.1% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=38 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.87 md=4 dev.=1.04 been made clear in advance ĕ 13 15 13.2% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=38 Definitely agree Definitely disagree (E) av.=3.95 md=4 dev.=1.01 module ě 32.4% 40.5% 18.9% n=37 av.=3.97 md=4 dev.=0.93 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module ĕ 20 36.8% The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=38 av.=4.37 md=5 dev.=0.85 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 28.9% I had access to good learning resources for the n=38 av.=4.08 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module dev.=0.94 14 38.9% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.22 md=4 dev.=0.87 contribution to this module

module

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the

Definitely agree

17

15

10.5%

3


n=38 av.=4.21 md=4 dev.=0.93

Physical & Quantum Chemistry (Sem A) (CHE204A) No. of responses = 39 (44.83%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

guideline. 1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=29 via QMplus 34.5% via email link 65.5% 12 31.6% 25 65.8% 1.2) The module is well taught n=38 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.54 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 1 12 31.6% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=38 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.42 md=5 dev.=0.72 been made clear in advance 23 0 0 n=37 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.6 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 28.9% n=38 av.=4.39 md=5 dev.=0.75 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree : my studies on the module 0 0 23. The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=38 av.=4.66 md=5 dev.=0.58 Definitely agree Ţ Definitely disagree 19 36.8% 50% I had access to good learning resources for the n=38 av.=4.32 md=4.5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module dev.=0.84 0 0% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.45 md=4.5 dev.=0.6 contribution to this module 3 22 14 0 0

module

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the

3

Definitely agree


n=38 av.=4.53 md=5 dev.=0.6

Positive Psychology (PSY229) No. of responses = 20 (44.44%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

module

Please select the method you used to access this survey

via QMplus 47.1%

via email link 52.9%

1.2) The module is well taught

Definitely agree

45% 45% 10% 0% 0%

Definitely disagree

1.3) The criteria used in marking on the module have

Definitely agree

been made clear in advance

I have been given adequate feedback during the

n=20 av=3.9 md=4 dev.=1.07

1.5) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module n=20 av.=3.65 md=4 dev.=0.99

⁶⁾ The module is well organised and runs smoothly

Definitely agree 5 4 3 2 1

n=20 av.=4.45 md=5 dev.=0.69

7) I had access to good learning resources for the module Definitely agree 5 4 3 2 1

n=20 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=0.67

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module

Definitely agree 5 4 3 2 1

3

0

n=20 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.89

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

6 11 2 1 30% 55% 10% 5%


n=20 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.79

Problem Solving in Chemistry (CHE205) No. of responses = 20 (33.9%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

guideline. 1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=14 via QMplus 50% via email link 50% 1.2) The module is well taught n=20 av.=4.3 md=4 dev.=0.73 Definitely agree Definitely disagree The criteria used in marking on the module have n=20 av.=4.35 md=5 dev.=0.88 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 12 0 63.2% n=19 av.=4.53 md=5 dev.=0.7 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module n=20 av.=4.25 md=5 dev.=0.91 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree : my studies on the module 10 0 0 The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=20 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.35 md=4.5 dev.=0.75 55% I had access to good learning resources for the n=20 av.=4.25 md=5 dev.=0.97 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 20% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=20 av.=4.4 md=5 dev.=0.82 Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution to this module 5 10 0 n=19 av.=4.21 md=5 dev.=1.03 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module

3


2

Research Methods and Statistics in Psychology II (SBC242) No. of responses = 30 (39.47%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

Definitely disagree

1. Rate this module

1.2) The module is well taught

module

been made clear in advance


1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey



The criteria used in marking on the module have



Definitely agree





n=30


av.=4.2 md=4.5 dev.=1.06

•

n=27

I have been given adequate feedback during the








I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module








The module is well organised and runs smoothly







I had access to good learning resources for the module





The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module

Definitely agree



2



dev.=0.96

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



10

16.7%

3




Social Psychology (SBC206) No. of responses = 41 (46.59%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=33 via QMplus 48.5% via email link 51.5% 1.2) The module is well taught n=41 av.=4.39 md=5 dev.=0.95 Definitely agree Definitely disagree The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.34 md=5 dev.=1.02 been made clear in advance 15 37.5% n=40 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.97 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 16 19 I have received sufficient advice and support with n=41 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=0.96 Definitely agree Definitely disagree : my studies on the module

The module is well organised and runs smoothly

n=41 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.98

7) I had access to good learning resources for the module Definitely agree 23 12 4 0 1 57.5% 30% 10% 0% 2.5% Definitely disagree

12 29.3%

21 51.2% n=40 av.=4.4 md=5 dev.=0.87

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module

Definitely agree

5 4 3 2 1

24 10 4 2 1

58.5% 24.4% 9.8% 4.9% 2.4%

Definitely agree Definitely disa

3

av.=4.27 md=5 dev.=0.92

> n=41 av.=4.32 md=5 dev.=1.01

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module


Definitely disagree

Solid State and Inorganic Chemistry (Sem A) (CHE203A) No. of responses = 34 (38.64%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=28 via QMplus 46.4% via email link 53.6% 19 57.6% 1.2) The module is well taught n=33 av.=4.06 md=4 dev.=0.66 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 21.2% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=33 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.93 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance ĕ 10 n=32 av.=3.94 md=4 dev.=0.95 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree (E) module ě 10 30.3% 39.4% n=33 av.=3.97 md=4 dev.=0.98 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module ĕ 10 19 The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=32 av.=4.19 md=4 dev.=0.69 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 53.1% 18.8% I had access to good learning resources for the n=32 av.=3.97 md=4 dev.=0.86 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module ě 5 12 37.5% 46.9% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=32 av.=4.28 md=4 dev.=0.81 Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution to this module

module

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the

Definitely agree

3

3

0

Definitely disagree

11

16


n=32 av.=4.16 md=4 dev.=0.77

Structure and Reactivity in Organic Chemistry (Sem A) (CHE202A) No. of responses = 61 (36.97%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

Please select the method you used to access this survey

via QMplus

via email link

64.3%

Definitely agree Definitely agree Definitely agree Definitely agree Definitely disagree Definitely disagre

I have been given adequate feedback during the module

Definitely agree

| 35 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 1.6

1.5) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module

Definitely agree my studies on the module

Definitely agree my studies on the module

The module is well organised and runs smoothly

Definitely agree

The module is well organised and runs smoothly

Definitely agree

The module is well organised and runs smoothly

Definitely agree

The module is well organised and runs smoothly

Definitely agree

The module is well organised and runs smoothly

The module is well organised and runs smoothly

The module is well organised and runs smoothly

1.7) I had access to good learning resources for the module

Definitely agree

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module

Definitely agree

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module

Definitely agree

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate and appropriate contribution to this module

Definitely agree

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate and appropriate contribution to this module

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate and appropriate contribution to this module

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate and appropriate contribution to this module

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate and appropriate contribution to this module

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate and appropria

.9) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree 5 4 3 2 1 Definitely disagree


n=61 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.7

Techniques for Biological and Chemical Sciences (SBC920) No. of responses = 37 (39.36%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=32 via QMplus 46.9% via email link 53.1% 45.9% 18.9% 1.2) The module is well taught n=37 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.85 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 18.9% The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.7 md=4 dev.=1 been made clear in advance 24.3% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=37 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • av.=3.43 md=4 dev.=1.12 module ě 32.4% n=37 av.=3.65 md=4 dev.=1.01 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module ١ 18 48. 21 The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=37 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.92 md=4 dev.=0.83 16 43.2% I had access to good learning resources for the n=37 av.=3.84 md=4 dev.=0.93

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate

contribution to this module

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

11 29.7% Definitely agree Definitely disagree 5 15 10 10 0

Definitely agree Definitely disagree

n=37 av.=3.84 md=4 dev.=1.04

n=37 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=0.88

•

ě

Definitely disagree


module

Techniques in Biomedical Sciences (SBC200) No. of responses = 68 (36.76%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention




Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.


1. Rate this module

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey




n=53









n=65 av.=3.66 md=4 dev.=1.12

n=64 av.=3.78 md=4 dev.=0.84


ĕ

•

ě

.4) I have been given adequate feedback during the module






n=64 av.=3.45 md=4 dev.=1.07

^{1.5)} I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module





n=64 av.=3.53 md=4 dev.=0.99

The module is well organised and runs smoothly



15

32


10 15.6%



n=64 av.=3.84 md=4 dev.=0.95

J had access to good learning resources for the module

Definitely agree



n=65 av.=3.77 md=4 dev.=0.98


1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module

Definitely agree

19 30 13 3 0 29.2% 46.2% 20% 4.6% 0% 5 4 3 2 1

n=65 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.83

.9) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module Definitely agree



n=65 av.=3.69 md=4 dev.=0.93