Alternative Dispute Resolution (QLLM006) No. of responses = 14 (100%)



Legend Absolute Frequencies Relative Frequencies	of answers Std. Dev. of answers 25 Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	e is below the file leline.	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	🏮 Mean va 🔄 quality g	Quality index alue is within the guideline.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Defini	itely disagree n=14 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.47
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance 	Definitely agree	12 2 0 0 85.7% 14.3% 0% 0% 5 4 3 2	0%	tely disagree mtely d
 ^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback during the module 	Definitely agree	12 2 0 0 85.7% 14.3% 0% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% Defini	tely disagree n=14 av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.36
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0Defini	n=14 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.47
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0Defini	n=14 av.=4.64 md=5 dev.=0.5
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources for the module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Defini	n=14 av.=4.79 md=5 dev.=0.43
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0% 1	n=14 av.=4.79 md=5 dev.=0.43
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Defini	n=14 av.=4.79 md=5 dev.=0.43

Centre for Commercial La	aw Studies			٢	Queen l	Mary
Banking Law (QLLM007) No. of responses = 28 (84.85%)				0.00	University of Lond	on y
Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lef	nswers Std. Dev. nswers 25 25% it pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. c av=Me dev=St ab.=Ab:	dian d. Dev. stention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelin	below the le.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	Mean Quali	n value is within t ity guideline.	the
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree		1 3.7% 1	efinitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.07 md=5 dev.=1.24
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	20 7 0 0 74.1% 25.9% 0% 0% 1 1 1 1 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.74 md=5 dev.=0.45
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fee module	edback during the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7.4%	Definitely disagree	
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=0.99
^{1.5)} The module is well organised a	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	15 4 3 3 55.6% 14.8% 11.1% 11.1% 1 1 1 1 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4 md=5 dev.=1.36
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.37 md=5 dev.=1.01
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	n appropriate	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		efinitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.55
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the q module	uality of the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 8% 1	efinitely disagree	n=25 av.=4.04 md=4 dev.=1.27

Centre for Commercial Law Studies, Banking Law, QLLM007

Climate Change and Law (QLLM096) No. of responses = 12 (100%)



Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lef		0 50 0% 50% 0 4 3	edian 0 25 % 25% 25% 2 1 2 1 ogram	Right pole	av.=1 mdd= dev: ab.=,	b. of responses Vecian Std. Dev. Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	below the e.	 Mean is within tolerance for suideline. 	n the range of the quality	F I M	ean value is withi uality guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module							
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree		0 0 0% 0%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.52
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance 	the module have	Definitely agree	5 41.7% 58.3% 5 4 5 4		0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=4.42 md=4 dev.=0.51
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fea module	edback during the	Definitely agree	5 4 5 4	1 0 8.3% 0%	0% 0%	Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.65
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advic my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	5 5 41.7% 5 41.7%	2 0 6 16.7% 0% 	0 	Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.75
^{1.5)} The module is well organised a	ind runs smoothly	Definitely agree	7 63.6% 9.1%	3 0 27.3% 0% 	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=4.36 md=5 dev.=0.92
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning r module	esources for the	Definitely agree	9 75% 25% 1 5 4	0 0 0% 0% 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.45
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	an appropriate	Definitely agree	8 66.7% 33.3% 5 4		0 	Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.49
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the o module	quality of the	Definitely agree		3 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=12 av.=4.42 md=4.5 dev.=0.67


Centre for	Commercial	Law Studi	es Company	law	QLI M011
	Commercial	Law Olaa	co, company	Luw,	GLEINIOTT

Centre for Commercial Law Studies Queen Mary University of London Company Law (QLLM011) No. of responses = 29 (55.77%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev. Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n 50 n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 12 1.4% 1 3.4% 48.3% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=29 av.=4.28 md=4 dev.=0.96 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 15 q 3 0 51 10.3% 6.9% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=29 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=29 av.=4.28 md=5 dev.=0.92 P • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 12 10 0 5 34.5% 1.3) 41.4% 17.2% 6.9% 0% n=29 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.94 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 5 Δ 12 41.4% 10 34 17.2% 3 3.4% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=29 av.=4.07 md=4 dev.=1.03 Definitely agree Definitely disagree . my studies on the module 5 2 12 41.4% 13 0 44.8% 6.9% 0% 6.9% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=29 av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=1.07 Definitely agree Definitely disagree н • 3 5 Δ 2 1 12 41.4% 0 3 0 10.3% 1.6) 48.3% 0% n=29 av.=4.38 md=4 dev.=0.68 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree -: module 5 3 11 2 0 16 0 55.2% 37.9% 6.9% 0 0 1.7) n=29 av.=4.48 md=5 dev.=0.63 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree • contribution to this module 5 3 2 9 14 0 13.8% 6.9% 48.3% 31% 0% 1.8) n=29 av.=4.21 md=4 dev.=0.94 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н • module 5 3 2

Comparative Criminal Justice (QLLM312) No. of responses = 11 (91.67%)



	Absolute Frequencies of answers. Relative Frequencies of answers. Left pole Scale		Median 00 25 0% 25% 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=Mea md=Med dev.=Std ab.=Abst	ian . Dev. ention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is below quality guideline.	r the 💽 Mean i Toleran guideli	s within the range ce for the quality ne.	of 🚺 Me	Quality ir an value is within th ality guideline.	
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	De	efinitely agree	9.1% 18.2% 0	0 0 % 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=0.82
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance	e module have De	ofinitely agree	<u>9.1% 18.2% 0</u>	0 1 % 9.1% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=4.18 md=5 dev.=1.33
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedba module	ack during the De	efinitely agree		0 1 % <u>9.1%</u> 2 1	Definitely disagree	
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice ar my studies on the module	nd support with De	efinitely agree	<u> </u>	2 1	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=4.27 md=5 dev.=1.35
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and	runs smoothly _{De}	efinitely agree	5 27.3% 9.1% 0	0 1 <u>9.1%</u> 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=4.18 md=5 dev.=1.25
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning reso module	urces for the De	efinitely agree		0 0% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=0.52
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an a contribution to this module	ppropriate _{De}	efinitely agree		0 1 <u>9 9.1%</u> 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=11 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=1.22
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the qual module	ity of the De	efinitely agree		1 0 1% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=11 av:=4.27 md=5 dev.=1.01



	ntre for Commercial Law nputer Law (QLLM017) f responses = 7 (70%)	w Studies			<u>k</u>	Queen University of Lo	Mary
-	Jend ion text	Absolute Frequencies of a Relative Frequencies of a Le		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.= md= dev: ab.=	o. of responses Mean Median =Std. Dev. Abstention
Desci	ription of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelir	below the ne.	 Mean is within the range tolerance for the quality guideline. 	of 🚺 Mea	in value is withi lity guideline.	n the
1. R a	ate this module						
^{1.1)} Th	e module is well taught		Definitely agree		2 0 .6% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=3.14 dev.=0.9
^{1.2)} Th be	e criteria used in marking on t en made clear in advance	he module have	Definitely agree		2 1	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=3.29 md=3 dev.=1.3
^{1.3)} Ih mo	ave been given adequate feed odule	lback during the	Definitely agree	0% 14.3% 14.3% 42 5 4 3	2 1	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=2.14 md=2 dev.=1.0
^{1.4)} Ih my	ave received sufficient advice y studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree		2 1	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=2.5 md=2.5 dev.=0.55
^{1.5)} Th	e module is well organised an	d runs smoothly	Definitely agree		2 2 3% 33.3% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=2.17 md=2 dev.=1.17
	ad access to good learning read	sources for the	Definitely agree	0 3 16.7% 16 5 4 3		Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=3 md=3.5 dev.=1.26
CO	e use of QMplus has made an ntribution this module	appropriate	Definitely agree		2 1	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=0.52
	verall I am satisfied with the qu odule	ality of the	Definitely agree		$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=2.71 md=3 dev.=1.11

Centre for Commercial Law Studies Queen Mary Corporate Governance (QLLM021) No. of responses = 33 (89.19%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n 50 n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 25 78.1% 6 0 0 1 .1% 0% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=32 av.=4.72 md=5 dev.=0.63 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 2 3 10 1.3% 11 3 18.8% 6 3% 9.4% 34.4% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=32 Definitely agree av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1.27 Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ē 5 3 2 10 11 10 30.3% 1.3) 33.3% 30.3% 3% n=33 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=1.02 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ 5 3 14 2.4% 13 Δ Π 39.4% 42 12 1% 6 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=33 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=0.87 Definitely agree ١Ý Definitely disagree . my studies on the module 5 4 3 2 15 14 0 46.9% 43.8% 6.3% 3 0% 1% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=32 av.=4.34 md=4 dev.=0.75 Definitely agree t Definitely disagree -• 3 5 Δ 2 19 10 2 0 31.3% 59.4% 1.6) 0% n=32 av.=4.44 md=5 dev.=0.84 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree **P** Definitely disagree • module 5 3 11 12 4 2 2 38.7% 35 . 12.9% 6.5% 6.5% 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=31 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.94 md=4 dev.=1.18 H contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 18 10 2 6.5% 0 32.3% 58.1% 3.2% 0% 1.8) n=31 av.=4.42 md=5 dev.=0.85 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Ц Definitely disagree • module

5

2

Centre for Commercial Law Studies Queen Mary Corporate Insolvency Law (QLLM114) No. of responses = 39 (82.98%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n 50 n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 20 51.3% 19 0 0 0 0% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=39 av.=4.51 md=5 dev.=0.51 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 16 0 15 6 15.4% 38.5% 5 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=39 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=39 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.86 • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 0 15 14 8 35.9% 20.5% 1.3) 38.5% 5 1% 0% n=39 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.9 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 5 20 51.3% 14 35.9% 2 2.6% 7 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=39 av.=4.31 md=5 dev.=0.92 Ļ Definitely agree Definitely disagree . my studies on the module 5 3 2 1 18 17 0 0 3 47.4% 44.7% 7.9% 09 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=38 av.=4.39 md=4 ¥, Definitely agree Definitely disagree • dev.=0.64 3 5 Δ 2 28 73.7% 9 0 0 23.7% 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=38 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 1 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.52 : module 5 0 30 8 1 0 76.9% 20.5% 2.6% 0 0 1.7) n=39 av.=4.74 md=5 dev.=0.5 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree آر Definitely disagree • contribution to this module 5 3 2 12 30.8% 0 0 23 4 10.3% 59% 0% 0% 1.8) n=39 av.=4.49 md=5 dev.=0.68 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree H Definitely disagree • module

5

3

Cyberspace Law (QLLM105) No. of responses = 8 (88.89%)



Legend Question text		Frequencies of answers Std. Dev. requencies of answers 25 Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Mediar 0 50% 0% 4 3 2 Histogra	25 25% 1 1	Right pole	av= md= dev: ab.=	o. of responses Meaian Std. Dev. Abstention
Description of q	uality symbol	ean value is below the ality guideline.	 Mean is within th tolerance for the guideline. 	e range of quality	P M	lean value is withi uality guideline.	in the
1. Rate this mo	odule						
^{1.1)} The module is	well taught	Definitely agree		0 0 0% 0% 3 2	00%	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.46
^{1.2)} The criteria us been made cle	ed in marking on the modu ear in advance	le have Definitely agree	6 2 75% 25%			Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.46
^{1.3)} I have been gi module	ven adequate feedback du	ring the Definitely agree	7 1 	$ \frac{3}{0} - \frac{2}{0} - \frac$		Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.88 md=5 dev.=0.35
^{1.4)} I have receive my studies on	d sufficient advice and sup the module	Dort with Definitely agree	<u>80</u> 0%%	$ \frac{3}{0} - \frac{2}{0} - \frac$		Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
^{1.5)} The module is	well organised and runs sr	noothly Definitely agree	7 1 87.5% 12.5%	$\frac{1}{0} - \frac{1}{0} - \frac{1}$	 	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.88 md=5 dev.=0.35
^{1.6)} I had access t module	o good learning resources	for the Definitely agree	7 1 87.5% 12.5%		 	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.88 md=5 dev.=0.35
^{1.7)} The use of QN contribution to this module	/plus has made an appropr	iate Definitely agree	62 75%25%	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & - & - \\ 0 & - & 0 & - \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & - \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & - \\ 3 & 0 & - & - \\ 3 & 2 & - & - & - \\ 3 & 2 & - & - & - & - \\ 3 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - \\ 3 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\ $	- <u>0</u>	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.46
^{1.8)} Overall I am s module	atisfied with the quality of th	Definitely agree	<u>80</u> <u>100%0%</u>	$ \begin{array}{c} $	- <u>0</u>	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0

e-Commerce Law (QLLM025) No. of responses = 22 (91.67%)



Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of al Relative Frequencies of al Lef		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelin	below the e.	 Mean is within the range tolerance for the quality guideline. 	e of 💽 Me	an value is within the lity guideline.
1. Rate this module					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	5 4 3		Definitely disagree n=20 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.61
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking been made clear in advance	on the module have	Definitely agree		<u>0</u>	Definitely disagree n=21 av.=4.48 md=5 dev.=0.81
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate module	feedback during the	Definitely agree	$\frac{1}{13}$ $\frac{1}{7}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ $$	<u>0</u>	Definitely disagree av=4.57 md=5 dev=0.6
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient ad my studies on the module	vice and support with	Definitely agree		0 0	Definitely disagree av.=4.52 md=5 dev.=0.68
^{1.5)} The module is well organise	d and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0 0% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree av-4.35 md=4.5 dev.=0.75
^{1.6)} I had access to good learnin module	g resources for the	Definitely agree		0 0 0% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree n=21 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.6
 ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has mac contribution to this module 	le an appropriate	Definitely agree		0 0 0% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree n=21 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.56
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with th module	e quality of the	Definitely agree		2 1	Definitely disagree av.=4.59 md=5 dev.=0.73

Energy Economics (QLLM166) No. of responses = 13 (100%)



	Frequencies of answers Frequencies of answers Left pole Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	fean value is below the	Mean is within the range of	Mean val	Quality index
	lean value is below the uality guideline.	 tolerance for the quality guideline. 	🛃 quality gu	ideline.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 7 & 2 & 2 \\ 8.3\% & 58.3\% & 16.7\% & 16.7\% \\ \hline \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$		ely disagree ely disagree ely disagree av.=3.58 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the mod been made clear in advance	ule have Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 5 & 6 & 2 & 0 \\ 38.5\% & 46.2\% & 15.4\% & 0\% \\ \hline & & & & & \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$	0 0% Definite	ely disagree av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.73
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback d module	uring the Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 & 6 & 4 & 1 \\ 15.4\% & 46.2\% & 30.8\% & 7.7\% \\ \hline 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array} $		n=13 av.=3.69 md=4 dev.=0.85
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and summy studies on the module	oport with Definitely agree	3 6 3 $123.1% 46.2\% 23.1% 7.7\%5 4 3 2$	0 0% Definite	ely disagree av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs s	smoothly Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		ely disagree av.=3.36 md=4 dev.=1.43
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources module	for the Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 7.7% Definite	ely disagree av.=4.31 md=5 dev.=1.18
 ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an appropropropropropropropropropropropropro	Driate Definitely agree	7 4 1 $05 3.8\% 30.8\% 7.7\% 0\%5 4 3 2$	1 7.7% Definite	ely disagree av.=4.23 md=5 dev.=1.17
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of module	the Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 2 & 5 & 3 & 2 \\ 15.4\% & 38.5\% & 23.1\% & 15.4\% \\ \hline 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$		n=13 av.=3.38 md=4 dev.=1.19

Centre for Commercial Law Studies Queen Mary Ethics in Business and Finance (QLLM136) University of London No. of responses = 16 (94.12%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0 0 0% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=16 av.=4.44 md=4 dev.=0.51 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 3 2 5 4 3 0 0 8 53 26 7% 3% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=15 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=15 av.=4.07 md=4 dev.=0.7 • been made clear in advance 2 5 0 5 33.3% 26.7% 1.3) 26.7% 13 .3% 0% n=15 av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=1.03 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ Δ a 2 Π 56.3% 6.3% 25% 12 . 5% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=16 av.=3.94 md=4 dev.=0.93 Definitely agree Definitely disagree . my studies on the module ð 5 3 2 0 6 2 26.7% 20% 13 .3% 0% 40% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=15 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=1.01 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 Δ 3 2 9 2 0 0 56.3% 31 1.6) .3% 0% n=16 av.=4.19 md=4 dev.=0.66 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 5 3 3 0 6 6 0 0 1.7) n=15 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.77 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree 11 • contribution to this module 3 2 0 6 0 50% 7 42.9% .1% 0% 0% 1.8) n=14 av.=4.36 md=4 dev.=0.63 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree t Definitely disagree • module 3 2

Centre for Commercial Lav EU Financial Law (QLLM028) No. of responses = 8 (88.89%)	w Studies				<u>k</u>	Queen University of Lo	M	lary
Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies o Relative Frequencies o		Mean Median 0 50 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 4 4 3 2 Histogram	25 25% 1	Right pole	av.=1 md=i dev: ab.=,	o. of re <mark>Vean</mark> Media Std. I Abster	Dev. htion
Description of quality symbol	Mean value i el quality guide	s below the line.	 Mean is within the tolerance for the q guideline. 	range of uality	🌒 Mear Sequali	n value is withi ty guideline.	n the	9
1. Rate this module								
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree			0 0% 	efinitely disagree		n=8 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.53
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on t been made clear in advance	he module have	Definitely agree			0%	efinitely disagree		n=8 av.=4.38 md=4.5 dev.=0.74
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feed module	dback during the	Definitely agree		3 0 5% 0%	0%D	efinitely disagree		n=8 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.93
 ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module 	and support with	Definitely agree				efinitely disagree		n=8 av.=4.38 md=4.5 dev.=0.74
^{1.5)} The module is well organised an	d runs smoothly	Definitely agree		1 0 3% 0%	0% 0% 1	efinitely disagree		n=7 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.79
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning re module	sources for the	Definitely agree	62.5% 37.5% 0 62.5% 37.5% 0 5 4 3		0% 0% 1	efinitely disagree		n=8 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.52
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made ar contribution to this module	appropriate	Definitely agree			0%D	efinitely disagree		n=8 av.=4.38 md=4.5 dev.=0.74
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the qu module	uality of the	Definitely agree		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		efinitely disagree		n=8 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.53

Centre for Commercial Law Studies, EU Financial Law, QLLM028

5

4

2

1

Centre for Commercial Law Studies Queen Mary European Competition Law (QLLM124) University of London No. of responses = 19(100%)Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n 50 n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 12 63.2% 0 0 0 0% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=19 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.5 Definitely agree H Definitely disagree • 5 2 3 11 0 0 <u>57.9%</u> 36.8% 5 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=19 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.53 md=5 dev.=0.61 H. • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 5 0 8 5 26.3% 1.3) 26.3% 42 .1% 5 3% 0% n=19 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=0.88 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree Ŀ module Õ 6 1.6% 0 0 Π 31 21 47.4% 1% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=19 av.=4.26 md=4 dev.=0.81 Definitely agree 11 Definitely disagree . my studies on the module 5 1 15 0 0 78.9% 15.8% 5.3% 09 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=19 av.=4.74 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • dev.=0.56 3 5 Δ 2 5 10 0 0 26.3% 52.6% 2 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=19 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.71 : module 5 8 8 2 1 0 42.1% 42.1% 10.5% 5 0 1.7) n=19 av.=4.21 md=4 dev.=0.85 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree × • contribution to this module 5 3 2 12 0 0 0 36.8% 63.2% 0% 0% 0% 1.8) n=19 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.5 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree I Definitely disagree • module

5

2

European Human Rights Law (QLLM129) No. of responses = 9 (81.82%)



	solute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev. lative Frequencies of answers 25 Left pole 5 Scale	0 50 0 25	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
				Quality index
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is below the quality guideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	Mean val	ue is within the ideline.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agre	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 33.3\% \\ 66.7\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ $	0 0% Definite	ly disagree av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.5
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the m been made clear in advance	nodule have Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 44.4 \\ 33.3 \\ 33.3 \\ 22.2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 5 \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $	0 0% Definite	ly disagree n=9 av.=4.22 md=4 dev.=0.83
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedbac module	k during the Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definite	ly disagree av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=0.6
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and my studies on the module	support with Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 4 & 5 & 0 & 0 \\ 44.4\% & 55.6\% & 0\% & 0\% \\ \hline 44.4\% & 55.6\% & 0\% & 0\% \\ \hline 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$	0 0% Definite	ly disagree n=9 av.=4.44 md=4 dev.=0.53
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and run	ns smoothly Definitely agre	$\begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 55.6\% \\ 44.4\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ $	0 0% Definite	ly disagree n=9 av.=4.56 md=5 dev.=0.53
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resour module	ces for the Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definite	n=9 av.=4.78 md=5 dev.=0.44
 ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an app contribution to this module 	propriate Definitely agree	Pe	0%Definite	n=9 av.=4.78 md=5 dev.=0.44
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality module	of the Definitely agree	e 5 4 3 2	0%	n=9 av.=4.44 md=4 dev.=0.53

European Union Tax Law (QLLM122) No. of responses = 7 (58.33%)



	encies of answers Std. Dev. encies of answers 25 Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole n=No. of respons av.=Mean md=Median dev=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention	nses
Description of quality symbol		Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	Mean value is within the quality guideline.	
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	0 0% 42.9% 0% 42.9% 0% 42.9% 5 4 3 2	14.3% Definitely disagree md=2 dev.=	
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the module h been made clear in advance	ave Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definitely disagree av.=3 md=2 dev.=	
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback during module	the Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Definitely disagree merce max = 2 md=3	
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support my studies on the module	with Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definitely disagree md=2 dev= 1	3
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoo	thly Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 16.7% Definitely disagree av =2 md=2 dev =	2.5
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources for t module	he Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 16.7% Definitely disagree md=3 dev.=	2.67
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Definitely disagree n=7 av.=2 md=2	
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 14.3% Definitely disagree $n=7$ av=2 md=2 dev=	

Centre for Commercial Law Studies Queen Mary Financial Management (ECCL021) No. of responses = 14 (66.67%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module ^{1.1)} The module is well taught 35.7% n=14 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=0.94 Definitely agree Definitely disagree e Õ 5 3 38.5% 38.5% 7 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=13 Definitely agree av.=3.31 md=3 dev.=1.03 Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ٥ 5 3 2 5 6 0 1.3) 35.7% 42.9% 0% 14.3% 7.1% n=14 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=1.29 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module Õ 21 35.7% 28.6% 7 4% 7 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=14 av.=3.57 md=4 dev.=1.16 Definitely agree Definitely disagree . my studies on the module Õ -5 7 50% 28.6% 7.1% 7.1% .1% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=14 av.=3.43 md=4 dev.=1.02 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 Δ 3 2 1 2 5 3 2 38.5% 23.1% 15.4% 15.4% 7.7% 1.6) n=13 av.=3.38 md=4 dev.=1.19 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 3 5 2 3 21.4% 35. 14.3% 7. 21.4% 1% 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=14 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.29 md=4 dev.=1.49 ۲ contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 5 35.7% 5 14.3% 35.7% 7.1% 7.1% 1.8) n=14 av.=3.21 md=3 dev.=1.05 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ н module Õ

Global Intellectual Property Law (QLLM149) No. of responses = 14 (93.33%)





Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lef		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Meaia md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelin	below the le.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	Mean valu 🖬 Mean valu	e is within the deline.
1. Rate this module					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definitely	disagree n=14 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.77
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking o been made clear in advance	n the module have	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definitely	disagree n=14 av.=4.21 md=4 dev.=0.89
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fe module	eedback during the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definitely	disagree n=14 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=0.83
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advi my studies on the module	ce and support with	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0% Definitely	disagree n=14 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.77
^{1.5)} The module is well organised	and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 7.1% Definitely	disagree n=14 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0.96
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning module	resources for the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	disagree n=14 av.=4.07 md=4 dev.=0.62
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made contribution to this module	an appropriate	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definitely	disagree n=14 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.51
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the module	quality of the	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definitely	disagree n=14 av.=3.57 md=4 dev.=1.09


Centre for Commercial Insurance Law (QLLM137) No. of responses = 9 (39.13%)	Law Studies			<u>h</u>	Queen	Mary
Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies o Relative Frequencies o		Mean Median 0 50 0% 50% 0% 25 0% 25 0% 25 0% 25 1 4 3 2 1 Histogram	5 % Right pole	av.=N md=h dev.= ab.=/	. of responses lean Aedian Std. Dev. Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value quality guide	is below the eline.	 Mean is within the rail tolerance for the qual guideline. 	nge of 🚺 Me lity 🙀 qua	an value is withii ality guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	37.5% $50%$ $0%5 4 3$	1 0 12.5% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.99
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking of been made clear in advance	on the module have	Definitely agree	37.5% 25% 25% 5 4 3	1 0 12.5% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree	 n=8 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=1.13
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate f module	feedback during the	Definitely agree	5 4 3	1 0 12.5% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1.04
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient adv my studies on the module	rice and support with	Definitely agree	2 22.2% 66.7% 0% 5 4 3	1 0 11.1% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.87
^{1.5)} The module is well organised	l and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	1 6 1 12.5% 75% 12.5% 5 4 3	2 1	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.53
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning module	g resources for the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 1	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.5
 ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made contribution to this module 	e an appropriate	Definitely agree	5 55.6% 33.3% 0% 5 5 4 3	1 0 11.1% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.33 md=5 dev.=1
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the module	e quality of the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.71

Centre for Commercial Law Studies, Insurance Law, QLLM137

Intellectual Property (QLLM040) No. of responses = 30 (85.71%) Queen Mary







Intellectual Property in Business (QLLM145) No. of responses = 28 (66.67%)



	Absolute Frequencie Relative Frequencie	es of answers Std. Dev. 25 25 Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
De	scription of quality symbol	ue is below the ideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	Ma Contractions (1990) Marcella (1990) Marcell	Quality index ean value is within the ality guideline.
1.	Rate this module				
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$e \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0%	Definitely disagree n=28 av.=4.93 md=5 dev.=0.26
1.2)	The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	Definitely agree	16 9 3 0 57.1% 32.1% 10.7% 0%	- <u>0</u> 0% 1	Definitely disagree n=28 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.69
1.3)	I have been given adequate feedback during the module	Definitely agree	$e \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	Definitely disagree av=3.96 md=4.5 dev.=1.32
1.4)	I have received sufficient advice and support wi my studies on the module	th Definitely agree	$e \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.64
1.5)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	24 2 1 0 88.9% 7.4% 3.7% 0%	1	Definitely disagree n=27 av.=4.85 md=5 dev.=0.46
1.6)	I had access to good learning resources for the module	Definitely agree	16	1 3.7%	Definitely disagree n=27 av.=4.44 md=5 dev.=0.89
1.7)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	20601 74.1% 22.2% 0% 3.7%		Definitely disagree n=27 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.68
1.8)	Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	$e \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree av.=4.81 md=5 dev.=0.4

Intellectual Property Taxation (QLLM162) No. of responses = 7 (100%)





Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of answers Relative Frequencies of answers Left pole Scale	25 0 25% 0% 5 4	Median 50 0 25 0% 25% 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=Me: md=Me dev.=St ab.=Abs	dian d. Dev.
					Quality	index
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is below guideline.	v the I Mean tolera guide	is within the rang nce for the quality line.	je of ∎ Mo ∕ ≌ qu	ean value is within t ality guideline.	he
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	D	efinitely agree	14.3% 28.6%	0 0% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.29 md=5 dev.=0.95
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance	e module have D	efinitely agree	42.9% 28.6%		Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.82
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedb module	ack during the D	efinitely agree	6 1 85.7% 14.3%		Definitely disagree	
 ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice a my studies on the module 	nd support with	efinitely agree			Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and	runs smoothly _{Di}	efinitely agree	5 1 % 71.4% 14.3%		Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.58
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning reso module	purces for the D	efinitely agree	5 1 % 71.4% 14.3%		Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.58
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an a contribution to this module	appropriate _{Di}	efinitely agree	28.6% 42.9%	1 0 14.3% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree	uv. 0.40
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the qua module	lity of the D	efinitely agree	4 3	0 0 0% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=7 av.=4.43 md=4 dev.=0.53


Interactive Entertainment Law (QLLM157) No. of responses = 11 (100%)



Legend Absolute Frequencies of a Relative Frequencies of a Le		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol quality guideling	below the le.	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	Mean va	alue is within the guideline.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	9 2 0 0 81.8% 18.2% 0% 0%		tely disagree n=11 av.=4.82 md=5 dev.=0.4
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance 	Definitely agree	$5 4 3 2 \\ 10 1 0 0 \\ 90.9\% 9.1\% 0\% 0\% \\ 5 4 3 2$	1 0% 0% Defini	tely disagree n=11 av.=4.91 md=5 dev.=0.3
 ^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback during the module 	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	0 0%	tely disagree n=11 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	0%	tely disagree n=11 av.=4.91 md=5 dev.=0.3
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0%	tely disagree n=11 av.=4.73 md=5 dev.=0.47
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources for the module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0%	tely disagree n=11 av.=4.91 md=5 dev.=0.3
 ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module 	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0%	tely disagree av=4.91 md=5 dev=0.3
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	10 1 0 0 90.9% 9.1% 0% 0% 5 4 3 2	0%Defini	tely disagree av.=4.91 md=5 dev.=0.3









Centre for Commercial Law Studies, International and Comparative Law of Copyright and Related Rights, QLLM045 Centre for Commercial Law Studies Queen Mary International and Comparative Law of Copyright and Related Rights (QLLM045) University of London No. of responses = 11(100%)Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 1 9.1% 36.4% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught 18.2% n=11 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=1.22 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 2 0 45.5% 36.4% 9.1% 9.1% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=11 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=1.22 • H been made clear in advance 5 3 2 0 6 1.3) 54.5% 27.3% 9.1% 0% 9.1% n=11 av.=4.18 md=5 dev.=1.25 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 0 54 18.2% 9. 5% 18.2% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=11 av.=4.09 md=5 dev.=1.3 Definitely agree ١ Definitely disagree : my studies on the module 5 1 2% 36.4% 18 27.3% 9.1% 9.1% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=11 av.=3.64 md=4 dev.=1.36 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 2 1 0 27.3% 54.5% 9.1% 9.1% 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=11 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4 md=5 dev.= н • module 1 34 3 8 0 1 9 9.1% 9.1% 72 1% 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=11 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.36 md=5 dev.=1.29 • contribution to this module 5 3 2 0 36.4% 18.2% 9.1% 36.4% 0% 1.8) n=11 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=1.22 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module Õ










International Commercial Law (QLLM050) No. of responses = 34 (55.74%)



Legend Question text	es of answers Std. Dev. 25 25% Left pole	Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	ie is below the deline.	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	Mean val	ue is within the ideline.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definite	ly disagree n=33 av.=4.03 md=4 dev.=0.77
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0%	ly disagree n=34 av.=4,29 md=4 dev.=0.76
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback during the module	Definitely agree	8 14 11 0 23.5% 41.2% 32.4% 0% 5 4 3 2	1 2.9%	ly disagree m=34 av.=3.82 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module	h Definitely agree	9 18 5 1 27.3% 54.5% 15.2% 3% 5 4 3 2	0 Definite	ly disagree av.=4.06 md=4 dev.=0.75
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	13 11 6 3 39.4% 33.3% 18.2% 9.1% 5 4 3 2	0%Definite	ly disagree n=33 av.=4.03 md=4 dev.=0.98
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources for the module	Definitely agree	14 16 1 2 42.4% 48.5% 3% 6.1%	0%	ly disagree n=33 av.=4.27 md=4 dev.=0.8
 ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module 	Definitely agree	15 14 2 1 44.1% 41.2% 5.9% 2.9% 5 4 3 2	2 Definite	ly disagree n=34 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=1.08
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	7 22 0 3 20.6% 64.7% 0% 8.8% 5 4 3 2		ly disagree ■ av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=1.05







Centre for Commercial Law Studies Queen Mary International Economic Law (QLLM054) University of London No. of responses = 8 (72.73%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n 50 n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 7 87.5% 0 0 0 0% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=8 av.=4.88 md=5 dev.=0.35 Definitely agree L. Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 4 Δ Λ ٥ 0 0 50% 50% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=8 Definitely agree av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.53 Definitely disagree • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 5 0 0 0 3 37.5% 1.3) 62.5% 0% 0% 0% n=8 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.52 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree H • _ module 3 37.5% 5 0 0 Π 62.5% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=8 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.52 Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree . my studies on the module 5 2 1 0 0 37.5% 50% 12.5% 0% 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=8 av.=4.38 md=4.5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree V • dev.=0.74 3 5 Δ 2 0 6 2 0 0 75% 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=8 Definitely agree L. Definitely disagree n=8 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.46 • module 5 2 5 1 0 0 62.5% 12 25% 0 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=8 Definitely agree Definitely disagree H av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.76 • contribution to this module 5 3 2 0 0 0 50% 50% 0% 0% 0% 1.8) n=8 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.53 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module


2

International Environment Law (QLLM055) No. of responses = 7 (77.78%)



Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of an Relative Frequencies of an Left	25 25% 25% 5	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
		Scale	Histogram		Quality index
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is t quality guidelin	pelow the e.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	I Mea	an value is within the lity guideline.
1. Rate this module					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	00%	Definitely disagree n=7 av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.38
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking or been made clear in advance 	n the module have	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	0%	Definitely disagree av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.82
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fe module	edback during the	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	0 	Definitely disagree n=7 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.98
 ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module 	e and support with	Definitely agree	6 1 0 0 85.7% 14.3% 0% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree av=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.38
^{1.5)} The module is well organised a	and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.79
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning module	resources for the	Definitely agree	6 1 0 0 85.7% 14.3% 0% 0% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.38
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made contribution to this module	an appropriate	Definitely agree	14.3% 57.1% 28.6% 0% 14.3% 57.1% 28.6% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.69
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the module	quality of the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	Definitely disagree n=7 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0

Centre for Commercial Law Studies Queen Mary International Human Rights Law (QLLM127) No. of responses = 22 (64.71%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0 18.2% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught 40.9% 40.9% n=22 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.75 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 6 10 47 23.8% 19% Δ 8% 4.8% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=21 av.=3.81 md=4 dev.=1.03 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ē 3 2 5 10 0 1.3) 31.8% 45.5% 18.2% 4 0% 5% n=22 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.84 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 3 15 Π 68.2% 9.1% g 13.6% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=22 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=0.77 Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree e my studies on the module Õ 5 4 3 2 10 0 33.3% 47.6% 9.5% 9.5% 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=21 av.=4.05 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • dev.=0.92 3 5 Δ 2 6 3 0 28.6% 52.4% 1.6) 14.3% 0% n=21 av.=4.29 md=5 dev.=0.9 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree T : module 5 11 5 5 0 0 52.4% 23.8% 23.8% 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=21 Definitely agree Definitely disagree **ب** av.=4.29 md=5 dev.=0.85 • contribution to this module 5 3 2 9 8 38.1% 9.5% 4.8% 42.9% 4.8% 1.8) n=21 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=1.09 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • н module 2 5 3



International Law of the Sea (QLLM058) No. of responses = 8 (72.73%)



Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of an Relative Frequencies of an Lef		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 25% 4 3 2 1	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
		Scale	Histogram		Quality index
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidelin	below the e.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	Mean va 🖬 Mean va	lue is within the uideline.
1. Rate this module					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	3 22 22 0 42.9% 28.6% 28.6% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% Definite	ely disagree av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking of been made clear in advance	n the module have	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0Definite	ely disagree av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.7
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fe module	edback during the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		ely disagree ely disagree mt=3.63 mt=3.5 dev.=1.0
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advid my studies on the module	ce and support with	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definite	n=8 av.=4.38 md=4.5 dev.=0.7
^{1.5)} The module is well organised	and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definite	ely disagree n=7 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.5
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning module	resources for the	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	0 0% Definite	n=7 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.4
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made contribution to this module	an appropriate	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definite	ely disagree av.=4.88 md=5 dev.=0.3
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the module	quality of the	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definite	ely disagree n=7 av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.7

International Merger Control (QLLM060) No. of responses = 18 (81.82%)




	estion text	ies of answers Std. Dev. 25 25% Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
					Quality index
De	scription of quality symbol		 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	🚺 Mean val 💆 quality gi	lue is within the uideline.
1.	Rate this module				
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definite	ely disagree n=18 av.=4.61 md=5 dev.=0.5
1.2)	The criteria used in marking on the module hav been made clear in advance	Ce Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definite	n=18 av.=4.44 md=5 dev.=0.78
1.3)	I have been given adequate feedback during th module	e Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0%Definite	ely disagree av-4 md=4 dev.=0.84
1.4)	I have received sufficient advice and support w my studies on the module	ith Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0Definite	ely disagree n=18 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.62
1.5)	The module is well organised and runs smooth	y Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definite	n=17 av.=4.76 md=5 dev.=0.44
1.6)	I had access to good learning resources for the module	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definite	ely disagree n=18 av.=4.28 md=4.5 dev.=0.89
1.7)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		ely disagree , av.=3.72 , md=4 dev.=0.89
1.8)	Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definite	ely disagree n=18 av.=4.39 md=4 dev.=0.5

International Migration Law (QLLM177) No. of responses = 12 (92.31%)



		equencies of answers Std. Dev. 25 25% Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50% 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
			-		Quality index
De	scription of quality symbol	an value is below the lity guideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	📳 Mean val 🗮 quality gu	ue is within the uideline.
1.	Rate this module				
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	9 3 0 0 75% 25% 0% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% Definite	ely disagree n=12 av-4.75 md=5 dev.=0.45
— — 1.2)	The criteria used in marking on the module been made clear in advance	e have Definitely agree	9 3 0 0 75% 25% 0% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0%	ely disagree n=12 av-4.75 md=5 dev.=0.45
— — 1.3)	I have been given adequate feedback duri module	ng the Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0%	ely disagree av.=4.33 md=4.5 dev.=0.78
1.4)	I have received sufficient advice and support my studies on the module	Drt with Definitely agree	7 3 2 0 58.3% 25% 16.7% 0% 5 4 3 2	0	ely disagree ely disagree multiple n=12 av.=4.42 multiple dev.=0.79
1.5)	The module is well organised and runs sm	oothly Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 0% Definite	ely disagree av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.65
1.6)	I had access to good learning resources for module	or the Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0%	ely disagree n=12 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.52
1.7)	The use of QMplus has made an appropria contribution to this module	ate Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	ely disagree av.=4.58 md=5 dev.=0.67
1.8)	Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0%	New disagree n=12 av.=4.58 md=5 dev.=0.67



Centre for Commercial Law Studies Queen Mary International Tax Law I (QLLM062) University of London No. of responses = 39 (78%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree 3 2 5 8 18 11 0 0 48.6% 29.7% 21.6% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 2 5 13 34.2% 8 0 16 2 6% 1.3) 21.1% 42.1% 0% I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module 3 13 35.1% 16 5 3 Π 43 13.5% .2% 8 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module 5 4 3 2 18 12 0 6 48.6% 32.4% 16.2% 2 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Definitely disagree 5 Δ 3 2 19 15 0 39.5% 1.6) 50% 0% I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 5 3 19 14 5 1 0 48.7% 35.9% 12.8% 2.6% 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution to this module 5 3 2 15 38.5% 17 5 2 0 12.8% 5.1% 43.6% 0% 1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module

3

2

n=38 av.=4.34 md=4 dev.=0.75

n=37

av.=3.92 md=4 dev.=0.72

n=38 av.=3.74 md=4 dev.=0.83

n=37 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.91

n=37 av.=4.27 md=4

dev.=0.84

n=38

av.=4.37 md=4.5 dev.=0.75

n=39 av.=4.31 md=4 dev.=0.8

n=39 av.=4.21 md=4 dev.=0.86

•

۲

٥

Õ

.

•

:

•

•

Centre for Commercial Law Studies Queen Mary International Trade and Investment Dispute Settlement (QLLM064) University of London No. of responses = 31 (83.78%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught 50% n=30 av.=4.07 md=4.5 dev.=1.11 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • ł 5 3 2 8 12 1.4% 3 4 13.8% 10.3% 6.9% 27.6% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=29 Definitely agree av.=3.72 md=4 dev.=1.19 Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ē 5 3 2 10 8 27.6% 1.3) 34.5% 24 . .1% 6.9% 6.9% n=29 av.=3.72 md=4 dev.=1.22 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ 5 12 13 43 40% 3% 3 3.3% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=30 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.97 Definitely agree Definitely disagree . my studies on the module 5 3 2 1 14 9 0 5 3 45.2% 16.1% 9.7% 0% 29% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=31 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=1.01 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Ŀ • 5 Δ 3 2 22 6 0 73.3% 1.6) 20% 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=30 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 1 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.72 : module 5 3 0 26 3 0 0 89.7% 10.3% 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=29 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 1 av.=4.9 md=5 dev.=0.31 • contribution to this module 5 4 3 2 10 0 15 12.9% 32 6.5% 48.4% .3% 0% 1.8) n=31 av.=4.16 md=4 dev.=1.04 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н • module 2

Centre for Commercial Law	Studies				0		
IP Transactions (QLLM066) No. of responses = 19 (76%)				FOR	Queen Mary		
	Absolute Frequencies of ansi Relative Frequencies of ansi Left p	wers 25 25%	Mean Median 0 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1	Right pole	av.=Me md=Me dev.=S		
	s	Scale	Histogram		Quality	index	
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is be quality guideline.	elow the	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	Mea 🔁 🖬	n value is within ity guideline.	the	
1. Rate this module							
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 15.8\% \\ 42.1\% \\ 31.6\% \\ 10.5\% \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{array}$			n=19 av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=0.9	
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance	module have	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 5 & 9 & 4 & 1 \\ 26.3\% & 47.4\% & 21.1\% & 5.3\% \\ \hline \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$	0 0% 1		n=19 av.=3.95 md=4 dev.=0.85	
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedba module	ack during the	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 21.1\% \\ 42.1\% \\ 36.8\% \\ 0\% \\ \end{array} \\ \hline \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{array}$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=3.84 md=4 dev.=0.76	
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice ar my studies on the module	nd support with	Definitely agree	<u>4</u> <u>12</u> <u>3</u> <u>0</u> <u>21.1%</u> <u>63.2%</u> <u>15.8%</u> <u>0%</u> <u>5</u> <u>4</u> <u>3</u> <u>2</u>	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.62	
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and i	runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1		n=19 av.=3.95 md=4 dev.=0.91	
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning reso module	urces for the	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 9 \\ 47.4\% \\ 47.4\% \\ 5 \\ 47.4\% \\ 5 \\ 47.4\% \\ 5 \\ 47.4\% \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{array}$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.42 md=4 dev.=0.61	
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an a contribution to this module	ppropriate	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.58 md=5 dev.=0.51	
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the qual module	ity of the	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 4 & 7 & 5 & 3 \\ 21.1\% & 36.8\% & 26.3\% & 15.8\% \\ \end{array}$			n=19 av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=1.01	

Centre for Commercial Law Studies, IP Transactions, QLLM066

Queen Mary Law and Economics II (CCLE003) University of London No. of responses = 12 (80%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 66.7 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree 5 3 2 6 6 ٥ 0 0 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 5 3 2 0 0 6 1.3) . 33.3% 50% 16.7% 0% 0% I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 5 41.7% 0 Π 8 50% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module 5 3 2 1 0 0 58.3% 25% 16.7% 09 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree Д Definitely disagree 5 3 2 6 0 0 0 54.5% 45.5% 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 5 0 8 4 0 0 66.7% . 33.3% 0 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree **,** contribution to this module 5 3 2 0 6 0 9.1% 54.5% 36.4% 0% 0% 1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module

Centre for Commercial Law Studies

n=12 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.9

n=12

av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.52

n=12 av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=0.72

n=12 av.=4.42 md=4.5 dev.=0.67

n=12 av.=4.42 md=5

dev.=0.79

n=11

av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=0.52

n=12 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.49

n=11 av.=4.18 md=4 dev.=0.87

•

•

•

:

•

:

•

•


2


Law and Finance in Practice (ECCL023) No. of responses = 13 (72.22%)




Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of an Relative Frequencies of an Left		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1	Right pole	n=No. c av.=Me md=Me dev.=St ab.=Aba	dian d. Dev.
		Scale	Histogram		Quality	index
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is b quality guideline	pelow the e.	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	🚺 Me	ean value is within ality guideline.	the
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	4 4 5 0 30.8% 30.8% 38.5% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0%	Definitely disagree	
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking or been made clear in advance 	the module have	Definitely agree	5 5 4 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.95
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fer module	edback during the	Definitely agree	3 4 3 3 23.1% 30.8% 23.1% 23.1% 5 4 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advic my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	Definitely disagree	
^{1.5)} The module is well organised a	ind runs smoothly	Definitely agree	38.5% 6.2% 7.7% 7.7% 38.5% 46.2% 7.7% 7.7% 5 4 3 2	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning r module	esources for the	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0%	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=0.96
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	an appropriate	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0%	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.69 md=5 dev.=0.63
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the o module	quality of the	Definitely agree	4 6 2 1 30.8% 46.2% 15.4% 7.7% 5 4 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.91

Centre for Commercial Law Studies Queen Mary Law of Economic Crime (QLLM068) University of London No. of responses = 22 (88%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n 50 n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 10 5 22.7% 0 0 31.89 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=22 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.75 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 10 6 0 Δ 45.5% 27 , 3% 18.2% q 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=22 Definitely agree av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=1.02 Definitely disagree • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 10 45.5% 0 31.8% 1.3) 18.2% 0% 4.5% n=22 av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=0.94 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ 3 13 1.9% 6 2 0 Π 28.6% 61 9 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=21 av.=4.19 md=4 dev.=0.6 Definitely agree **Н** Definitely disagree -. my studies on the module 5 4 3 2 1 10 45.5% 8 0 0 36.4% 18.2% 09 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=22 av.=4.18 md=4 dev.=0.73 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 Δ 3 2 12 9 0 0 54.5% 40.9% 1.6) 0% n=22 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.6 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree P • module 5 12 2 1 0 54.5% . 31.8% 9.1% Δ 0 1.7) n=22 av.=4.36 md=5 dev.=0.85 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree H • contribution to this module 5 3 2 10 0 4 18.2% 45.5% 4 31.8% 0% 1.8) .5% n=22 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.84 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module







Maritime Arbitration (QLLM301) No. of responses = 16 (72.73%)



Legend Absolute Frequencies of Relative Frequencies of Question text		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol Rean value i quality guide	s below the line.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	Mean value quality guid	Quality index is within the eline.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	0 0% Definitely of	tisagree n=16 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.58
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance 	Definitely agree	<u> </u>	0 Definitely	tisagree ■ n=16 av.=3.38 md=3 dev.=1.09
 ^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback during the module 	Definitely agree	36 <u>18.8% 37.5% 31.3% 12.5%</u>		tisagree n=16 av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=0.96
 ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module 	Definitely agree	6 6 4 0 37.5% 37.5% 25% 0%	0 Definitely 0	disagree av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.81
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0%	lisagree av.=4.44 md=4.5 dev.=0.63
 ^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources for the module 	Definitely agree	8 6 2 0 50% 37.5% 12.5% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% Definitely (n=16 av.=4.38 md=4.5 dev.=0.72
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	9 5 2 0 56.3% 31.3% 12.5% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% Definitely (disagree n=16 av.=4.44 md=5 dev.=0.73
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definitely of 1	n=16 av.=4.25 md=4 dev.=0.77

Media Law (QLLM076) No. of responses = 18 (81.82%)




		Left pole Scale	0 50 0 25	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Meain md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
De	scription of quality symbol	Mean value is below the quality guideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	🂽 Me	Quality index ean value is within the ality guideline.
1.	Rate this module				
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely ag	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & 8 & 9 & 0 & 1 \\ & 44.4\% & 50\% & 0\% & 5.6\% \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ \end{array}$	0 0%	Definitely disagree av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.77
1.2)	The criteria used in marking on the mobeen made clear in advance	Dodule have Definitely agr	ee 5 9 2 2 27.8% 50% 11.1% 11.1% 5 4 3 2	0%	Definitely disagree av=3.94 md=4 dev.=0.94
1.3)	I have been given adequate feedback module	during the Definitely agr	ee 5 4 3 2	1 5.6% 1	Definitely disagree av.=3.94 md=4 dev.=1.11
1.4)	I have received sufficient advice and s my studies on the module	support with Definitely agr	ee 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree n=18 av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=0.9
1.5)	The module is well organised and run	s smoothly Definitely agr	ee 5 4 3 2	1 5.6% 1	Definitely disagree n=18 av.=3.94 md=4 dev.=1.11
1.6)	I had access to good learning resourc module	es for the Definitely agr	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{array}$		Definitely disagree n=18 av.=4.28 md=4 dev.=0.57
1.7)	The use of QMplus has made an appr contribution to this module	opriate Definitely agr	ee 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree n=18 av.=4.39 md=4 dev.=0.61
1.8)	Overall I am satisfied with the quality of module	of the Definitely agi	ee 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree n=18 av.=4.39 md=5 dev.=0.85

Centre for Commercial Law Studies Queen Mary Medical Jurisprudence (QLLM077) University of London No. of responses = 7(100%)Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n 50 n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 5 <u>71.</u>4% 0 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=7 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.79 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 ٥ 57 14 14 .3% 14.3% 1% 0% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=7 av.=3.86 md=5 dev.=1.68 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ٥ 5 3 2 0 57.1% 1.3) 14.3% 0% .14.3% 14.3% n=7 av.=3.43 md=4 dev.=1.4 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ Π 57.1% 14 14.3% 14 . .3% 3% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=7 av.=4.14 md=5 dev.=1.21 Definitely agree Ļ Definitely disagree • my studies on the module 5 3 2 5 0 0 71.4% 14.3% 14.3% 09 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=7 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.79 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 3 5 Δ 2 4 66.7% 2 0 0 0 33.3% 1.6) 0% n=6 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.52 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree 1 • module 5 1 1 0 0 71.4% . 14.3% 14 0 0 1.7) n=7 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.79 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree <u>ب</u> Definitely disagree • contribution to this module 5 3 2 0 0 28.6% 57.1% 14 .3% 0% 0% 1.8) n=7 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.79 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Н Definitely disagree • module

5

3



Centre for Commercial Law Studies Queen Mary Mining and Natural Rescources (QLLM304) University of London No. of responses = 13 (81.25%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 0 0 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught 0% n=12 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.52 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 Λ 0 0 <u>58.3%</u> 33.3% я 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=12 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=12 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.67 н • been made clear in advance 3 2 5 5 38.5% 0 0 1.3) . 53.8% 7 7% 0% 0% n=13 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.66 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree Η • _ module 5 10 83.3% 0 0 Π 16.7% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=12 av.=4.83 md=5 dev.=0.39 Definitely agree **ب ۲** Definitely disagree : my studies on the module 5 2 1 0 0 8 61.5% 30.8% 7.7% 0% 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=13 av.=4.54 md=5 Ţ Definitely agree Definitely disagree • dev.=0.66 5 Δ 3 2 8 61.5% 3 2 0 0 23.1% 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=13 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ц. av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.78 : module 5 6 4 3 0 0 46.2% . 30.8% 23 1% 0 1.7) n=13 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.83 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree • contribution to this module 5 3 2 5 38.5% 8 0 0 0 61.5% 0% 0% 0% 1.8) n=13 av.=4.62 md=5 dev.=0.51 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree I. Definitely disagree • module

5

3

Centre for Commercial Law Studies Queen Mary Negotiation Theory and Practice (QLLM151) No. of responses = 19 (100%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 17 89.5% 0 0 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=19 av.=4.84 md=5 dev.=0.5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 4 16 ٥ 0 84.2 10.5% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=19 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=19 av.=4.74 md=5 dev.=0.73 • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 17 0 0 1 1.3) 89.5% 5.3% 0% 5 3% 0% n=19 av.=4.79 md=5 dev.=0.71 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 5 16 88.9% 0 Π 5.6% 5 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=18 av.=4.78 md=5 dev.=0.73 Definitely agree . Definitely disagree . my studies on the module 5 3 2 17 0 0 89.5% 5.3% 0 5.3% 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=19 av.=4.79 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • dev.=0.71 2 5 Δ 3 14 4 0 0 21.1% 73.7% 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=19 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.76 : module 14 4 0 1 0 . 21.1% 5 73.7% 0 0 1.7) n=19 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.76 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree _**t** • contribution to this module 5 3 2 0 0 17 89.5% 5.3% 5.3% 0% 0% 1.8) n=19 av.=4.84 md=5 dev.=0.5 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree -4 Definitely disagree • module 5 3 2 Δ

Privacy and Information Law (QLLM119) No. of responses = 11 (84.62%)



	equencies of answers Std. Dev. 25 25% Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50% 0 25 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol		 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	Mean value 🗟 Mean value	is within the eline.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definitely	disagree n=11 av.=3.64 md=4 dev.=0.81
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the module been made clear in advance	e have Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 9.1% H Definitely (disagree n=11 av.=2.73 md=2 dev.=1.1
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback duri module	ng the Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 9.1% Definitely	disagree n=11 av.=2.73 md=3 dev.=0.9
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support my studies on the module	ort with Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 5 18.2% Definitely	disagree av.=3 md=4 dev.=1.26
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs sm	oothly Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definitely	disagree av.=3.55 dev.=0.69
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources for module	Definitely agree	9.1% 45.5% 27.3% 18.2% 5 4 3 2	0 0% Definitely	disagree av.=3.45 md=4 dev.=0.93
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an appropria contribution to this module	ate Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 Definitely	disagree av.=3.64 disagree disagree av.=3.64 dev.=0.92
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	e Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Definitely	disagree av.=3.64 disagree disagree av.=3.64 dev.=0.81

Centre for Commercial Law Studies Queen Mary Regulation of Financial Markets (QLLM082) University of London No. of responses = 43 (119.44%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 34 81% 0 0 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=42 av.=4.76 md=5 dev.=0.53 Definitely agree Definitely disagree н • 5 3 2 14 15 0 8 л 19 5% 36.6% 9.8% 34 1% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=41 Definitely agree av.=3.78 md=4 dev.=1.04 Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ē 5 3 10 14 14 3 33.3% 1.3) 33.3% 23.8% 7 1% 2.4% n=42 av.=3.79 md=4 dev.=1.07 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ 5 3 18 11 9 Δ Π 26 21.4% g 42.9% .2% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=42 av.=4.02 md=4 dev.=1.02 Definitely agree Definitely disagree : my studies on the module 5 2 31 10 0 72.1% 23.3% 2.3% 2 0% 3% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=43 av.=4.65 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • dev.=0.65 5 3 2 33 76.7% 6 2 2 1.6) 14% 3% I had access to good learning resources for the n=43 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.6 md=5 dev.=0.88 • module 5 3 0 2 35 5 0 83.39 11.9% .8% Δ 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=42 av.=4.69 md=5 dev.=0.9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Ż • contribution to this module 5 3 2 31 72.1% 9 3 0 0 20.9% 7% 0% 0% 1.8) n=43 av.=4.65 md=5 dev.=0.61 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree -Definitely disagree • module 5 2

Centre for Commercial Law Studies Queen Mary Regulation of International Trade (QLLM134) University of London No. of responses = 11(100%)Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 0 9 81.8% 1 9.1% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=11 av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=1.21 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 3 2 5 q ٥ 0 81.8% 9.1% 9 % 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=11 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=1.21 • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 1 8 0 0 1.3) 72.7% 18.2% 0% 0% 9.1% n=11 av.=4.45 md=5 dev.=1.21 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 0 0 9.1% 81.8% 9.1 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=11 av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=1.21 Definitely agree Definitely disagree : my studies on the module -5 2 1 0 63.6% 18.2% 9.1% 0% 9.1% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=11 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=11 av.=4.27 md=5 dev.=1.27 • 3 5 2 1 9 81.8% 0 0 9.1% 9.1% 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree n=11 Definitely disagree av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=1.21 : module 5 3 0 0 10 0 90.9% 9 0 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=11 Definitely agree ц**Й** Definitely disagree n=11 av.=4.91 md=5 dev.=0.3 • contribution to this module 3 5 2 0 8 0 18.2% 9.1% 72.7% 0% 0% 1.8) n=11 av.=4.45 md=5 dev.=1.21 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 2 5 3 1

Centre for Commercial Law Studies, Reinsurance Law, QLLM142

n=No. of responses

av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention

Quality index

•

•

•

.

•

•

•

•

Definitely disagree

n=12 av.=4.83 md=5 dev.=0.39

n=12

av.=4.42 md=4.5 dev.=0.67

n=13 av.=4.38 md=4 dev.=0.65

n=13 av.=4.54 md=5 dev.=0.52

n=13 av.=4.62 md=5

dev.=0.51

n=12

n=13

n=13 av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=0.77

n=12 av.=4.58 md=5 dev.=0.51

av.=4.58 md=5 dev.=0.67

Centre for Commercial Law Studies Queen Mary Reinsurance Law (QLLM142) No. of responses = 13 (92.86%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev. Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n 50 Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Scale Histogram Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 10 83.3% 0 0 0 0% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree **4** 5 3 2 6 5 41.7% 0 0 8 50% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 5 3 2 6 6 0 0 46.2% 7.7% 1.3) 46.2% 0% 0% I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Δ 6 0 0 0 46.2% . 53.8% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree Ż Definitely disagree my studies on the module 5 2 1 0 8 0 0 61.5% 38.5% 0% 0% 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree 5 Δ 3 2 0 8 3 0 66.7% 1.6) 25% 0% I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree Ţ module 5 3 2 7 4 0 0 53.8% . 30.8% 15.4% 0 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree H. contribution to this module 5 3 2 5 0 0 0

1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

27.04.2016

Definitely agree

41 58.3%

1


5

.7% 0% 0%

2

3


0%



Centre for Commercial Law Studies Queen Mary Taxation Principles and Concepts (QLLM087) University of London No. of responses = 22 (78.57%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n 50 n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 13 61.9% 0 0 0 0% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=21 av.=4.62 md=5 dev.=0.5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree **ب** • 5 3 2 14 0 0 0 66.7% 33.3% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=21 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=21 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.48 H. • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 16 0 0 0 5 23.8% 1.3) 76.2% 0% 0% 0% n=21 av.=4.76 md=5 dev.=0.44 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 5 13 59.1% a 0 0 Π 40.9% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=22 av.=4.59 md=5 dev.=0.5 Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree . my studies on the module 5 3 2 1 0 12 0 60% 5% 0% 0% 35% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=20 av.=4.55 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • dev.=0.6 3 5 Δ 2 12 10 0 0 0 54.5% 45.5% 1.6) 0% n=22 av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=0.51 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree : module 0 6 14 1 0 28.6% 66.7% Δ 0 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=21 Definitely agree Definitely disagree t av.=4.24 md=4 dev.=0.54 • contribution to this module 5 3 2 13 61.9% 8 0 0 0 38.1% 0% 0% 0% 1.8) n=21 av.=4.62 md=5 dev.=0.5 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree I. Definitely disagree • module 5 3 2

Centre for Commercial Law Studies Queen Mary The Law of Film (QLLM196) No. of responses = 8 (88.89%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 3 37.5% 1 12.5% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught 0% n=8 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.31 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 Δ ٥ 5% 12 50% 12 5% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=8 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.13 md=4.5 dev.=1.13 • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 0 0 6 1.3) 12.5% 0% 12 .5% 0% 75% n=8 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=1.07 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 3 37.5% 0 Π 12 50% 5% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=8 Definitely agree av.=4.25 md=4.5 dev.=1.04 **V** Definitely disagree • my studies on the module 5 2 0 37.5% 25% 12 .5% 0% 25% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=8 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1.04 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 Δ 3 2 0 5 2 0 62.5% 12 1.6) 25% 0% 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=8 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=1.06 : module 5 2 5 0 1 0 62 0 12 .5% 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=8 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.93 contribution to this module 3 2 5 0 37.5% 12.5% 12 37.5% .5% 0% 1.8) n=8 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.07 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module

2



US International Taxation (QLLM180) No. of responses = 9 (81.82%)



Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lef		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av=m mdM dev.=t ab.=A	of responses ean ledian Std. Dev. bstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	below the e.	 Mean is within the rar tolerance for the qual guideline. 	nge of 🚺	Mean value is within quality guideline.	the
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	5 4 3	0 0 0% 0%	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.52
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking o been made clear in advance 	n the module have	Definitely agree		2 1	Definitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.46
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fe module	eedback during the	Definitely agree			Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.78 md=5 dev.=0.44
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advi my studies on the module	ce and support with	Definitely agree	66.7% 33.3% 0% 66.7% 33.3% 0% 5 4 3		Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.5
^{1.5)} The module is well organised	and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	3.3% 44.4% 22.2% 5 4 3		Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=0.78
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning module	resources for the	Definitely agree	1 3 5 11.1% 33.3% 55.6% 5 4 3			■ n=9 av.=3.56 md=3 dev.=0.73
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made contribution to this module	an appropriate	Definitely agree		$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		■ n=9 av.=3.33 md=3 dev.=0.87
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the module	quality of the	Definitely agree	5 4 3		Definitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.56 md=5 dev.=0.53