



5

3



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme Queen Mary Computing (SEF034) No. of responses = 29 (43.28%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=29 av.=3.69 md=4 dev.=1.07 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 10 35.7% 3 10.7% q 14 32.1% 7 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=28 Definitely agree Definitely disagree V ۲ av.=3.39 md=3.5 dev.=1.1 been made clear in advance ē 5 10 35.7% 8 1.3) 28.6% 25% 7 .1% 3.6% n=28 av.=3.79 md=4 dev.=1.07 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ 11 37.9% 10 34.5% 6 20.7% 3 3.4% 1.4) n=29 av.=3.69 md=4 dev.=0.97 I have received sufficient advice and support with Definitely agree ٠ 4 Definitely disagree . my studies on the module Õ 5 3 13 6 6 21.4% 46.4% 21.4% 7 3.6% 1% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=28 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 Δ 3 2 6 9 2 21.4% 32.1% 32 .1% 7 7.1% 1.6) .1% n=28 av.=3.64 md=4 dev.=1.22 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 5 3 2 9 10 24 . 1% 34.5% 6.9% 3.4% 31% 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=29 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.72 md=4 dev.=1.1 contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 9 12 3 3 10.7% 10.7% 42.9% 3.6% 32.1% 1.8) n=28 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=1.1 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ 2 3



Science and Engineering Foundation Programme Queen Mary Diversity and Ecology (SEF033) No. of responses = 48 (57.14%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 29.2% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught 20.8% n=48 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=0.96 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 3 5 16 33.3% 17 35.4% 14.6% 10.4% 6.3% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=48 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.1 md=3 dev.=1.08 been made clear in advance ē 5 3 16 14 11 30.4% 23.9% 1.3) 2.2% 34.8% 8.7% n=46 av.=2.98 md=3 dev.=1.02 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module : 3 19 1.3% a 11 41 23.9% 6.5% 19.6% 8.7% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=46 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=2.91 md=3 dev.=1.03 my studies on the module 8 5 3 19 9 6 6 14.9% 40.4% 19.1% 12.8% 12.8% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=47 av.=3.32 md=4 dev.=1.25 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 Δ 3 2 1 9 15 13 30.2% 20.9% 34.9% 11.6% 2 1.6) n=43 av.=3.6 md=4 dev.=1.03 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 5 3 11 16 12 5 3 25.5% 10.6% 6.4% 23.4% 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=47 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.57 md=4 dev.=1.16 contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 12 26.1% 18 39.1% 6 5 10.9% 10.9% 13% 1.8) n=46 av.=3.13 md=3 dev.=1.13 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ

5

Science and Engineering Foundation Programme Queen Mary Introduction to Engineering (SEF024) University of London No. of responses = 46(37.7%)Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 18 32.6% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught 19.6% n=46 av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=0.94 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 3 2 5 14 18 6 30.4% 39.1% 15.2% 2 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=46 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.43 md=3 dev.=0.98 ۲ been made clear in advance ē 5 13 28.3% 9 18 5 10.9% <u>39.1%</u> 1.3) 19.6% 2 2% n=46 av.=3.52 md=3 dev.=1.01 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н _ module Õ 14 30.4% 15 32.6% 10 Λ 21 . 15.2% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=46 Definitely agree × Definitely disagree e av.=3.39 md=3 dev.=1 my studies on the module Õ 5 3 13 8 17 17.4% 28.3% 37% 13% Δ ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=46 av.=3.41 md=3 dev.=1.07 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 2 10 14 0 30.4% 30.4% 21.7% 17.4% 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=46 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.57 md=4 dev.=1.03 module ē 5 3 8 17 18 3 0 39.1% 17.4% 3 6 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=46 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=46 av.=3.65 md=4 dev.=0.85 ۲ contribution ē to this module 5 3 2 13 17 14 2 0 30.4% 28.3% 4 37% 3% 0% 1.8) n=46 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=0.88 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree Ŀ module Õ


Science and Engineering Foundation Programme Queen Mary Mathematics I (SEF001) No. of responses = 46 (37.4%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 22 52.4% 0 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=42 av.=4.31 md=5 dev.=0.87 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Н • 5 3 2 17 20 0 3 q 38.6% 45 1% 6 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=44 Definitely agree av.=4.16 md=4 dev.=0.86 Definitely disagree • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 17 14 5 1.3) 31.8% 38.6% 15.9% 11.4% 2.3% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=44 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=1.07 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ Δ 3 15 1.9% 13 12 3 Π 30.2% 34 27.9% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=43 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.93 Definitely agree Ż. Definitely disagree e my studies on the module Õ 5 21 17 0 5 37.8% 46.7% 11.1% 4 4% 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=45 av.=4.27 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • dev.=0.84 3 5 Δ 2 23 54.8% 13 3 2 31% 2.4% 1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the n=42 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Ч av.=4.31 md=5 dev.=0.98 • module 11 29 3 2 0 64.4% 24.4% 6 Δ 4% 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=45 av.=4.49 md=5 dev.=0.82 Definitely agree Definitely disagree H • contribution to this module 5 3 2 5 <u>11.6</u>% 22 15 0 1 2 34.9% 51.2% 0% 1.8) 3% n=43 av.=4.35 md=5 dev.=0.78 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree H Definitely disagree • module 3 2


Science and Engineering Foundation Programme Queen Mary Mathematics II (SEF002) No. of responses = 114 (51.35%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 53 2 1.8% 0 1 0.9% 48.6% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught 48.6% n=109 av.=4.44 md=4 dev.=0.63 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 25 56 16 15. 1% 6.6% 1.9% 52 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=106 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.9 md=4 dev.=0.9 been made clear in advance ٥ 5 3 2 23 22.1% 43 28 5 26.9% 1.3) 41.3% 4 .8% 4.8% n=104 av.=3.71 md=4 dev.=1.02 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ Δ 3 26 24.8% 51 20 6 2 48.6% 199 1.9% 5 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=105 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=0.91 Definitely agree ٧I Definitely disagree my studies on the module Õ 5 4 2 54 45 0 8 50% 41.7% 7.4% 0% 0.9% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=108 Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree н -• av.=4.4 md=4.5 dev.=0.71 3 5 Δ 2 45 42.5% 50 10 0 0.9% 1.6) 47.2% I had access to good learning resources for the n=106 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.35 md=4 dev.=0.73 : module 3 5 4 35 0 60 10 0 57.1% 33.3% q 0 1.7) n=105 av.=4.48 md=5 dev.=0.67 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree r**i** • contribution to this module 5 3 2 60 6 44 0.9% 53.6% 0.9% 39.3% 5.4% 1.8) n=112 av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.69 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module

Δ

3

Science and Engineering Foundation Programme Queen Mary Molecules to Cells (SEF032) No. of responses = 87 (86.14%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 33 40.7% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=81 av.=3.38 md=3 dev.=0.93 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 2 q 27 21 15 11.3% 33.8% 26.3% 18.8% 10% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=80 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.18 md=3 dev.=1.17 ۲ been made clear in advance ē 5 3 15 19.5% 22 10 19 11 28.6% 24.7% 1.3) 13% 14.3% n=77 av.=2.92 md=3 dev.=1.24 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module : 5 3 15 20% 29 38.7% 15 20% 12 5.3% 16% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=75 av.=2.79 md=3 dev.=1.11 Definitely agree *** 1** Definitely disagree my studies on the module 8 5 3 1 9 24 19 9 16 11.7% 31.2% 24.7% 11.7% 20.8% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=77 av.=3.01 md=3 dev.=1.32 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 Δ 3 2 1 30 13 28 34.6% 8.6% 3 1.6) 16% 37% .7% n=81 av.=3.53 md=4 dev.=0.99 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 5 3 19 40 18 1 5 22.9% 48.2 21.7% 6 1.7) n=83 av.=3.81 md=4 dev.=1.01 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 9 21 33 10 12.5% 11 26.3% 41.3% 8.8% .3% 1.8) n=80 av.=3.19 md=3 dev.=1.08 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module Õ 3 5 2





5