

Electronic Engineering and Computer Science

Database Systems (ECS519U) No. of responses = 118 (786.67%)



	encies of answers Std. Dev. encies of answers 25 Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	value is below the guideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	🌒 Mean valu 🐱 quality gui	ie is within the deline.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	25 55 29 $521.4%$ $47%$ $24.8%$ $4.3%5$ 4 3 2	3 2.6% Definitel	y disagree n=117 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=0.91
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the module h been made clear in advance 	AVE Definitely agree	26 48 37 7 22% 40.7% 31.4% 5.9%	0 0%	y disagree n=118 av.=3.79 md=4 dev.=0.86
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback during module	the Definitely agree	20 41 43 11 	2 1.7%	y disagree n=117 av.=3.56 md=4 dev.=0.94
 ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support my studies on the module 	with Definitely agree	20 47 41 6 16.9% 39.8% 34.7% 5.1%	<u>4</u> <u>3.4%</u> Definitel	y disagree n=118 av.=3.62 md=4 dev.=0.94
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoo	thly Definitely agree	27 54 31 5 22.9% 45.8% 26.3% 4.2% 5 4 3 2		y disagree n=118 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.85
 ^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources for t module 	he Definitely agree	27 48 35 6 23.1% 41% 29.9% 5.1% 5 4 3 2		y disagree n=117 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=0.88
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	28 48 36 5 23.7% 40.7% 30.5% 4.2% 5 4 3 2		y disagree n=118 av.=3.82 md=4 dev.=0.87
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		y disagree en av=3.78 md=4 dev.=0.87

Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Queen Mary Electric and Magnetic Fields (ECS504U) No. of responses = 26 (14.05%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 34.6% 26.9% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=26 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=1.07 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 10 6 5 19.2% 1% 15.4% 38.5% 23 3.8% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=26 Definitely agree av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=1.28 Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ē 5 3 2 6 3 11.5% 8 23.1% 1.3) 26.9% 30.8% 7 7% n=26 av.=3.58 md=4 dev.=1.24 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ 8 Δ 23.1% 30.8% 3.8% 26.9% 15 . 4% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=26 av.=3.54 md=3.5 dev.=1.17 Definitely agree Definitely disagree . my studies on the module Õ 5 5 6 8 6 30.8% 19.2% 23.1% 23.1% 3.8% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=26 av.=3.31 md=3 dev.=1.16 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 3 11.5% 8 0 30.8% 23.1% 34.6% 1.6) 0% n=26 av.=3.77 md=4 dev.=1.27 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 5 11 6 5 2 2 42.3% 23. 19.2% 1% 7 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=26 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=26 av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=1.29 ۲ F contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 8 6 23.1% 6 2 7.7% 23 15.4% 30.8% .1% 1.8) n=26 av.=3.54 md=4 dev.=1.3 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ

2

Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Queen Mary Graphical User Interfaces (ECS522U) No. of responses = 105 (338.71%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 11 <u>10.5%</u> 32 30.5% 36 15 11 10.5% 34.3% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=105 av.=3.16 md=3 dev.=1.13 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 4 2 14 41 25 15 10 23.8% 14 3% 9.5 13.3% 1.2) n=105 av.=3.32 md=4 dev.=1.16 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ٥ 5 3 12 37 35 12 9 33.3% 1.3) 11.4% 35.2% 11.4% 8.6% n=105 av.=3.3 md=3 dev.=1.09 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module Õ 5 3 33 31.4% 39 7.1% 16 6 11 37 15.2% 5 10.5% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=105 av.=3.35 md=3 dev.=1.13 Definitely agree . 🕈 Definitely disagree my studies on the module ē 5 3 2 1 15 35 33 12 10 14.3% 33.3% 31.4% 11.4% 9.5% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=105 av.=3.31 md=3 dev.=1.15 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 3 5 4 2 1 36 36 13 9 10.5% 34.3% 34.3% 12.4% 8.6% 1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the n=105 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.26 md=3 dev.=1.08 module íĕì 5 3 15 39 34 9 8 32.4% 8.6% 14.3 37 7 1.7) n=105 av.=3.42 md=4 dev.=1.08 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 12 11.4% 29 27.6% 36 17 11 34.3% 16.2% 10.5% 1.8) n=105 av.=3.13 md=3 dev.=1.14 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module Õ

5

3

Electronic Engineering and Computer Science

Operating Systems (ECS518U) No. of responses = 99 (157.14%)



Legend Question text		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	alue is below the guideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	🔋 Mear 🛎 qualit	n value is within the y guideline.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	27 47 20 5 27.3% 47.5% 20.2% 5.1%	0 0% D	efinitely disagree av.=3.97 md=4 dev.=0.83
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the module ha been made clear in advance 	Ve Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0~D	efinitely disagree av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.78
 ^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback during t module 	he Definitely agree	22 49 22 4 22.2% 49.5% 22.2% 4%	2	efinitely disagree av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.88
 ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support v my studies on the module 	vith Definitely agree	22 44 29 3 22.2% 44.4% 29.3% 3% 5 4 3 2	1 1% De	efinitely disagree av.=3.84 md=4 dev.=0.84
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smooth	nly Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0% 1	efinitely disagree n=97 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.79
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources for th module	e Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 0%	efinitely disagree n=99 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=0.86
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0% 1	efinitely disagree n=99 av.=3.98 md=4 dev.=0.76
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	24 43 26 5 24.2% 43.4% 26.3% 5.1% 5 4 3 2	1 1% 1 1	efinitely disagree av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=0.88

Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Queen Mary Signals and Systems Theory (ECS515U) No. of responses = 32 (152.38%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 2 6.3% 10 5 15.6% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught 1.3% n=32 av.=3 md=3 dev.=1.16 Definitely agree Definitely disagree e Õ 5 3 2 8 14 Δ 43.8% 12 .5% 12 6 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=32 Definitely agree av.=3.25 md=3 dev.=1.05 Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ē 5 3 9 13 21.9% 1.3) 3.1% 28.1% 40.6% 6.3% n=32 av.=2.84 md=3 dev.=1.17 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module : 3 13 1.9% a 2 41 16.1% 9.7% 3.2% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=31 Definitely agree Definitely disagree . av.=3 md=3 dev.=7 my studies on the module ð 5 3 8 12 6 6.3% 37.5% 18.8% 12.5% 25% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=32 av.=2.94 md=3 dev.=1.11 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 8 3 5 Δ 12 37.5% 3 10 9.4% 31<u>.3%</u> 15.6% 6 1.6) 3% n=32 av.=3.22 md=3 dev.=1.04 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 3 5 14 9 2 2 43.8% 28.1% 6 6 15.6% 3% 3% 1.7) n=32 av.=3.56 md=4 dev.=1.05 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ contribution ē to this module 5 3 2 9 10 28.1% 31.3% 12.5% 12.5% 15.6% 1.8) n=32 av.=3.09 md=3 dev.=1.25 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ

5

3

Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Queen Mary Telecommunication Systems (ECS525U) No. of responses = 25 (56.82%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 12 4 16% 0 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught 32% n=25 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.81 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 8 8 24% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=25 Definitely agree av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=1.12 Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ۲ 3 2 5 9 8 6 1.3) 24% 4% 32% n=25 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=1.05 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ a 0 32 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=25 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.88 Definitely agree Ż. Definitely disagree . my studies on the module Õ 5 0 9 9 5 37.5% 37.5% 20.8% 4 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=24 av.=4.08 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • dev.=0.88 5 Δ 3 2 6 11 6 2 0 1.6) 24% 0% 24% n=25 av.=3.84 md=4 dev.=0.9 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 5 3 2 6 8 9 0 36% 1.7) n=25 av.=3.72 md=4 dev.=0.94 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 0 8 8 1 33.3% 33.3% 29.2% 4 0% 1.8) n=24 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.9 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree F module Õ