
Abnormal and Clinical Psychology (SBC501) No. of responses = 20 (24.39%)



Legend

Question text



Quality index

n=No. of responses

av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=19 via QMplus 42.1% via email link 57.9% 1.2) The module is well taught n=20 av.=4.45 md=5 dev.=0.83 Definitely agree Definitely disagree The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.95 md=4 dev.=0.97 been made clear in advance ĕ 5 10 I have been given adequate feedback during the n=20 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • av.=3.3 md=3 dev.=1.03 module ě 30% n=20 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1.12 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module ĕ 0 42 The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=19 av.=4.26 md=4 dev.=0.93 Definitely agree Definitely disagree I had access to good learning resources for the n=20 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=1.15 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 30% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=20 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=0.97 Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution to this module 5 11 0

module

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the

3

2

Definitely agree


n=20 av.=4.3 md=5 dev.=0.98

Basic Immunology (SBS803) No. of responses = 38 (18.81%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

Please select the method you used to access this survey

via QMplus

via email link

1.2) The module is well taught

Definitely agree

Definitely disagree

been made clear in advance

5 4 3 2 1

14 9 10 4 1

36.8% 23.7% 26.3% 10.5% 2.6%


finitely agree

Definitely disagree

av.=4.42 md=4 dev.=0.6

.4) I have been given adequate feedback during the module

Definitely agree



n=38 av.=3.82 md=4 dev.=1.14

1.5) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module


Definitely agree



n=38 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.73

(6) The module is well organised and runs smoothly


Definitely agree



n=38 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.79

J had access to good learning resources for the module Definitely agree

22 57.9%



n=38 av.=4.47 md=5 dev.=0.69

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module


Definitely agree

24 12 2 0 0 63.2% 31.6% 5.3% 0% 0% 0% Definitely disagree

av.=4.58 md=5 dev.=0.6

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree




n=38 av.=4.68 md=5 dev.=0.47

Biochemistry Communication (SBC301X) No. of responses = 17 (20.99%)



Legend

Question text



av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention

n=No. of responses



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

Definitely disagree

1. Rate this module

1.2) The module is well taught

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey




n=16


The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



Definitely agree



n=17 av.=3.18 md=3 dev.=1.07 ĕ



av.=3.41 md=3 dev.=1.06

ĕ


•

ě

١

I have been given adequate feedback during the module


Definitely agree



n=17 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.99

I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module





29.4%

35.3%

n=17 av.=3.65 md=4 dev.=1

The module is well organised and runs smoothly






av.=3.35 md=3 dev.=1

I had access to good learning resources for the module


Definitely agree



n=16 av.=3.44 md=3.5 dev.=1.09

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module


Definitely agree



n=16 av.=3.25 md=3 dev.=0.93

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree




n=16 av.=3.06 md=3 dev.=0.93

Biomedical Pharmacology (SBC402) No. of responses = 35 (17.16%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.


guideline. 1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=28 via QMplus 53.6% via email link 46.4% 23 <u>65.7%</u> 1.2) The module is well taught n=35 av.=4.54 md=5 dev.=0.74 Definitely agree Definitely disagree The criteria used in marking on the module have n=35 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.34 md=5 dev.=0.87 been made clear in advance 15 10 n=35 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.95 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 31.4% n=35 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.89 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree : my studies on the module The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=35 av.=4.4 md=5 dev.=0.98 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 20 58.8% 35.3% I had access to good learning resources for the n=34 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.71 Definitely agree Ц Definitely disagree module 5 20 57.1% 14 0 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=35 Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree av.=4.51 md=5 dev.=0.66 contribution to this module 5 20 12 0 n=34 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.71 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Ţ Definitely disagree module

Biomedical Science Case Approach to Problem Solving (SBS320X) No. of responses = 50 (27.78%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention

n=45

av.=3.1 md=3 dev.=1.34



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey



1.2) The module is well taught

Definitely agree


6.1% 40.8% 24.5% 18.4% 10.2%

Definitely disagree

0.1% 40.8% 24.5% 18.4% 10.2%

1.3) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance

Definitely agree been made clear in advance





- 1.5) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module

 Definitely agree my studies on the module

 Definitely agree my studies on the module
- 1.6) The module is well organised and runs smoothly

 Definitely agree

 5 4 3 2 1

 8 21 8 6 6
 6
 16.3% 42.9% 16.3% 12.2% 12.2%

 Definitely disagree

 N=49
 av=3.39
 mdev=1.26
- 1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module

 Definitely agree

 Note:

 1.8.4% 30.6% 38.8% 4.1% 8.2%

 Definitely disagree

 av.=3.47 md=3 dev.=1.1
- Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

 Definitely agree module

Definitely disagree

n=49 av.=3.02 md=3 dev.=1.22


Page 1

Clinical Microbiology (SBC205) No. of responses = 29 (16.11%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

module

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=23 via QMplus 60.9% via email link 39.1% 1.2) The module is well taught n=29 av.=4.07 md=4 dev.=0.65 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 27.6% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=29 av.=3.45 md=4 dev.=1.09 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance ĕ

I have been given adequate feedback during the


10 13 44.8% Definitely agree

n=29 av.=3.24 md=3 dev.=0.79 •

ě

I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module

Definitely agree



n=29 av.=3.34 md=3 dev.=0.86

The module is well organised and runs smoothly

19 65.5% Definitely agree Definitely disagree

13.8%

19 65.5%

17.2%

n=29 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.67

I had access to good learning resources for the module

Definitely agree

Definitely disagree • ě

Definitely disagree

Definitely disagree

n=29 av.=3.97 md=4 dev.=0.68

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module


Definitely agree

10 35.7% 50% Definitely disagree

av.=4.29 md=4.5 dev.=0.94

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree




n=29 av.=3.72 md=4 dev.=0.84

Developmental Psychology (SBC204) No. of responses = 16 (18.6%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey




The module is well taught

Definitely agree

To be module is well taught


.3) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



n=16 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.89


n=14

.4) I have been given adequate feedback during the module



n=16 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1.29

1.5) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




n=16 av.=4.25 md=4.5 dev.=0.93

^{.6)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=16 av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=1.09

7) I had access to good learning resources for the module



n=16 av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=0.89

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module

Definitely agree 5 4 3 2 1 Definitely disagree

n=16 av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=0.96

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree 5 4 3 2 1 Definitely disagree


n=16 av.=4.31 md=5 dev.=1.14

Ecological Interactions (SBC224) No. of responses = 9 (21.95%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey
v

via QMplus 25%
via email link 75%

1.2) The module is well taught


Definitely agree

22.2% 44.4% 22.2% 0% 11.1%

Definitely disagree

av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=1.22


- .3) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance
- Definitely agree



n=9 av.=2.78 md=2 dev.=1.09


n=8

- .4) I have been given adequate feedback during the module
- Definitely agree



n=9 av.=3 md=3 dev.=1.12

- 1.5) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module
- Definitely agree



11

n=9 av.=3.56 md=4 dev.=1.33

- ^{.6)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly
- Definitely agree


. .1% 77

0

Definitely disagree n=9 av=3.78 md=4 dev=1.09

I had access to good learning resources for the module


Definitely agree



n=8 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module


Definitely agree



n=9 av.=2.89 md=3 dev.=1.27

.9) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree




n=9 av.=3.78 md=4 dev.=1.3

Essential Biochemistry for Human Life (SBC503) No. of responses = 30 (15.96%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=27 via QMplus 55.6% via email link 44.4% 1.2) The module is well taught n=29 av.=4.07 md=4 dev.=0.65 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 20.7% 10.3% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=29 av.=3.55 md=4 dev.=1.02 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance ĕ 20.7% n=29 av.=3.52 md=4 dev.=1.09 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module ě 20.7% n=29 av.=3.72 md=4 dev.=0.96 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module ĕ 51 20 The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=29 av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=0.88 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 16 55.2% 27.6% I had access to good learning resources for the n=29 av.=3.76 md=4 dev.=0.83 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ě 27.6% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=29 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.89 Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution to this module

module

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the

Definitely agree

5

18

0

3


n=29 av.=3.97 md=4 dev.=0.82

Evolutionary Psychology (SBC240) No. of responses = 13 (16.67%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



ĕ

ĕ

n=13 av.=3.15 md=3 dev.=1.07

n=13 av.=3.77 md=4 dev.=1.09

Page 1

Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=11 via QMplus 63.6% via email link 36.4% 10 76.9% 7.7% 1.2) The module is well taught n=13 av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=0.69 Definitely agree Definitely disagree

- The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance
- 53.8% I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree module
 - n=13 Definitely disagree av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.71
- 38.5% 46.2% n=13 av.=3.77 md=4 dev.=0.73 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module ĕ
- 15.4% The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=13 av.=3.77 md=4 dev.=1.24 Definitely agree Definitely disagree
- 5 38.5% 30.8% 23.1% I had access to good learning resources for the n=13 av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=1.14 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module ě 5
- 30.8% 23.1% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=13 av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=1.14 Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution to this module 5
- Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module


6 0 Definitely agree Definitely disagree

Explanations in Psychology (SBC263) No. of responses = 17 (20.73%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

guideline. 1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=15 via QMplus 40% via email link 60% 1.2) The module is well taught n=17 av.=4.35 md=4 dev.=0.61 Definitely agree Definitely disagree The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.94 md=4 dev.=1.09 been made clear in advance ĕ 17.6% n=17 av.=4.24 md=4 dev.=0.75 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module n=16 av.=4.25 md=4.5 dev.=0.86 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree : my studies on the module 0 0 47 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.41 md=4 dev.=0.62 31.3% I had access to good learning resources for the n=16 av.=4.25 md=4.5 dev.=0.93 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 0 0% 41.2% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.35 md=4 dev.=0.7 contribution to this module 9 0 0

module

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the

3

Definitely agree


n=17 av.=4.35 md=4 dev.=0.61

Human Genetic Disorders (BIO227) No. of responses = 21 (53.85%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

16 76.2%

16

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

Definitely disagree

1. Rate this module

1.2) The module is well taught

been made clear in advance

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey




n=21 av.=4.76 md=5 dev.=0.44

n=16

.3) The criteria used in marking on the module have



Definitely agree



n=21 av.=4.52 md=5 dev.=0.68

.4) I have been given adequate feedback during the module





n=21 av.=4.33 md=5 dev.=1.02

1.5) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module





0

0

0

n=21 av.=4.52 md=5 dev.=0.75

1.6) The module is well organised and runs smoothly






n=20 av.=4.8 md=5 dev.=0.41

J had access to good learning resources for the module


Definitely agree



n=21 av.=4.81 md=5 dev.=0.4

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module


Definitely agree



n=21 av.=4.9 md=5 dev.=0.3

.9) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree




n=21 av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.36

Membrane and Cellular Biochemistry (SBC228) No. of responses = 24 (20.17%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

57.9%

Definitely disagree

1. Rate this module

1.2) The module is well taught

been made clear in advance

Please select the method you used to access this survey


via QMplus 42.1%

The criteria used in marking on the module have

Definitely agree

Definitely agree

via email link




n=24 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.72

n=19

.4) I have been given adequate feedback during the module

Definitely agree




n=24 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.8

> n=24 av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=0.88

1.5) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module

Definitely agree



n=24 av.=4.33 md=4.5 dev.=0.76

6) The module is well organised and runs smoothly


Definitely agree



n=24 av.=4.54 md=5 dev.=0.66

J had access to good learning resources for the module

Definitely agree



n=24 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.66

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module


Definitely agree

14 5 3 2 0 58.3% 20.8% 12.5% 8.3% 0% Definitely disagree

n=24 av.=4.29 md=5 dev.=1

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree




n=24 av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.7

Metabolic Pathways (SBS905) No. of responses = 35 (28.93%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=30 via QMplus 30% via email link 70% 1.2) The module is well taught n=35 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree The criteria used in marking on the module have n=35 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.06 md=4 dev.=1.03 been made clear in advance 37.1% 17.1% n=35 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=1.25 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module ě 10 11.8% n=34 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.15 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree • my studies on the module 14 The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=35 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.87 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 18 51.4% 31.4% I had access to good learning resources for the n=35 av.=4.23 md=5 dev.=1.03 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.97 md=4 dev.=1.1 contribution to this module 5 10 17 0 n=35 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.88 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree


module

Microbial Physiology and Growth (SBS757) No. of responses = 16 (25.4%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=10 via QMplus 40% via email link 60% 1.2) The module is well taught n=16 av.=4.19 md=4 dev.=0.91 Definitely agree Definitely disagree The criteria used in marking on the module have n=16 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.89 been made clear in advance I have been given adequate feedback during the n=16 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1.06 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module ě 37 31 n=16 av.=4 md=4 dev.= I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree : my studies on the module 0.82 0 The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=15 av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.72 Definitely agree Definitely disagree I had access to good learning resources for the n=16 av.=4.25 md=4.5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module dev.=0.86 5 33.3% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=15 av.=4.53 md=5 dev.=0.64 Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution to this module 5 3 0

module

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the

3

Definitely agree


n=16 av.=4.19 md=4 dev.=0.91

Parasites and Infectious Diseases (SBS205) No. of responses = 12 (14.63%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

guideline. 1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=11 via QMplus 54.5% via email link 45.5% 66.7% 1.2) The module is well taught n=12 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.49 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ,¥ The criteria used in marking on the module have n=12 av.=4.42 md=4.5 dev.=0.67 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 0 n=12 av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=0.83 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 41 7% n=12 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.75 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree : my studies on the module 0 0 0 The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=12 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.45 Definitely agree Ť Definitely disagree 58.3% I had access to good learning resources for the n=12 av.=4.33 md=5 dev.=0.98 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 2 0 0 0% 0 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=12 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.45 Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution to this module 9 0 0 0

module

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the

Definitely agree

T


n=12 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.45

Pharmaceutical Chemistry (Sem B) (CHE206B) No. of responses = 24 (30.38%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention

n=24 av.=4.04 md=4 dev.=1.16



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=21 via QMplus 47.6% via email link 52.4%



- 29.2% The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance
- 13 0 54. n=24 av.=4.33 md=5 dev.=1.01 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module
- 13 33.3% n=24 av.=4.33 md=5 dev.=0.96 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree : Н my studies on the module
- 0 60.9% The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=23 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.59 Definitely agree Definitely disagree
- 9 37.5% 45.8% I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module
- 29.2% 58.3% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution to this module
- Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

3 8 14 0

Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=24 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.85


n=24 av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=0.97

Physical & Quantum Chemistry (Sem B) (CHE204B) No. of responses = 20 (33.9%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

guideline. 1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=14 via QMplus 50% via email link 50% 1.2) The module is well taught n=20 av.=4.35 md=4.5 dev.=0.75 Definitely agree Definitely disagree The criteria used in marking on the module have n=20 av.=4.15 md=4.5 dev.=1.09 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 10 0 I have been given adequate feedback during the n=20 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.35 md=4.5 dev.=0.75 module n=20 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.83 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree : my studies on the module 10 0 0 The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=20 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.4 md=4.5 dev.=0.68 I had access to good learning resources for the n=20 av.=4.25 md=5 dev.=1.02 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 10 0 50% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.3 md=4.5 dev.=0.8 contribution to this module 10 0 0 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=20 av.=4.35 md=4.5

module


Definitely agree

Practical Chemistry (CHE201) No. of responses = 30 (34.88%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=24 via QMplus 50% via email link 50% 43.3% 1.2) The module is well taught n=30 av.=3.53 md=3.5 dev.=0.9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ĕ The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.7 md=4 dev.=1.02 been made clear in advance ĕ I have been given adequate feedback during the n=30 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • av.=3.1 md=3 dev.=1.37 module Õ 13.8% 41 n=29 av.=3.03 md=3 dev.=1.21 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree • my studies on the module ĕ 10 33 The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.23 md=3 dev.=1.07 13 43.3% 26.7% I had access to good learning resources for the n=30 av.=3.33 md=3 dev.=0.99 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ě 8 27.6% 24.1% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=29 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=1.07 Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution to this module 5

module

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the

Definitely agree

14


n=29 av.=3.34 md=3 dev.=0.97

Problem Solving in Chemistry (CHE205) No. of responses = 17 (28.81%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention




Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.


1. Rate this module

1.2) The module is well taught


1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey



n=12




The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



av.=3.19 md=3 dev.=1.17


n=17 av.=3.41 md=4 dev.=1.18

I have been given adequate feedback during the module



n=17 av.=2.76 md=3 dev.=1.15

I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




n=17 av.=3.12 md=3 dev.=1.11

The module is well organised and runs smoothly



av.=2.71 md=3 dev.=1.26

I had access to good learning resources for the module



n=17 av.=3.06 md=3 dev.=1.25 ě

.

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module

17.6% 41.2% Definitely agree Definitely disagree 5

av.=3.41 md=3 dev.=1.18

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

6 0 Definitely agree Definitely disagree


n=17 av.=3.06 md=3 dev.=1.03

Research Methods and Communication (SBC264) No. of responses = 32 (33.68%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.


1. Rate this module

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey




n=27

11 10 2 4 5 34.4% 31.3% 6.3% 12.5% 15.6 Definitely agree




The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance






.4) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




n=32 av.=3.38 md=3 dev.=1.36

1.5) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




n=32 av.=3.5 md=4 dev.=1.39

^{.6)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly



n=31 av.=3.81 md=4 dev.=1.22

7) I had access to good learning resources for the module



n=32 av.=3.25 md=3 dev.=1.44

•

ě

The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module

n=31 av.=3.52 md=3 dev.=1.21

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree 5 4 3 2 1


n=32 av.=3.28 md=4 dev.=1.49

Solid State and Inorganic Chemistry (Sem B) (CHE203B) No. of responses = 31 (35.63%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

guideline. 1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=24 via QMplus 50% via email link 50% 16 51.6% 1.2) The module is well taught n=31 av.=3.81 md=4 dev.=0.75 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • ĕ 10 32.3% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=31 av.=3.58 md=4 dev.=1.12 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance ě 12 38.7% 10 32.3% n=31 av.=3.48 md=4 dev.=0.93 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module ě 48.4% n=31 av.=3.45 md=3 dev.=0.85 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module ١ 12 38.7% 22.6% The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=31 av.=3.68 md=4 dev.=1.01 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 12 38.7% 5 16.1% 19.4% 22.6% I had access to good learning resources for the n=31 av.=3.55 md=4 dev.=1.09 Definitely agree Definitely disagree . module ě 33.3% 13.3% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=30 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.95 contribution to this module 5 10 11 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=31 av.=3.39 md=3 dev.=0.95 Definitely agree Definitely disagree


module

Structure and Reactivity in Organic Chemistry (Sem B) (CHE202B) No. of responses = 40 (35.71%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module 1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=32 via QMplus 34.4% via email link 65.6% 1.2) The module is well taught n=40 av.=4.4 md=4 dev.=0.55 Definitely agree Definitely disagree The criteria used in marking on the module have n=40 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.85 been made clear in advance 21 0 n=40 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.69 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 13 n=40 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.82 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree : my studies on the module 42 . 5% The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=40 av.=4.3 md=4 dev.=0.76 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 13 32.5% I had access to good learning resources for the n=40 av.=4.28 md=4 dev.=0.78 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 20 51.3% 17 43.6% 0 0% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.6 contribution to this module 3 23 15 0 0 n=40 av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.57 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module


3

Transmission Genetics (SBS641) No. of responses = 27 (29.03%)



Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) Please select the method you used to access this survey n=22 via QMplus 31.8% via email link 68.2% 1.2) The module is well taught n=27 av.=4.07 md=4 dev.=0.83 Definitely agree Definitely disagree The criteria used in marking on the module have n=27 av.=3.56 md=4 dev.=1.28 Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance ĕ 38.5% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=26 Definitely agree Definitely disagree (E) av.=3.69 md=3.5 dev.=1.16 module ě 18.5% 40.7% n=27 av.=4.07 md=4 dev.=0.96 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree : my studies on the module 40.7% 14.8% The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=27 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.04 md=4 dev.=1.02

1.8) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution

module

to this module

Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

I had access to good learning resources for the

Definitely agree 5 4 3 2 1

13 7 5 2 0

48.1% 25.9% 18.5% 7.4% 0%

Definitely disagree

29.6%

40.7%

9 9 6 0 2
34.6% 34.6% 23.1% 0% 7.7%

Definitely agree Definitely disagree

n=27 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=0.99

> n=26 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=1.14

> n=27 av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=0.92

ě

Definitely disagree