
Basic Biochemistry (BIO161) No. of responses = 74 (33.48%)



# Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.


### 1. Rate this module

1.2) The module is well taught


1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey



5 4 3 2




The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



n=73 av.=3.33 md=3 dev.=1.01


n=66

.4) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




n=73 av.=2.81 md=3 dev.=1.06

1.5) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




n=73 av.=3.05 md=3 dev.=0.97

The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=73 av.=3.74 md=4 dev.=0.87

7) I had access to good learning resources for the module



n=72 av.=3.38 md=4 dev.=1.08

Definitely disagree

Definitely disagree

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module

n=73 av.=3.42 md=4 dev.=1.15

.9) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree 5 31 22 12 3 6.8% 42.5% 30.1% 16.4% 4.1%


n=73 av.=3.32 md=3 dev.=0.97

Biomedical Physiology I - Exchange, Movement and Integration (BMD121) No. of responses = 83 (35.47%)



# Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.


1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=69 via QMplus 63.8% via email link 36.2% 42 50.6% 1.2) The module is well taught n=83 av.=4.47 md=4 dev.=0.53 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 1.3) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=82 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.98 md=4 dev.=0.87 been made clear in advance ě 19 29 n=82 av.=3.65 md=4 dev.=1.07 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module ě 38.6% 28.9% n=83 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.9 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module ĕ 48 30 0 0 57.8% 36.1% The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=83 av.=4.52 md=5 dev.=0.61 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 4 36 43.4% 10.8% I had access to good learning resources for the n=83 av.=4.19 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module dev.=0.86 5 30 36.1% 49.4% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=83 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.88 contribution to this module 3 46 36 0 0 n=83 av.=4.42 md=4 dev.=0.52 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module

Biomolecules of Life (BMD123) No. of responses = 68 (29.06%)



# Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

14.7%

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

65%

35%

Definitely disagree

### 1. Rate this module

1.2) The module is well taught

been made clear in advance


1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey via QMplus via email link

n=60

• ĕ

- The criteria used in marking on the module have
- Definitely agree


Definitely agree



n=68 av.=3.28 md=3 dev.=1.03

n=68 av.=3.59 md=4 dev.=0.93

- I have been given adequate feedback during the module
- Definitely agree




n=68 av.=3.26 md=3 dev.=1.02

•

ě

I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module





47.1%

29 43.3%

13 19.4%

26.5%

n=68 av.=3.24 md=3 dev.=1.02 ĕ

The module is well organised and runs smoothly



Definitely disagree

n=68 av.=3.81 md=4 dev.=0.87


I had access to good learning resources for the module

Definitely agree



The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module


Definitely agree



n=67 av.=3.76 md=4 dev.=0.85 ě

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree



av.=3.94 md=4 dev.=0.9


n=67 av.=3.61 md=4 dev.=1

Brain and Behaviour (PSY121) No. of responses = 37(37%)



# Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=30 via QMplus 60% via email link 40% 19 51.4% 1.2) The module is well taught n=37 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.71 Definitely agree Definitely disagree The criteria used in marking on the module have n=37 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.95 md=4 dev.=1.05 been made clear in advance ĕ 29.7% n=37 av.=3.81 md=4 dev.=1.1 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module ě 37.8% 21.6% 10.8% n=37 av.=3.65 md=4 dev.=1.14 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module ĕ 20 54.1% 0 0 The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=37 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.19 md=4 dev.=0.66 15 40.5% 45.9% I had access to good learning resources for the n=37 av.=4.24 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module dev.=0.89 16 48.6% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.38 md=4 dev.=0.72 contribution

module

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the

to this module

Definitely agree

EvaSys evaluation

9

20

3

6

3

0

Definitely disagree

n=37 av.=3.97 md=4 dev.=0.8


Page 1

Ecology (BIO123) No. of responses = 40 (34.19%)



# Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention

n=35

n=40

av.=4.03 md=4 dev.=0.89



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.


### 1. Rate this module

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey



1.2) The module is well taught n=40 av.=4.35 md=4 dev.=0.8 Definitely agree Definitely disagree

The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree been made clear in advance




I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree module



19 27.5% 47 I have received sufficient advice and support with n=40 av.=3.78 md=4 dev.=0.95 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module ĕ

12 22 The module is well organised and runs smoothly



I had access to good learning resources for the module

16 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 5

3



The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution

17.5% Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.9 md=4 dev.=1.08 to this module 5 11 25 0 n=40 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.89 Definitely agree


Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Essential Skills for Biomedical Scientists (BMD100) No. of responses = 62 (33.51%)



# Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

40.3%

24 39.3%

5

27.9%

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

### 1. Rate this module

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey



n=57

1.2) The module is well taught


Definitely agree

14.5% 41.9% 24.2% 12.9% 6.5%

Definitely disagree


N=62.45 ad = 3.45 ad = 4.45 ad = 4.

- The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance
- Definitely agree



n=62 av.=3.42 md=3 dev.=1.09


- 4) I have been given adequate feedback during the module
- Definitely agree



n=61 av.=3.52 md=4 dev.=1.26

1.5) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module






n=62 av.=3.42 md=4 dev.=1.11


The module is well organised and runs smoothly





.7) I had access to good learning resources for the module






n=61 av.=3.59 md=4 dev.=0.99

n=62 av.=3.39 md=4 dev.=1.25

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module

Definitely agree




----

av.=3.77 md=4 dev.=1.17

.9) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree




n=62 av.=3.39 md=3.5 dev.=1.16

Essential Skills for Chemists (CHE100) No. of responses = 39 (30.23%)



# Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

Definitely disagree

### 1. Rate this module

1.2) The module is well taught

module

been made clear in advance


1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey



12 30.8% n=32



Definitely agree



30.8%

\_\_\_\_

av.=3.28 md=4 dev.=1.07

n=39 av.=2.77 md=3 dev.=0.99


ĕ

\_\_\_\_\_

I have been given adequate feedback during the

The criteria used in marking on the module have






n=39 av.=3.77 md=4 dev.=1.01

1.5) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module






n=39 av.=3.13 md=3 dev.=1

<sup>1.6)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly






10.5%

18.4%

n=39 av.=3.13 md=3 dev.=1.13

7) I had access to good learning resources for the module





Definitely disagree

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module


Definitely agree



n=39 av.=3.77 md=4 dev.=0.96

n=38 av.=3.29 md=4 dev.=1.18

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



10.5%

44.7%


n=39 av.=2.82 md=3 dev.=1.19

Foundations of Practical Chemistry (CHE101) No. of responses = 53 (41.09%)



## Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

26 49.1% Mean value is within the quality guideline.


### 1. Rate this module

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey



12 22.6%

1.2) The module is well taught





n=53

n=44

The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance






av.=4.04 md=4 dev.=1.16

.4) I have been given adequate feedback during the module






n=53 av.=4.23 md=5 dev.=0.97

1.5) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module






n=53 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.02


<sup>1.6)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly





n=53 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.78

7) I had access to good learning resources for the module



30 57.7%

5

19 36.5% n=52 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=0.85

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module


Definitely agree

5.8% 0% 0% Definitely disagree

n=52 av.=4.52 md=5 dev.=0.61

.9) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree




n=53 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=0.86

Functional Neuroanatomy (BMD163) No. of responses = 13 (46.43%)



# Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

guideline. 1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=9 via QMplus 66.7% via email link 33.3% 1.2) The module is well taught n=12 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.67 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 16.7% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=12 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.83 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance ĕ I have been given adequate feedback during the n=12 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=1.03 Definitely agree Definitely disagree (E) module ě 16.7% 50% n=12 av.=3.83 md=3.5 dev.=0.94 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module ĕ 0 The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=12 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.62 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 6 54.5% 36.4% 9.1% I had access to good learning resources for the n=11 av.=4.27 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module dev.=0.65 5 2 16.7% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=12 av.=3.17 md=3.5 dev.=1.59 Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution to this module 5

module

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the

Definitely agree

0

0

Definitely disagree


n=12 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.9

Fundamentals of Organic Chemistry (CHE102B) No. of responses = 61 (31.77%)



## Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=53 via QMplus 58.5% via email link 41.5% 1.2) The module is well taught n=61 av.=4.52 md=5 dev.=0.59 Definitely agree Definitely disagree The criteria used in marking on the module have n=60 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.4 md=4.5 dev.=0.69 been made clear in advance 20 n=61 av.=4.18 md=4 dev.=0.87 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 19 n=61 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.86 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree : my studies on the module 60.7% The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.54 md=5 dev.=0.7 38 62.3% 27.9% I had access to good learning resources for the n=61 av.=4.48 md=5 dev.=0.83 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 35 59.3% 20 33.9% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=59 Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree av.=4.51 md=5 dev.=0.68 contribution to this module 5 3 35 24 0

module

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the

Definitely agree

n=61 av.=4.52 md=5 dev.=0.62


Definitely disagree

Fundamentals of Physical and Inorganic Chemistry (CHE103B) No. of responses = 36 (27.91%)



## Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

Definitely disagree

### 1. Rate this module

1.2) The module is well taught


1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey



27.8%

The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance






I have been given adequate feedback during the module



Definitely agree





n=29


n=36 av.=3.53 md=4 dev.=0.74

av.=3.53 md=4 dev.=0.94

• ĕ

I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module








The module is well organised and runs smoothly








n=36 av.=3.61 md=4 dev.=0.93

I had access to good learning resources for the module






Definitely disagree

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module

Definitely agree





Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

19 10 Definitely agree

20 55.6%

19.4%

11.1%




Introduction to Pharmacology (BMD171) No. of responses = 9 (42.86%)



## Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

Definitely disagree


### 1. Rate this module

1.2) The module is well taught

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey



The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



n=9 av.=2.67 md=3 dev.=1.22


n=9 av.=3.56 md=4 dev.=1.13

ĕ

n=8


- 4) I have been given adequate feedback during the module
- Definitely agree

Definitely agree



n=9 av.=3.11 md=3 dev.=0.78

- 1.5) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module
- Definitely agree




n=9 av.=3.44 md=4 dev.=1.01

1.6) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



Definitely disagree n=8 av=3.63 md=4 dev:=1.51


J had access to good learning resources for the module Definitely agree



n=9 av.=3.78 md=4 dev.=0.97


1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module

Definitely agree



n=9 av.=3.44 md=4 dev.=1.59

.9) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module Definitely agree



22.2%

n=9 av.=3.56 md=4 dev.=1.33

Occupational Psychology (PSY123) No. of responses = 35 (36.84%)



## Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.


1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=28 via QMplus 50% via email link 50% 17.6% 1.2) The module is well taught n=34 av.=4.03 md=4 dev.=0.76 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 31.4% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=35 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.6 md=4 dev.=1.14 been made clear in advance ĕ 12 10 35.3% n=34 av.=3.29 md=3 dev.=0.97 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module ě 22.9% 48.6% n=35 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=0.87 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree • my studies on the module ĕ 14 The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=35 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.98 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 18 51.4% 22.9% 14.3% I had access to good learning resources for the n=35 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.98 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module ě The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.03 md=4 dev.=1.01 contribution to this module 5 8 15 0 n=34 av.=3.76 md=4 dev.=0.99 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module

Physiology (BIO125) No. of responses = 80 (38.65%)



# Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=69 via QMplus 58% via email link 42% 35 1.2) The module is well taught n=79 av.=4.47 md=5 dev.=0.62 Definitely agree Definitely disagree The criteria used in marking on the module have n=77 av.=4.36 md=5 dev.=0.78 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 29 n=78 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.96 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 14.5% n=76 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.88 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree : my studies on the module 0 The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=77 av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=0.68 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 30 42.9% I had access to good learning resources for the n=77 av.=4.18 md=4 dev.=0.9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 5 39 50.6% 24 31.2% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.29 md=5 dev.=0.86 contribution to this module 36 33 0 n=75 av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.72 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module


3

Practical Biochemistry (BIO199) No. of responses = 21 (33.33%)



# Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention





Description of quality symbol


- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

### 1. Rate this module


1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=18 via QMplus 72.2% via email link 27.8% 28.6% 1.2) The module is well taught n=21 av.=3.52 md=4 dev.=1.03 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ĕ The criteria used in marking on the module have n=21 av.=3.33 md=4 dev.=1.24 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance n=21 av.=3.48 md=4 dev.=1.08 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree (E) module ě 14.3% n=21 av.=3.43 md=4 dev.=1.08 







I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree






ě

Definitely disagree

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution

to this module

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the



3 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 5

n=21 av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.85

n=21 av.=3.52 md=4 dev.=1.08

module


module

Practical Biology (BIO193) No. of responses = 33 (29.73%)



# Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol


- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

Definitely disagree

### 1. Rate this module

module

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey



n=26




The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



n=33 av.=3.27 md=3 dev.=1.1

I have been given adequate feedback during the

Definitely agree




av.=3.33 md=4 dev.=1.22

n=33

I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module


Definitely agree



av.=3.24 md=4 dev.=1.09

The module is well organised and runs smoothly


Definitely agree



n=33 av.=3 md=3 dev.=1.06

I had access to good learning resources for the module


Definitely agree



av.=3.12 md=3 dev.=1.14

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module

Definitely agree




n=33 av.=3.55 md=4 dev.=0.94 ě

n=33 av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=1.15

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree




n=33 av.=3.12 md=3 dev.=1.17

Research Methods and Statistics in Psychology I (PSY109) No. of responses = 35 (36.08%)



## Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=28 via QMplus 60.7% via email link 39.3% 19 54.3% 11.4% 1.2) The module is well taught n=35 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=0.9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • ĕ 31.4% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=35 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.66 md=4 dev.=1.19 been made clear in advance ĕ 16 31.4% 14.3% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=35 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.91 module 31.4% 34.3% n=35 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=1.02 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module ĕ 12 15 42 17 The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=35 av.=4.06 md=4 dev.=0.87 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 19 54.3% 2.9% 28.6% I had access to good learning resources for the n=35 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=1.09 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module ě

29.04.2016

contribution to this module

module

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the

3

3

2

9

16

Definitely agree

Definitely agree

av.=4.31 md=4 dev.=0.72

n=34 av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=1.02

Definitely disagree


Definitely disagree

States of Matter (CHE105) No. of responses = 22 (23.66%)



# Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

### 1. Rate this module

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=20 via QMplus 60% via email link 40% 1.2) The module is well taught n=22 av.=3.55 md=4 dev.=1.18 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ĕ 27.3% Definitely agree Definitely disagree

The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance

Definitely agree

n=22 av.=3.82 md=4 dev.=0.96 ĕ

I have been given adequate feedback during the module

Definitely disagree

31.8%

36.4%

n=22 av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=0.94 • ě

I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module

Definitely agree

22.7% Definitely disagree • ĕ

n=22 av.=3.59 md=4 dev.=1.14

The module is well organised and runs smoothly

Definitely agree

13.6% Definitely disagree

n=22 av.=3.64 md=4 dev.=1.22

I had access to good learning resources for the module

Definitely agree

Definitely disagree . ě n=22 av.=3.45 md=3.5 dev.=0.96

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module

Definitely agree


31.8% Definitely disagree

18.2%

n=22 av.=3.68 md=4 dev.=0.89

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree



n=22 av.=3.45 md=4 dev.=1.3

Tissue Biology (BMD181) No. of responses = 91 (35%)



# Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

39 43.3% Mean value is within the quality guideline.

Definitely disagree


### 1. Rate this module

1.2) The module is well taught

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey




n=81






Definitely agree




n=90 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.77

- been made clear in advance




n=89 av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=1.1

- I have been given adequate feedback during the module
- Definitely agree



n=91 av.=2.69 md=3 dev.=1.18

- I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module
- Definitely agree



n=91 av.=3.44 md=3 dev.=1.14 ĕ

The module is well organised and runs smoothly



32


40



av.=4.07 md=4 dev.=0.9

I had access to good learning resources for the module


Definitely agree



n=91 av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=1.04

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module


Definitely agree



n=91 av.=3.97 md=4 dev.=1.13

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree



n=90 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=0.92