
English and Drama Shakespeare (ESH101B) No. of responses = 2 (13.33%)							<u>k</u>			lary
Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of a Relative Frequencies of a Let		Mean 0 50 50% 4 3	Media 0 0% 2 Histogra	25 25% H		Right pole	av m de ab	No. of .=Mear d=Medi v.=Std. .=Abste	an Dev. ention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is e quality guidelir	below the ne.	Mean is tolerance guideline	e for the	ne rang quality	le of /	P Me	ean value is wit ality guideline.	hin th	e
1. Rate this module			-							
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	0 0% 5	2 100%	0 0%	0 0% 2	0 0%	Definitely disagree		n=2 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0
^{.2)} The criteria used in marking or been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree		1 50%	0% 0% 3	2	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree		n=2 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.7
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fer module	edback during the	Definitely agree	5		1 50% 1 3	2		Definitely disagree		n=2 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.47
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advic my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	5		1 50% 1 3	2		Definitely disagree		n=2 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.4
^{1.5)} The module is well organised a	and runs smoothly	Definitely agree		1 50%		2		Definitely disagree		n=2 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.71
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning r module	esources for the	Definitely agree	0%			2	 	Definitely disagree		n=2 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	an appropriate	Definitely agree	1 50%		 0% 0% 	2	 	Definitely disagree		n=2 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.71
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the o module	quality of the	Definitely agree	0 0%	- <u>2</u> 100%	3	2		Definitely disagree		n=2 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0

Literatures in Time: Texts and Contexts from the Eighth to the Sixteenth Century (ESH110)




Narrative (ESH123)

No. of responses = 168



Survey Results Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers 0% n=No. of responses 0% Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. Õ tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 27 16.7% 0 3 1.9% 31 5% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=162 av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=0.74 Definitely agree Definitely disagree : 5 Λ 3 2 62 37 23.4% 16 10.1% 43 0 27.2% 39.2% 0% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=158 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.84 md=4 dev.=0.94 been made clear in advance ĩ 5 4 3 2 62 52 38 9 0 38.5% 32 23.6% 3% 6% 0 1.3) n=161 av.=4.04 md=4 dev.=0.92 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 2 45 60 41 14 0 37.5% 25.6% 28.1% 8.8% 0% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=160 av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=0.93 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module Õ 5 4 3 2 44 79 33 0 27.5% 49.4% 20.6% 2 ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=160 av.=4.02 md=4 dev.=0.76 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 40 60 0 49 9 25.3% 31% 5 0% 1.6) 38% n=158 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.88 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ Δ 3 34 21.1% 60 5 3.1% 53 9 37.3% 32.9% 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=161 Definitely agree Definitely disagree × ۲ av.=3.68 md=4 dev.=0.97 contribution ē to this module 5 4 3 2 46 60 51 5 0 1% 28.4% 37 31.5% 3 0% 1.8) n=162 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=0.85 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ module Õ 5 3 2 12 7.6% 53 62 30 39. 0.6% 33.5% 19% ^{1.9)} The module was intellectually stimulating n=158 av.=3.97 md=4 dev.=0.94 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 5 Δ 3 2 1

				Indifat	ive (ESH12
.10)	The module made a significant contribution to my knowledge of the topic	Definitely agree	52 62 36 11 0 32.3% 38.5% 22.4% 6.8% 0% 5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=161 av.=3.96 md=4 dev.=0.9
.11)	My overall learning experience on this module was valuable	Definitely agree	43 66 43 8 2 26.5% 40.7% 26.5% 4.9% 1.2%	Definitely disagree	n=162 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.9
.12)	The lectures on this module were well prepared and delivered (answer only if applicable)	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Definitely disagree	n=161 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=0.9
.13)	The seminars on this module were well prepared and run	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Definitely disagree	n=159 av.=4.28 md=4 dev.=0.8
.14)	The seminar leader encouraged student participation	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=158 av.=4.44 md=5 dev.=0.7
.15)	I prepared adequately for the sessions on this module	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Definitely disagree	n=159 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=0.8
.16)	I actively contributed to the sessions on this module	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Definitely disagree	n=163 av.=3.9 md=4 dev.=1
	The aims and learning outcomes of the module were clearly explained	Definitely agree	37 71 44 11 $122.6% 43.3\% 26.8\% 6.7\% 0.6\%5 4 3 2 1$	Definitely disagree	n=164 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=0.8
18)	The amount of work required was manageable	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Definitely disagree	n=160 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=1
19)	Appropriate teaching and learning methods (eg workshops, seminars, tutorials etc) were used to deliver this module	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=158 av.=4.0 md=4 dev.=0.
20)	The reading list(s) provided for this module was/ were valuable	Definitely agree	58 64 29 8 0 36.5% 40.3% 18.2% 5% 0% 5 4 3 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=159 av.=4.0 md=4 dev.=0.1
21)	The lecture hall and/or seminar room provided was/were appropriate for delivery of this module	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Definitely disagree	n=155 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.
2.	Associate students: Study Abroad and Erasmus	3			



Profile

Compilation:

4


Narrative (ESH123)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Rate this module

				1		
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=162	av.=4.11 md=4.00 dev.=0.74
1.2)	The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=158	av.=3.84 md=4.00 dev.=0.94
1.3)	I have been given adequate feedback during the module	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=161	av.=4.04 md=4.00 dev.=0.92
1.4)	I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=160	av.=3.85 md=4.00 dev.=0.93
1.5)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=160	av.=4.02 md=4.00 dev.=0.76
1.6)	I had access to good learning resources for the module	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=158	av.=3.83 md=4.00 dev.=0.88
1.7)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=161	av.=3.68 md=4.00 dev.=0.97
1.8)	to this module Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=162	av.=3.91 md=4.00 dev.=0.85
1.9)	The module was intellectually stimulating	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=158	av.=3.97 md=4.00 dev.=0.94
1.10	The module made a significant contribution to my knowledge of the topic	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=161	av.=3.96 md=4.00 dev.=0.91
1.11)	My overall learning experience on this module was valuable	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=162	av.=3.86 md=4.00 dev.=0.91
1.12	The lectures on this module were well prepared and delivered (answer only if applicable)	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=161	av.=3.80 md=4.00 dev.=0.95
1.13	The seminars on this module were well prepared and run	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=159	av.=4.28 md=4.00 dev.=0.84
1.14	The seminar leader encouraged student participation	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=158	av.=4.44 md=5.00 dev.=0.76
1.15	I prepared adequately for the sessions on this module	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=159	av.=3.89 md=4.00 dev.=0.88
1.16	I actively contributed to the sessions on this module	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=163	av.=3.90 md=4.00 dev.=1.00
1.17	The aims and learning outcomes of the module were clearly explained	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=164	av.=3.80 md=4.00 dev.=0.89
1.18	The amount of work required was manageable	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=160	av.=3.81 md=4.00 dev.=1.00
1.19	Appropriate teaching and learning methods (eg workshops, seminars, tutorials etc) were used to deliver this module	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=158	av.=4.04 md=4.00 dev.=0.85
1.20	The reading list(s) provided for this module was/were valuable	Definitely agree	⊢	Definitely disagree	n=159	av.=4.08 md=4.00 dev.=0.86
1.21	The lecture hall and/or seminar room provided was/were appropriate for delivery of this module	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=155	av.=4.18 md=4.00 dev.=0.94

Reading, Theory and Interpretation: Approaches to the study of English literature (ESH102)



27.04.2016

Shakespeare (ESH101) Queen Mary No. of responses = 176 University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers 0 50 25 0 25 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 4 3 2 1 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality Mean value is below the quality guideline. Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality guideline. 1. Rate this module 93 64 0.6% 38.6% 0.6% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=166 av.=4.49 md=5 dev.=0.67 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Г • 61 24 14.4% 2 45.5% 36.5% 1.2% 1.2) .4% The criteria used in marking on the module have n=167 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.87 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • been made clear in advance 3 2 5 4 97 55 16 0.6% 56.7% 32.2% 9. 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=171 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.76 Н • module 3 2 5 77 65 20 6 45.6% 38.5% 11.8% 3.6% 0.6% 1.4) n=169 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.84 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree • н my studies on the module 5 Δ 3 95 55.6% 66 38.6% 2 0.6% 4 1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=171 av.=4.47 md=5 dev.=0.69 Definitely agree Н Definitely disagree : 5 4 3 2 63 60 35 38.4% 36.6% 21.3% 2.4% ^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources for the 1 2% n=164 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 5 4 3 2 55 33.5% 57 40 10 34.8% 24.4% 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=164 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.95 md=4 dev.=0.97 contribution ē to this module 5 3 2 4 1 90 57 14 Δ 2 8. 53.9% 1% 1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=167 av.=4.37 md=5 dev.=0.83 Definitely agree Definitely disagree H • module

3

2

1

Page 1