


Electronic Engineering and Computer Science


C Programming (ECS501U) No. of responses = 33 (60%)




Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lef		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=1 mde_1 dev.= ab.=/	o. of responses Mean Vedian •Std. Dev. •Std. Dev. •Ststention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	below the e.	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	I M	ean value is withi Jality guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	6 18.2%	Definitely disagree	n=33 av.=3 md=3 dev.=1.37
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking or been made clear in advance 	the module have	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 6.1% 1	Definitely disagree	n=33 av.=3.64 md=4 dev.=1.29
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fe module	edback during the	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 6.1% 1	Definitely disagree	n=33 av.=3.33 md=3 dev.=1.14
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 9.1% 1	Definitely disagree	n=33 av.=3.36 md=3 dev.=1.19
^{1.5)} The module is well organised a	and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 6.1% 1	Definitely disagree	n=33 av.=3.27 md=3 dev.=1.35
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning module	resources for the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 6.1% 1	Definitely disagree	n=33 av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=1.14
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made contribution to this module	an appropriate	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 6.1% 1	Definitely disagree	n=33 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=1.18
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the module	quality of the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 15.2% 1	Definitely disagree	n=33 av.=3 md=3 dev.=1.39


Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Queen Mary Creating Interactive Objects (ECS511U) University of London No. of responses = 11 (91.67%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=11 av.=3.55 md=4 dev.=1.21 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 2 6 0 0 54 27.3% 18.2% .5% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=11 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.36 md=5 dev.=0.81 Н • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 0 0 1.3) 18.2% 63.6% 18.2% 0% 0% n=11 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.63 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • Ŀ module 5 45.5% Π 27.3% 18.2% 9 1.4) n=11 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=0.94 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree . my studies on the module Õ -5 2 0 27.3% 36.4% 18.2% 18.2% 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=11 av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=1.1 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 Δ 3 3 2 0 27.3% 27.3% 27.3% 18.2% 1.6) 0% n=11 av.=3.64 md=4 dev.=1.12 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 5 3 5 4 0 1 9.1% 45.5% . 36.4% 9.1% 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=11 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.45 md=4 dev.=1.04 contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 3 5 0 18.2% 9.1% 27.3% 45.5% 0% 1.8) n=11 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=0.94 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ







3



Electronic Engineering and Computer Science

Probability and Matrices (ECS509U) No. of responses = 103 (59.54%)



	Absolute Frequencies of answ Relative Frequencies of answ Left po Sc	ers 25 25%	Mean 0%50% 50% 4 3	Median 0 25 0% 25% 1 2 Histogram	<u>6</u>	Right pole	av.= mdd= dev: ab.=	o. of re <mark>Median</mark> =Std. I Abster	Dev. Ition
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is bel guality guideline.	low the	Mean is w tolerance t guideline.	<i>i</i> ithin the ran for the quali	ige of ty	🚺 Me	ean value is withi ality guideline.	n the	9
1. Rate this module									
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	69 67% 2	27 5 66.2% 4.9%	2 1.9%	0 0%	Definitely disagree		n=103 av.=4.58 md=5 dev.=0.68
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance 	module have	Definitely agree	_ 70	26 6 5.2% 5.8%		0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree		n=103 av.=4.6 md=5 dev.=0.65
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedba module	ack during the	Definitely agree	71 68.9% 2 5	24 7 33.3% 6.8%	2		Definitely disagree		n=103 av.=4.6 md=5 dev.=0.66
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice ar my studies on the module	nd support with	Definitely agree	63 61.2% 2 5	26 12 25.2% 11.7% 4 3	2 1.9% 2	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree		n=103 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.78
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and i	runs smoothly	Definitely agree	69 67% 2 5	27 5 26.2% 4.9%	2 1.9% 2	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree		n=103 av.=4.58 md=5 dev.=0.68
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning reso module	urces for the	Definitely agree	59 57.3% 2	29 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	5 4.9% 2	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree		n=103 av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=0.85
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an a contribution to this module	ppropriate	Definitely agree	62 60.2% 2 5	28 11 17.2% 10.7% 4 3	2 1.9%		Definitely disagree		n=103 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.76
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the qual module	ity of the	Definitely agree	69 67% 2 5	26 7 25.2% 6.8% 4 3		0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree		n=103 av.=4.58 md=5 dev.=0.66

Electronic Engineering and Computer Science

Software Engineering (ECS505U) No. of responses = 139 (64.65%) Queen Mary

Legend Question text	s of answers Std. Dev. s of answers 25 Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
				Quality index
Description of quality symbol	e is below the deline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	🚺 Mean v 💆 quality	alue is within the guideline.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	27 51 41 14 19.4% 36.7% 29.5% 10.1%		n=139 av.=3.57 md=4 dev.=1.05
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance 	Definitely agree	29 41 46 14 20.9% 29.5% 33.1% 10.1% 5 4 3 2		nitely disagree av=3.48 md=4 dev.=1.13
 ^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback during the module 	Definitely agree	16 19 52 25 11.5% 13.7% 37.4% 18% 5 4 3 2	27 19.4%	nitely disagree n=139 av.=2.8 md=3 dev.=1.23
 ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module 	Definitely agree	19 36 51 16 13.8% 26.1% 37% 11.6% 5 4 3 2	16 11.6%	n=138 av.=3.19 md=3 dev.=1.17 ab.=1
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	24 49 49 11 17.3% 35.3% 35.3% 7.9% 5 4 3 2		nitely disagree av=3.53 md=4 dev.=1.01
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources for the module	Definitely agree	35 52 34 14 25.2% 37.4% 24.5% 10.1% 5 4 3 2		n=139 av.=3.72 md=4 dev.=1.04
 ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module 	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	35 53 44 5 25.2% 38.1% 31.7% 3.6% 5 4 3 2	2Defir	nitely disagree av.=3.82 md=4 dev.=0.9
 ^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module 	Definitely agree	21 47 44 21 15.2% 34.1% 31.9% 15.2% 5 4 3 2		n=138 av.=3.42 md=3 dev.=1.04 ab.=1

Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Queen Mary Website Design and Authoring (ECS507U) University of London No. of responses = 29 (72.5%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 5 2 6.9% 3 10.3% 37.9% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=29 av.=2.97 md=3 dev.=1.18 Definitely agree Definitely disagree : 5 3 2 6 20.7% 6 20.7% 24.1% 20.7% 13.8% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=29 Definitely agree av.=2.83 md=3 dev.=1.36 Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 8 5 3 5 3 11 24.1% 1.3) 17.2% 37.9% 10.3% 10.3% n=29 av.=3.41 md=4 dev.=1.21 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree k module Õ 12 1.4% 20.7% 41 24.1% 6.9% 6.9% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=29 av.=2.97 md=3 dev.=1.02 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module 8 5 3 11 6.9% 24.1% 37.9% 17.2% 13.8% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=29 av.=2.93 md=3 dev.=1.13 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 8 5 3 1 9 g 10.3% 24.1% 31% 3.4% 1.6) 31% n=29 av.=3.07 md=3 dev.=1.07 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 3 4 9 12 1 3 41.4% 3.4% 10.3% 13.8% 31% 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=29 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.34 md=3 dev.=1.11 contribution Õ to this module 5 3 3 6 6 24.1% 20.7% 20.7% 10.3% 24.1% 1.8) n=29 av.=2.83 md=3 dev.=1.31 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module

5