21st Century Networks (ECS703P) No. of responses = 20 (95.24%)




Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of a Relative Frequencies of a Lef		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av=Mean md=Median dev,=Std. Dev, ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelin	below the le.	 Mean is within the range tolerance for the quality guideline. 	e of 🚺 N	lean value is within the uality guideline.
1. Rate this module					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c} 8 & 11 & 1 \\ 40\% & 55\% & 5\% \\ \hline 5 & 4 & 3 \end{array} $	0 0 0% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree av.=4.35 md=4 dev.=0.59
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking or been made clear in advance 	n the module have	Definitely agree	7 8 4 36.8% 42.1% 21.1% 5 4 3	0 0% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree n=19 av=4.16 md=4 dev=0.76 ab.=1
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fe module	edback during the	Definitely agree	5 4 3	2 1	Definitely disagree n=18 av.=4,11 md=4 dev.=0.68 ab.=2
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advic my studies on the module	ce and support with	Definitely agree	7 11 1 35% 55% 5% 5 4 3	2 1	Definitely disagree n=20 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.77
^{1.5)} The module is well organised a	and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	5 $55%$ $20%5 4 3$	2 1	Definitely disagree n=20 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.69
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning module	resources for the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 1	Definitely disagree m = 20 av = 4.2 m d= 4 dev.=0.83
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made contribution to this module	an appropriate	Definitely agree	8 8 3 42.1% 42.1% 15.8% 5 4 3	2 1	Definitely disagree n=19 md=4 dev.=0.73 ab.=1
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the module	quality of the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 1	Definitely disagree n=19 md=4 dev.=0.78 ab.=1

21st Century Networks (ECS703U) No. of responses = 14 (82.35%)



Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lef		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25 0% 0% 25 4 3 2 Histogram	15 Right pole	n=No. av.=Me md=Me dev.=S ab.=Ab	dian d. Dev. stention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelin	below the e.	 Mean is within the ra tolerance for the qua guideline. 	inge of 🚺 I lity 💆 d	Mean value is within quality guideline.	the
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	57.1% 28.6% 14.3% 57.1% 28.6% 14.3% 5 4 3		Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.76
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	— — — — — — — — — — — Definitely agree	7 3 3 50% 21.4% 21.4% 1 1 1 5 4 3	2 1	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.14 md=4.5 dev.=1.03
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	edback during the	Definitely agree	5 4 3		Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.21 md=4 dev.=0.7
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advic my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.43 md=4.5 dev.=0.65
^{1.5)} The module is well organised a	and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c} 9 \\ 64.3\% \\ 28.6\% \\ 7.1\% \\ \hline 5 \\ 4 \\ 3 \end{array} $	2 1	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.65
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning r module	esources for the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c} 9 \\ 64.3\% \\ 28.6\% \\ 7.1\% \\ \hline 5 4 3 $	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.65
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	an appropriate	Definitely agree	8 4 2 57.1% 28.6% 14.3% 1 1 1 5 4 3		Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.76
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the o module	quality of the	Definitely agree	5 4 3		Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.76



Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Queen Mary Advanced Program Design (ECS711P) No. of responses = 30 (88.24%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 10 15 2 .7% 3 10% 0 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught 33.3% n=30 av.=4.07 md=4 dev.=0.91 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 11 16 0 53 6 7% 36.7% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=30 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=30 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.73 • been made clear in advance 3 2 5 8 0 13 43.3% 23.3% 1.3) 26.7% 67% 0% n=30 av.=3.9 md=4 dev.=0.88 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ Δ 13 43.3% 10 6 Π 33.3% 3 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=30 av.=4.07 md=4 dev.=0.83 Definitely agree Definitely disagree . my studies on the module 5 4 q 15 0 5 30% 50% 3.3% 16.7% 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=30 av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=1.01 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 Δ 3 2 17 0 0 36.7% 56.7% 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=30 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Ż av.=4.3 md=4 dev.=0.6 -• module 3 5 8 0 8 14 0 26.7% 46.7% 26. 0 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=30 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.74 contribution to this module 5 3 2 5 16.7% 10 10 0 5 16.7% 33.3% 33.3% 0% 1.8) n=30 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=1.09 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ

3

2

Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Queen Mary Advanced Transform Methods (ECS706P) University of London No. of responses = 8 (72.73%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0 1 12.5% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=8 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.64 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 3 5 2 0 37.5% 37 12.5% 5% 12.5% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=8 Definitely agree av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=1.36 Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ē 3 2 5 0 1.3) 37.5% 37.5% 12.5% 0% 12.5% n=8 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=1.36 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module Õ 5 12.5% 12 12.5% 37.5% 25% . 5% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=8 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=1.51 . my studies on the module Õ 5 1 0 37.5% 37.5% 12.5% 12 .5% 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=8 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.07 5 Δ 3 2 2 0 0 37.5% 37.5% 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=8 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.93 • module 5 3 2 0 4 2 0 50% 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=8 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.25 md=4.5 dev.=0.89 н • contribution to this module 5 3 2 0 12.5% 12 50% 25% .5% 0% 1.8) n=8 av.=4.13 md=4.5 dev.=1.13 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • н module

2

3

Applied Statistics (ECS764P) No. of responses = 26 (81.25%)



Que	gend stion text		t pole 5 Scale	4 3 2 Histogram	7% Right po	le av= md= dev: ab.= Qua	o. of responses Mean Median =Std. Dev. Abstention
Des	cription of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelin	below the le.	 Mean is within the ra tolerance for the qua guideline. 	ality	Mean value is with quality guideline.	in the
1. F	Rate this module						
1.1)	The module is well taught		Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 23.1\% \\ 42.3\% \\ 30.8\% \\ 5 \\ 4 \end{array}$	2 1	Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=0.83
^{1.2)} 7	Fhe criteria used in marking on th been made clear in advance	e module have	Definitely agree	8 14 3 30.8% 53.8% 11.5%	2 1	Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=4.12 md=4 dev.=0.77
^{1.3)} r	have been given adequate feedt nodule	back during the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c} 9 & 12 & 3 \\ 34.6\% & 46.2\% & 11.5\% \\ \hline 5 & 4 & 3 \end{array} $	2 0 7.7% 0%	Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.89
^{1.4)} r	have received sufficient advice a ny studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	6 9 6 24% 36% 24%	$-\frac{3}{12\%}$ $-\frac{1}{4\%}$ - $-\frac{1}{2}$ $-\frac{1}{2}$ $-\frac{1}{2}$	Definitely disagree	n=25 av.=3.64 md=4 dev.=1.11 ab.=1
	The module is well organised and	I runs smoothly	Definitely agree	7 9 9 26.9% 34.6% 34.6% 5 4 3		Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=0.88
^{1.6)} r	had access to good learning res nodule	ources for the	Definitely agree	7 5 9 26.9% 19.2% 34.6% 5 4 3	4 1 5 15.4% 3.8% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=3.5 md=3 dev.=1.17
C	The use of QMplus has made an contribution o this module	appropriate	Definitely agree	9 9 8 34.6% 34.6% 30.8% 5 4 3	2 1	Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=4.04 md=4 dev.=0.82
	Overall I am satisfied with the qua nodule	ality of the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 1	Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=3.81 md=4 dev.=0.9

Big Data Processing (ECS765P) No. of responses = 35 (81.4%)



Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lefi		Mean Median 0 50 0 4 3 2 Histogram	25 25%	Right pole	av.=1 md=. dev.= ab.=	b. of responses Vean Median -Std. Dev. Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	pelow the e.	 Mean is within the tolerance for the guideline. 	e range of quality	P Me	ean value is withi ality guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module							
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree		1 0 .9% 0% 3 2	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=35 av.=4.51 md=5 dev.=0.56
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking or been made clear in advance 	n the module have	Definitely agree		2 2 7% 5.7% 3 2	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=35 av.=4.31 md=4 dev.=0.83
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fe module	edback during the	Definitely agree	13 37.1% 42.9% 17 5 4	6 1 7.1% 2.9% 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=35 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.81
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advic my studies on the module	ce and support with	Definitely agree		6 1 7.1% 2.9% 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=35 av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=0.82
^{1.5)} The module is well organised a	and runs smoothly	Definitely agree		1 0 .9% 0%		Definitely disagree	n=35 av.=4.66 md=5 dev.=0.54
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning module	resources for the	Definitely agree		<u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>9% 2.9%</u> <u>3</u> 2		Definitely disagree	n=35 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.7
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made contribution to this module	an appropriate	Definitely agree		2 0 7% 0% 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=35 av.=4.54 md=5 dev.=0.61
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the module	quality of the	Definitely agree		2 0 .7% 0% 3 2	- 0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=35 av.=4.49 md=5 dev.=0.61

Communication Theory (ECS701P) No. of responses = 24 (92.31%)



Lege Questio	Rela	olute Frequencies of ative Frequencies of L		Mean Mec 0 50% 00 0% 50% 09 4 3 2 Histo	25 25%	Right pole	av.=1 md=1 dev: ab.=,	o. of responses Mean Median Std. Dev. Abstention
Descrip	ption of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guideli	s below the ine.	 Mean is within tolerance for the guideline. 	the range of ne quality	M	ean value is withi uality guideline.	n the
1. Rat	te this module							
^{1.1)} The	e module is well taught		Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c} 6 & 11 \\ 25\% & 45.8\% \\ 45.8\% \\ 5 & 4 \end{array} $	6 1 25% 4.2%	0%	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.92 md=4 dev.=0.83
^{1.2)} The bee	e criteria used in marking on the me en made clear in advance	odule have	Definitely agree	8 10 34.8% 43.5% 5 4	4 0 17.4% 0% 3 2	1 4.3%	Definitely disagree	n=23 av.=4.04 md=4 dev.=0.98 ab.=1
^{1.3)} I ha moc	ive been given adequate feedback dule	during the	Definitely agree	5 10 21.7% 43.5% 5 4	7 0 30.4% 0%	1 4.3%	Definitely disagree	n=23 av.=3.78 md=4 dev.=0.95 ab.=1
^{1.4)} I ha my s	ive received sufficient advice and s studies on the module	support with	Definitely agree	4 10 16.7% 41.7%	8 33.3% 4.2% 3 3 2	1 4.2%	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=0.97
^{1.5)} The	e module is well organised and run	s smoothly	Definitely agree	6 25% 37.5% 5 4	7 1 29.2% 4.2% 1 3 2	1 4.2%	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1.03
^{1.6)} I ha moc	Id access to good learning resourc dule	ces for the	Definitely agree	6 11 25% 45.8% 5 4	6 0 25% 0%	4.2% 1	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.95
con	e use of QMplus has made an appr tribution his module	ropriate	Definitely agree	8 9 33.3% 37.5% 5 4	6 0 25% 0% 3 2	1 4.2% 1	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.96 md=4 dev.=1
^{1.8)} Ove moc	erall I am satisfied with the quality dule	of the	Definitely agree		6 2 26.1% 8.7% 3 2	1 4.3%	Definitely disagree	n=23 av.=3.61 md=4 dev.=1.03 ab.=1

Communication Theory (ECS701U) No. of responses = 7 (70%)



Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of a Relative Frequencies of a Le		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=Me md=Me dev.=S	edian td. Dev. Istention
Description of quality	symbol Guality guideling	below the ne.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	Di Ma	ean value is within Jality guideline.	the
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well t	aught	Definitely agree	3 22 1 1 1 42.9% 28.6% 14.3% 14.3% 5 4 3 2	0	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.15
^{1.2)} The criteria used in been made clear in	marking on the module have advance	Definitely agree	2 3 2 0 28.6% 42.9% 28.6% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.82
^{1.3)} I have been given a module	dequate feedback during the	Definitely agree	2 2 3 0 28.6% 28.6% 42.9% 0% 5 4 3 2			n=7 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.4)} I have received suffi my studies on the m	cient advice and support with odule	Definitely agree	3 2 2 0 42.9% 28.6% 28.6% 0% 5 4 3 2	0% 0%	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.5)} The module is well o	organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	3 2 2 0 42.9% 28.6% 28.6% 0% 5 4 3 2	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.6)} I had access to good module	d learning resources for the	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus contribution to this module	has made an appropriate	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfie module	d with the quality of the	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0%	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.15

Data Mining (ECS766P) No. of responses = 26 (78.79%)



	gend stion text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lef		Mean Media 0% 50% 0% 4 3 2 Histogr	25 25% 	Right pole	av.= md= dev: ab.=	b. of respon Vean Median Std. Dev. Abstention	ISES
Desc	cription of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelin	below the e.	 Mean is within t tolerance for the guideline. 	the range of e quality	💽 M	ean value is withi Jality guideline.	n the	
1. F	Rate this module								
^{1.1)} T	he module is well taught		Definitely agree	17 8 65.4% 30.8%	1 0 3.8% 0%	0%	Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=4 md=5 dev.=	4.62
^{1.2)} T b	he criteria used in marking on the criteria used in marking on the en made clear in advance	ne module have	Definitely agree	18 8 69.2% 30.8%		0% 0%	Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=4 md={ dev.=	4.69
	have been given adequate feed nodule	back during the	Definitely agree		4 0 16% 0%	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=25 av.=4 md=5 dev.= ab.=1	4.48 5 =0.77
^{1.4)} n	have received sufficient advice a ny studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	17 65.4% 34.6% 5 4		0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=4 md=5 dev.=	4.65
^{1.5)} Τ	he module is well organised and	d runs smoothly	Definitely agree	18 69.2% 23.1% 5 4	2 7.7% 0% 3 2	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=4 md=5 dev.=	4.62 5
^{1.6)} I n	had access to good learning res nodule	sources for the	Definitely agree	17 8 65.4% 30.8%		0%	Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=4 md={ dev.=	4.62
С	he use of QMplus has made an ontribution other module	appropriate	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c} 16 & 9 \\ 61.5\% & 34.6\% \\ \hline 1 & 1 \\ 5 & 4 \end{array} $	1 0 3.8% 0% 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=4 md={ dev.=	4.58
^{1.8)} C n	Overall I am satisfied with the quantum of the set of t	ality of the	Definitely agree	17 8 65.4% 30.8%		0%	Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=4 md=5 dev.=	4.62

Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Queen Mary Design for Human Interaction (ECS712P) University of London No. of responses = 18 (81.82%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 10 3 16.7% 0 0 27.8% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=18 av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=0.68 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 Λ 38.9% 27.8% 22 . 2% 5.6% 5.6% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=18 Definitely agree av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=1.08 Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ٥ 5 6 8 0 1.3) 33.3% 44.4% 11.1% 11 .1% 0% n=18 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.97 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 8 Π 27.8% 44 22.2% 5 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=18 av.=3.94 md=4 dev.=0.87 Definitely agree Definitely disagree e my studies on the module Õ 5 2 10 0 0 16.7% 55.6% 27.8% 0 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=18 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=0.68 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Y н Õ 5 Δ 3 7 0 0 38.9% 27.8% 33.3% 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=18 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.06 md=4 dev.=0.8 : module 5 4 10 4 0 0 55.6% 22 22 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=18 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.69 contribution to this module 5 3 2 9 0 4 0 27.8% 22.2% 50% 0% 0% 1.8) n=18 av.=3.94 md=4 dev.=0.73 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ

Functional Programming (ECS713P) No. of responses = 13 (86.67%)



	Frequencies of answers Std. Dev. Frequencies of answers 25 Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av=Mean md=Median dev,=Std. Dev, ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	lean value is below the uality guideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	🊺 Mea	an value is within the lity guideline.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0%	Definitely disagree n=12 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.83 ab.=1
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the mode been made clear in advance 	Jle have Definitely agree	5 8 0 0 38.5% 61.5% 0% 0% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree n=13 av.=4.38 md=4 dev.=0.51
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback du module	uring the Definitely agree	3 8 2 0 23.1% 61.5% 15.4% 0% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree n=13 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.64
 ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and sup my studies on the module 	pport with Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 23.1\% \\ 38.5\% \\ 38.5\% \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 38.5\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ $	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=0.8
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs s	moothly Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=0.69
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources module	for the Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree n=13 av.=4,31 md=4 dev.=0.48
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an approp contribution to this module	riate Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree n=12 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.51 ab.=1
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of t module	he Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree n=13 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.64

Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Queen Mary Functional Programming (ECS713U) University of London No. of responses = 6(75%)Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0% 1 16.7% <u>66</u>.7 ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=6 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.84 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 0 0 3 33.3% 16.7% 50% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=6 av.=4.33 md=4.5 dev.=0.82 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 0 0 33.3% 1.3) 50% . 16.7% 0% 0% n=6 av.=4.17 md=4.5 dev.=0.98 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н • module 0 Π 66.7% 16.7% . 16.7% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=6 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.84 ц**Т** Definitely agree Definitely disagree . my studies on the module 5 3 1 2 0 0 50% 33.3% 16.7% 0 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=6 av.=4.17 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • dev.=0.75 5 Δ 3 4 66.7% 0 0 . 16.7% 16.7% 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=6 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.84 F • module 5 3 3 2 1 0 0 33.3% . 16.7% 50% 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=6 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.33 md=4.5 dev.=0.82 н • contribution to this module 5 3 2 0 0 0 5 16.7% 83.3% 0% 0% 0% 1.8) n=6 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.82 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module

5

Δ

3

2

Fundamentals of DSP (ECS707P) No. of responses = 12 (85.71%)





Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lefi		Mean Median 0 50 0% 0% 4 3 2 Histogran	25 25%	Right pole	av=n md=1 dev= ab.=/	, of responses lean Aedian Std. Dev, bbstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	below the e.	 Mean is within the tolerance for the guideline. 	e range of quality	I Ma	ean value is within ality guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module							
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree		5 0 1.7% 0% 4 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=3.92 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	4 7 33.3% 58.3% 8 5 4	1 0 .3% 0% 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.62
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	edback during the	Definitely agree		3 5% 0% 3 3 2	 1	Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.79
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree		2 0 3.7% 0% 3.2% 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=0.72
^{1.5)} The module is well organised a	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree		3 1 5% 8.3% 1 3 2		Definitely disagree	■ n=12 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.94
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning remodule	esources for the	Definitely agree		1 1 .3% 8.3% 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	an appropriate	Definitely agree		2 0 5.7% 0% 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=0.72
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the q module	uality of the	Definitely agree		3 2 5% 16.7% 3 2	0 	Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1.14

Information Systems (ECS718P) No. of responses = 20 (86.96%)



-	gend tion text	Absolute Frequencies o Relative Frequencies o		Mean 0 50% 50% 4 3	Median 0 2 0% 25 1 2 Histogram	5 5%	Right pole	av= md= dev. ab.=	o. of n Mean Media Std. Abste	Dev. ntion
Desc	ription of quality symbol	Mean value quality guide	is below the line.	Mean is v tolerance guideline.	for the qua	inge of llity		ean value is with aality guideline.	in the	9
1. R	ate this module									
^{1.1)} Tł	ne module is well taught		Definitely agree	40% 5	12 0 60% 0% 4 3	00%	0 0%	Definitely disagree		n=20 av.=4.4 md=4 dev.=0.5
^{1.2)} Tr be	ne criteria used in marking on the criteria used in marking on the sen made clear in advance	ne module have	Definitely agree	<u>9</u> 45%	4 3 40% 15%	2		Definitely disagree	•	n=20 av.=4.3 md=4 dev.=0.73
^{1.3)} m	ave been given adequate feed odule	back during the	Definitely agree		4 3 11 4 55% 20% 4 3	2 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0		Definitely disagree	•	n=20 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.69
 ^{1.4)} m	nave received sufficient advice a y studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	<u>5</u> 25%	11 4 55% 20%	2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree		n=20 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.69
— — — ^{1.5)} Th	ne module is well organised and	d runs smoothly	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	8 40% 5	12 0 60% 0%	2	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree	•	n=20 av.=4.4 md=4 dev.=0.5
^{1.6)} m	nad access to good learning res odule	sources for the	Definitely agree	25% 5	14 1 70% 5%	2	0%	Definitely disagree		n=20 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.52
CC	ne use of QMplus has made an ontribution this module	appropriate	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	40% 5	9 3 45% 15% 45% 4 3	2	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree		n=20 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.72
	verall I am satisfied with the qua odule	ality of the	Definitely agree	31.6% 5	10 3 52.6% 15.8%	2	0%	Definitely disagree		n=19 av.=4.16 md=4 dev.=0.69 ab.=1





IT Programming (ECS717P) No. of responses = 20 (86.96%)



Lege Question	Relativ	te Frequencies of answers ve Frequencies of answers Left pole Scale		0 50 0 50% 0 4 3	dian 0 25 % 25% 2 1 2 1 ogram	Right pole	av.=h md=1 dev.= ab.=/	b. of responses dean Vedian Std. Dev. Abstention ity index
Descript	ion of quality symbol	Mean value is below quality guideline.	v the 🚺	Mean is withir tolerance for t guideline.	n the range of the quality	P Me	ean value is withi ality guideline.	n the
1. Rate	this module							
^{1.1)} The r	nodule is well taught	D	efinitely agree	3 15% 30% 5 4	4 6 20% 30%	1 5%	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=3.2 md=3 dev.=1.2
^{1.2)} The c been	criteria used in marking on the mo made clear in advance	dule have _D	efinitely agree	7 4 35% 20%	7 1 35% 5%	1 5% 1	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1.16
^{1.3)} I have modu	e been given adequate feedback o Ile	during the _D	efinitely agree	3 15% 35% 5 4	6 4 30% 20%		Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=3.45 md=3.5 dev.=1
^{1.4)} I have my st	e received sufficient advice and su cudies on the module	upport with _D	efinitely agree	3 4 15% 20%	8 2 40% 10% 10%	3 15% 1	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=3.1 md=3 dev.=1.25
^{1.5)} The r	nodule is well organised and runs	smoothly _D	efinitely agree	2 10.5% 36.8% 5 4	3 2	4 21.1%	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=2.89 md=3 dev.=1.41 ab.=1
^{1.6)} I had modu	access to good learning resource lle	s for the _D	efinitely agree	4 20% 35% 5 4	6 2 30% 10%	1 5% 1	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=3.55 md=4 dev.=1.1
contri	use of QMplus has made an appro ibution s module	opriate _D	efinitely agree	5 25% 60% 5 4	2 0 10% 0% 3 2	1 5% 1	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.92
^{1.8)} Overa modu	all I am satisfied with the quality of le	f the D	efinitely agree	3 15% 35% 5 4	5 4 25% 20% 3 2	1 5% 1	Definitely disagree	n=20 av.=3.35 md=3.5 dev.=1.14

Machine Learning (ECS708P) No. of responses = 37 (84.09%)



Legend Question text	ties of answers ses of answers Left pole Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av=N md=N dev= ab.=A	of responses ean ledian Std. Dev. bstention y index
Description of quality symbol	lue is below the uideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	M D	ean value is withir uality guideline.	the
1. Rate this module					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	9 20 6 1 24.3% 54.1% 16.2% 2.7% 5 4 3 2	1 2.7% 1	Definitely disagree	■ n=37 av.=3.95 md=4 dev.=0.88
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the module hav been made clear in advance	/e Definitely agree	13 13 11 0 35.1% 35.1% 29.7% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=37 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.81
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback during the module	IC Definitely agree	12 15 9 1 32.4% 40.5% 24.3% 2.7% 5 4 3 2	0 	Definitely disagree	n=37 av.=4.03 md=4 dev.=0.83
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support w my studies on the module	ith Definitely agree	9 11 15 1 25% 30.6% 41.7% 2.8% 5 4 3 2 3 2 3 4 3 2 3 2 3 4 3 2 3 4 3 2 3 4 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	0 	Definitely disagree	n=36 av.=3.78 md=4 dev.=0.87 ab.=1
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smooth	ly Definitely agree	11 17 7 2 29.7% 45.9% 18.9% 5.4% 5 4 3 2	0 	Definitely disagree	n=37 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.85
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources for the module	Definitely agree	14 14 7 1 38.9% 38.9% 19.4% 2.8% 5 4 3 2	0 	Definitely disagree	n=36 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.83 ab.=1
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	14 16 7 0 37.8% 43.2% 18.9% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 	Definitely disagree	n=37 av.=4.19 md=4 dev.=0.74
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	9 18 8 1 24.3% 48.6% 21.6% 2.7% 5 4 3 2	1 2.7%	Definitely disagree	n=37 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=0.91


Machine Learning (ECS708U) No. of responses = 6 (100%)



Legend Question text	ties of answers sites of answers Left pole Scale	Mean Median 0 50% 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=f md=1 dev.= ab.=/	, of responses lean Aedian Std. Dev, bbstention
Description of quality symbol	lue is below the uideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	I Ma	ean value is withi ality guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0%	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=2.67 md=2.5 dev.=0.82
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	/e Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0%	Definitely disagree	■ n=6 av.=3.33 md=4 dev.=1.03
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback during the module	Definitely agree	0 0% 33.3% 33		Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0.89
 ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support w my studies on the module 	ith Definitely agree	0 2 1 2 0% 33.3% 16.7% 33.3% 5 4 3 2	1	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=2.67 md=2.5 dev.=1.21
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smooth	ly Definitely agree	0 4 2 0 0% 66.7% 33.3% 0% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	■ n=6 av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=0.52
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources for the module	Definitely agree	0 0 33.3% 50% 16.7% 16.7% 5 4 3 2 3 1 1 7% 16.7% 1	0% 0%	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=3.17 md=3 dev.=0.75
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	■ n=6 av.=3.17 md=3 dev.=0.75
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	0 1 2 2 0% 16.7% 33.3% 33.3% 5 4 3 2	1 16.7% 	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=2.5 md=2.5 dev.=1.05

Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Queen Mary Mobile and WLAN Technologies (ECS702P) University of London No. of responses = 20 (95.24%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 3 15% 0 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=20 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.79 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 q q 0 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=20 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=20 av.=4.3 md=4 dev.=0.8 • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 6 0 9 4 1.3) 30% 20% 0% 45% 5 n=20 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.86 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 2 0 10 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=20 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.97 Definitely agree Definitely disagree . my studies on the module 5 2 0 35% 5 0% 35% 25% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=20 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.92 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 Δ 3 2 0 n=19 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.91 ab.=1 36.8% 21 . 36.8% 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 5 8 8 3 1 0 1.7) 40% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=20 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=0.88 • contribution to this module 3 2 5 6 9 4 0 30% 45% 20% 5% 0% 1.8) n=20 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.86 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module

Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Queen Mary Mobile and WLAN Technologies (ECS702U) University of London No. of responses = 16 (76.19%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught 50% n=16 av.=4.13 md=4.5 dev.=1.02 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 12 0 0 .5% 12 12 .5% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=16 Definitely agree av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.72 Definitely disagree • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 10 3 0 0 1.3) 62.5% <u>18.8%</u> 18.8% 0% 0% n=16 av.=4.44 md=5 dev.=0.81 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree Ē • module 10 3 Π 62.5% 18.8% 6 12.5% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=16 av.=4.31 md=5 dev.=1.01 Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree F . my studies on the module 5 11 0 68.8% 6.3% 12.5% 12 0% .5% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=16 av.=4.31 md=5 dev.=1.14 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 3 5 Δ 2 10 Δ 0 62.5% 1.6) 25% 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=16 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.44 md=5 dev.=0.89 н : module 5 3 10 3 3 0 0 62.5% 18.8% 18.8% 0 1.7) n=16 av.=4.44 md=5 dev.=0.81 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree H • contribution to this module 5 3 2 10 0 62.5% 18.8% 6.3% 12 .5% 0% 1.8) n=16 av.=4.31 md=5 dev.=1.08 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • F module 2 5 3



Program Specifications (ECS715P) No. of responses = 17 (89.47%)



Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of a Relative Frequencies of a Le		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av=M md=M dev.=: ab.=A	of responses ean ledian Std. Dev. bstention
Description of quality symbo	Mean value is quality guidelir	below the ne.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	D M	ean value is withir uality guideline.	ı the
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	00%	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.99
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking been made clear in advance	g on the module have e	Definitely agree	5 10 1 0 29.4% 58.8% 5.9% 0% 5 4 3 2	1 5.9%	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=4.06 md=4 dev.=0.97
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate module	e feedback during the	Definitely agree	3 10 4 0 17.6% 58.8% 23.5% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=3.94 md=4 dev.=0.66
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient ac my studies on the module	dvice and support with	Definitely agree	23.5% 52.9% 23.5% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.71
^{1.5)} The module is well organise	ed and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.79
^{1.6)} I had access to good learnin module	ng resources for the	Definitely agree	5 6 5 $129.4% 35.3\% 29.4% 5.9\%5$ 4 3 2	0%	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.93
 ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has man contribution to this module 	de an appropriate	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	■ n=17 ■ av.=3.88 ■ md=4 dev.=0.78
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with th module	he quality of the	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	0% 0%	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.87

