

# School of Engineering and Materials Science

Clinical Solutions in Biomedical Engineering and Materials (MAT4004)  
 No. of responses = 37 (69.81%)



## Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

### 1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module




School of Engineering and Materials Science

Engineering Mechanics: Dynamics (DEN4108)  
No. of responses = 98 (38.43%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Engineering and Materials Science

Engineering Mechanics: Statics (DEN4102)  
 No. of responses = 42 (16.15%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Engineering and Materials Science

Mathematics and Computing for Engineers 2 (DEN4123)  
 No. of responses = 56 (22.49%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Engineering and Materials Science


Mathematics for Materials Scientists (MAT115)

No. of responses = 18 (41.86%)



Legend

Question text




Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module




# School of Engineering and Materials Science

Molecules to Materials (MAT4001)  
No. of responses = 12 (63.16%)



## Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

### 1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module




School of Engineering and Materials Science

Student Centered Learning 1 (MAT106)  
 No. of responses = 26 (76.47%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



# School of Engineering and Materials Science

Studio Practice Year 1 (DEN126)  
No. of responses = 7 (43.75%)



## Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

### 1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly




1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module






School of Engineering and Materials Science

Thermodynamics I (DEN107)  
No. of responses = 65 (30.66%)



Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module


1.1) The module is well taught




1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance




1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module




1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module




1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

