



School of Engineering and Materials Science

Design For Manufacture (DEN5101) No. of responses = 66 (30.41%)




Legend Question text		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av=Mean md=Median dev=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	is below the peline.	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	M	lean value is within the uality guideline.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	7 27 15 15 10.9% 42.2% 23.4% 23.4% 5 4 3 2	0 0%	Definitely disagree av.=3.41 md=4 dev.=0.97
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	Definitely agree	12 16 10 23 19% 25.4% 15.9% 36.5% 5 4 3 2	2 3.2% 1	Definitely disagree n=63 av.=3.21 dev.=1.22
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback during the module	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 1.6% 1	Definitely disagree av=3.65 md=4 dev.=0.98
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 3.2%	Definitely disagree
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	10 23 20 9 15.9% 36.5% 31.7% 14.3% 5 4 3 2	1.6%	Definitely disagree end av.=3.51 md=4 dev.=0.98
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources for the module	Definitely agree	11 15 21 14 17.7% 24.2% 33.9% 22.6% 5 4 3 2	1.6%	Definitely disagree av.=3.34 md=3 dev.=1.07
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	18 21 13 10 29% 33.9% 21% 16.1% 5 4 3 2	0 0%	Definitely disagree av.=3.76 md=4 dev.=1.05
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	9 14.8% 29.5% 32.8% 19.7% 5 4 3 2 8 4 3 2 8 3 2 8 19.7%	2 3.3%	Definitely disagree av=3.33 md=3 dev.=1.06





School of Engineering and Materials Science Queen Mary Low Speed Aerodynamics (DEN233) University of London No. of responses = 55 (42.97%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 13 10 3 .1% 2 .1% 20.4% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught 26.5% n=49 av.=3.82 md=4 dev.=1.03 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 2 5 3 16 30.8% q 16 30.8% 13.5% 17.3% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=52 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.37 md=3 dev.=1.16 ۲ been made clear in advance ē 5 3 16 15 12 23.5% 29.4% 1.3) 9.8% 31.4% 5.9% n=51 av.=3.16 md=3 dev.=1.08 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module Õ 3 15 30.6% 6 17 34.7% 12.2% 14 3% 8.2% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=49 Definitely agree 1 🕇 Definitely disagree av.=3.29 md=3 dev.=1.12 . my studies on the module Õ 5 4 3 1 20 12 12 41.7% 25% 4 25% Δ 2% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=48 av.=3.79 md=4 dev.=1.01 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 Δ 3 16 18 5 3 32.7% 36.7% 10.2% 6 1.6) 14 1% I had access to good learning resources for the n=49 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.39 md=3 dev.=1.06 module ē 3 5 9 15 9 10 10.4% 18.8% 31 18.8% 20.8% 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=48 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=48 av.=2.79 md=3 dev.=1.27 contribution 0 to this module 5 3 19 0 8 16 6 32.7% 38.8% 12 .2% 16.3% 0% 1.8) n=49 av.=3.47 md=4 dev.=1.16 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ



School of Engineering and Materials Science

Medical Physiology (MAT5222) No. of responses = 11 (64.71%)



	Absolute Frequencies Relative Frequencies	s of answers Std. Dev. s of answers 25 Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of re av.=Meain md=Mediar dev.=Std. D ab.=Absten	n)ev.
De	scription of quality symbol		Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.) Me	Quality inde an value is within the ality guideline.	
1.	Rate this module					
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	00%	Delinitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.71
 1.2)	The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0		n=10 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=0.42
 1.3)	I have been given adequate feedback during the module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Delinitely disagree	n=10 av.=3.9 md=4 dev.=0.57
1.4)	I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module	h Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0		n=11 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=0.7
1.5)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0%		n=10 av.=3.9 md=4 dev.=0.88
1.6)	I had access to good learning resources for the module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 		n=10 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.63
1.7)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0~ 1		n=9 av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=0.78
— — 1.8)	Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	30% $50%$ $20%$ $0%5$ 4 3 2			n=10 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.74

School of Engineering and Materials Science

Solid Mechanics (DEN5102) No. of responses = 15 (7.08%)



Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of an Relative Frequencies of an Left		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av=M md=M dev.={ ab.=A	of responses edian td. Dev. ostention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is t quality guideline	pelow the e.	Mean is within the range o tolerance for the quality guideline.	f 📑 M	lean value is within uality guideline.	the
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	26.7% 33.3% 26.7% 13.3 5 4 3 2	0 <u>% 0%</u> 1		n=15 av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=1.03
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	6 5 2 1 42.9% 35.7% 14.3% 7.1%	0%	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.95
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fea module	edback during the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1		n=11 av.=3.64 md=3 dev.=0.81
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advic my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	3 21.4% 50% 21.4% 7.1% 5 5 4 3 2			n=14 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.86
^{1.5)} The module is well organised a	and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<u> </u>	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.07 md=4 dev.=1
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning r module	esources for the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 	Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.74
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	an appropriate	Definitely agree	21.4% 42.9% 21.4% 14.3 5 4 3 2	<u> </u>		■ n=14 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=0.99
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the omodule	quality of the	Definitely agree	2 7 2 1 16.7% 58.3% 16.7% 8.3% 5 4 3 2	0% 0%		n=12 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.83

School of Engineering and Materials Science Queen Mary Structural Characterisation (MAT400) University of London No. of responses = 18(45%)Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0 0% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught 40% n=15 av.=3.47 md=4 dev.=0.64 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 4 2 2 3 46.7% 13.3% 6.7% 13.3% 20% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=15 Definitely agree n=15 av.=3.2 md=3 dev.=1.08 Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ٥ 5 3 6 3 3 1.3) 6.7% 20% 40% 13.3% 20% n=15 av.=2.8 md=3 dev.=1.21 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module : 5 3 Π 5 .3% 8 2 31 6.3% 0% 50% 12 -5% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=16 av.=3.06 md=3 dev.=0.85 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module ð 5 3 2 1 0 9 0 0 0% 56.3% 43.8% 09 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=16 av.=3.56 md=4 dev.=0.51 Definitely agree Ì Definitely disagree Õ 5 Δ 3 0 9 5 2 0 31.3% 56.3% 1.6) 0% 0% n=16 av.=3.44 md=4 dev.=0.73 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree T. module íĕì 5 3 0 10 5 0 0 66.7 33.3% 0 1.7) n=15 av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=0.49 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree ı**≭** ۲ contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 5 0 7 50% 7 .1% 35.7% 0% 1.8) 1% n=14 av.=3.43 md=3 dev.=0.76 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ

3