


Analysing Public Policy (POL350) No. of responses = 43 (81.13%) Queen Mary

Legend Absolute Frequencies Relative Frequencies		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	e is below the eline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	Mean va guality g	alue is within the guideline.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	26 11 3 3 60.5% 25.6% 7% 7% 5 4 3 2	0 0% Defini	tely disagree n=43 av.=4.4 md=5 dev.=0.9
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance 	Definitely agree	15 12 12 2 35.7% 28.6% 28.6% 4.8% 5 4 3 2	1 2.4% Defini	tely disagree av.=3.9 md=4 dev.=1.03
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback during the module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 0% Defini	tely disagree av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.97
 ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module 	Definitely agree	16 16 9 1 38.1% 38.1% 21.4% 2.4% 5 4 3 2	0 0%	tely disagree tely disagree md=4 dev.=0.83
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Defini	tely disagree av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=0.67
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources for the module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0Defini	tely disagree av.=4.4 md=5 dev.=0.77
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	24 14 3 2 55.8% 32.6% 7% 4.7% 5 4 3 2	0 0% Defini	tely disagree n=43 av.=4.4 md=5 dev.=0.82
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0Defini	tely disagree av.=4.33 md=5 dev.=0.87



Gender and Politics (POL361) No. of responses = 20 (35.71%)



Legend Question tex	Relative Fre	equencies of answers Std. Dev. equencies of answers 25 Left pole 5 Scale	0 50 0 25	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description	of quality symbol	in value is below the lity guideline.	 Mean is within the range tolerance for the quality guideline. 	of 🚺 Me	ean value is within the ality guideline.
1. Rate thi	s module				
^{1.1)} The mod	ule is well taught	Definitely agre	80% 15% 5% C	0 0 0 0%	Definitely disagree n=20 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.55
^{1.2)} The crite been ma	ria used in marking on the module de clear in advance	have Definitely agree	13 5 2 65% 25% 10% 0	2 1	Definitely disagree av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=0.69
^{1.3)} I have be module	en given adequate feedback duri	ng the Definitely agre	78.9% 15.8% 5.3% C	0 0 0 % 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree av.=4.74 md=5 dev.=0.56
^{1.4)} I have rea my studie	ceived sufficient advice and suppo es on the module	Drt with Definitely agree	85% 10% 5% C	0 — - 0 — % 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree av.=2.8 av.=4.8 md=5 dev.=0.52
^{1.5)} The mod	ule is well organised and runs sm	oothly Definitely agre	94.7% 0% 0% 5.	1	Definitely disagree av.=4.84 md=5 dev.=0.69
^{1.6)} I had acc module	ess to good learning resources fo	r the Definitely agre	78.9% 15.8% 5.3% C	0 — — 0 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	Definitely disagree av=4.74 md=5 dev.=0.56
^{1.7)} The use contributi to this mo		ate Definitely agre	e 66.7% 22.2% 11.1% 0	0 0 % 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree av=4.56 md=5 dev.=0.7
^{1.8)} Overall I module	am satisfied with the quality of the	Definitely agre	re 78.9% 15.8% 5.3% C	0 - 0 - 0	Definitely disagree n=19 av.=4.74 md=5 dev.=0.56

School of Politics and International Relations Queen Mary Global Ethics (POL385) University of London No. of responses = 28 (44.44%)Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n 50 n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 23 82.1% 5 0 0 0 0% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=28 av.=4.82 md=5 dev.=0.39 Definitely agree Definitely disagree **11** • 5 3 2 13 8 46.4% 28.6% 17.9% 3.6% 3 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=28 Definitely agree av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=1.07 Definitely disagree • н been made clear in advance 5 3 2 18 3 10.7% 1.3) 64.3% 17.9% 3.6% 3.6% n=28 av.=4.36 md=5 dev.=1.06 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 5 3 6 21.4% 16 Π 17 3 57 .9% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=28 av.=4.32 md=5 dev.=0.9 Ļ Definitely agree Definitely disagree . my studies on the module 5 3 2 1 23 82.1% 0 0 0 17.9% 0 09 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=28 av.=4.82 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • dev.=0.39 5 Δ 3 2 17 60.7% 10 0 0 35.7% 1.6) 0% n=28 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.57 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree 4 Definitely disagree • module 5 2 15 10 1 0 35.7% 7 3 53.6% .1% 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=28 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=28 av.=4.39 md=5 dev.=0.79 + • contribution to this module 5 3 2 0 0 19 67.9% 7 25% .1% 0% 0% 1.8) n=28 av.=4.61 md=5 dev.=0.63 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree • module

5

2

3

Parliamentary Studies (POL373) No. of responses = 19 (90.48%)



	Absolute Frequencie Relative Frequencie	s of answers Std. Dev. s of answers 25 25% Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. o av_=Mee md=Mee dev.=Str ab.=Abs	lian J. Dev. tention
De	scription of quality symbol	e is below the deline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	P N	lean value is within t uality guideline.	he
1.	Rate this module					
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.21 md=4 dev.=0.92
1.2)	The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	Definitely agree	5 10 2 1 27.8% 55.6% 11.1% 5.6% 5 4 3 2	0%	Definitely disagree	n=18 av.=4.06 md=4 dev.=0.8
— — 1.3)	I have been given adequate feedback during the module	Definitely agree	7 6 5 1 $36.8%$ $31.6%$ $26.3%$ $5.3%$ $5.3%$ $5.5%$ 5.4 3 2	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.94
1.4)	I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module	h Definitely agree	42.1% 36.8% 15.8% 5.3% 5 4 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.16 md=4 dev.=0.9
— — 1.5)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	/ Definitely agree	10 6 2 0 55.6% 33.3% 11.1% 0% 5 4 3 2	0%	Definitely disagree	n=18 av.=4.44 md=5 dev.=0.7
1.6)	I had access to good learning resources for the module	Definitely agree	8 8 2 0 42.1% 42.1% 10.5% 0%	1 5.3% 1	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.16 md=4 dev.=1.01
1.7)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 5.3% 1	Definitely disagree	
1.8)	Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.16 md=4 dev.=0.83

Radical Politics Today (POL379) No. of responses = 26 (61.9%)



Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Left		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25 0% 0% 25 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=h md=1 dev.= ab.=/	o. of responses Aean Vectian Std. Dev. Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	below the e.	 Mean is within the rar tolerance for the qual guideline. 	nge of 🚺 lity	Mean value is withi quality guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=4.27 md=4 dev.=0.92
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance 	e module have	Definitely agree	1102 42.3% 38.5% 7.7%	2 2 2 2 1 2 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=4.04 md=4 dev.=1.18
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedb module	pack during the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 1	Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=3.92 md=4 dev.=1.23
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice a my studies on the module	ind support with	Definitely agree	9 10 6 34.6% 38.5% 23.1% 5 4 3	0 1 0% 3.8% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.98
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and	runs smoothly	Definitely agree	16 6 3 61.5% 23.1% 11.5% 1 5 4 3		Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=4.42 md=5 dev.=0.86
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning reso module	ources for the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=4.35 md=5 dev.=0.8
 ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an a contribution to this module 	appropriate	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.81
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the qua module	lity of the	Definitely agree	8 12 4 30.8% 46.2% 15.4% 5 4 3	1 1 3.8% 3.8% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=3.96 md=4 dev.=1

School of Politics and International Relations Queen Mary Socialist Political Thought (POL368) University of London No. of responses = 18 (72%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n 50 n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 16 88.9% 2 0 0 0 0% 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=18 av.=4.89 md=5 dev.=0.32 Definitely agree Definitely disagree **__1** • 5 3 2 q 0 0 52.9% 5.9% 4 .2% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=17 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.35 md=4 dev.=0.61 ۷ • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 0 0 12 22.2% 1.3) 66.7% 11.1% 0% 0% n=18 av.=4.56 md=5 dev.=0.7 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree -• module 3 11 2 .1% 0 Π 61 27.8% 1 1% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=18 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.71 Definitely agree H Definitely disagree . my studies on the module 5 3 2 1 15 0 0 0 83.3% 16.7% 0 0% 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=18 av.=4.83 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • dev.=0.38 5 Δ 3 2 12 66.7% 6 0 0 0 33.3% 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=18 Definitely agree I. Definitely disagree av.=4.67 md=5 dev.=0.49 • module 5 3 0 15 0 0 83.39 16.7% 0 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=18 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=18 av.=4.83 md=5 dev.=0.38 **+*** • contribution to this module 5 3 2 0 0 0 14 4 22 77.8% 0% 0% 0% 1.8) .2% n=18 av.=4.78 md=5 dev.=0.43 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree 14 Definitely disagree • module 5 2

3

