
				basic r athology,	
Barts Cancer Institute Basic Pathology (CANM915/OS) 2016-17 SEM 1				Queen University of Lon	Mary
No. of responses = 9 (45%)					
	Frequencies of answers Std. Dev.	Mean Median 0 50 0 25			
Question text	Left pole	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Right pole	av.=M md=M dev.=S	
	Scale	Histogram		Quality	/ index
	ean value is below the ality guideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	🌒 Mea Data	n value is within ty guideline.	the
1. Rate this module					
^{.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	efinitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.38 md=4 dev.=0.52
²⁾ The criteria used in marking on the modu been made clear in advance	le have Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 1	efinitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.76
^{.3)} I have been given adequate feedback du module	ring the Definitely agree	3 0 2 3 37.5% 0% 25% 37.5% 5 4 3 2			n=8 av.=3.38 md=3 dev.=1.41
⁴⁾ I have received sufficient advice and sup my studies on the module	port with Definitely agree	4 2 2 $050%$ $25%$ $25%$ $0%5$ 4 3 2		lefinitely disagree	n=8 av:=4.25 md=4.5 dev.=0.85
⁵⁾ The module is well organised and runs s	noothly Definitely agree			efinitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.44 md=5 dev.=0.73
^{.6)} I had access to good learning resources module	for the Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{4} \\ - \frac{1}{50\%} \\ 50\% \\ 50\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ 0\% \\ $		efinitely disagree	n=8 av=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.53
⁷⁾ The use of QMplus has made an approp contribution to this module	iate Definitely agree	8 1 0 0 88.9% 11.1% 0% 0% 5 4 3 2		efinitely disagree	n=9 av.=4.89 md=5 dev.=0.33
.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of t module	ne Definitely agree	3 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		efinitely disagree	n=8 av.=4.38 md=4 dev.=0.52

						e, Cancer Diology,	
	arts Cancer Institute				<u>ل</u> م ا	Queen	Mary
20	ancer Biology (CANM902/OS) 016-17 SEM 1				<u>0-0</u>	University of Lo	ndon
N	o. of responses = 17 (25.76%)						
	egend						
	estion text	Absolute Frequencies of Relative Frequencies of		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25%		n=Nc	o. of responses
QU		L	Seelo 5		Right pole	av.=N md=I dev.=	
			Scale	Histogram		Qual	ty index
De	scription of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidel	s below the ine.	 Mean is within the range tolerance for the quality guideline. 	of 🚺 Mea	an value is withi lity guideline.	n the
1.	Rate this module						
1.1)	The module is well taught		Definitely agree		0% 0%	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=4.35 md=4 dev.=0.7
1.2)	The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance	e module have			0%	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.8
.3)	I have been given adequate feedb module	ack during the	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		1 9% 5.9%		n=17 av.=4.18 md=5 dev.=1.2
.4)	I have received sufficient advice an my studies on the module	nd support with	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	5 4 3 2 $5 4 3 2$ $5 4 3 12 2$ $5 4 3 2$	2 0 8% 0%	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=4.24 md=5 dev.=1.0
.5)	The module is well organised and	runs smoothly	Definitely agree	12 3 1 0 70.6% 17.6% 5.9% 0 5 4 3 2) 1 % 5.9%		n=17 av.=4.47 md=5 dev.=1.0
.6)	I had access to good learning reso module	urces for the	Definitely agree	<u> </u>) 1 % 5.9%		n=17 av.=4.35 md=5 dev.=1.1
.7)	The use of QMplus has made an a contribution to this module	ppropriate	Definitely agree	11 0 0 64.7% 29.4% 0% 0%) 1 % 5.9%	Definitely disagree	n=17 av.=4.47 md=5 dev.=1.0
1.8)	Overall I am satisfied with the qual module	ity of the		9 2 3 1 <u>56.3% 12.5% 18.8% 6.3</u>	1 3% <u>6.3%</u>		n=16 av.=4.06 md=5 dev.=1.2


Barts Cancer Institute Cancer Pharmacology (CANM903/OS) 2016-17 SEM 1			<u>L</u>	Queen University of Lo	Mary
No. of responses = 25 (53.19%)					
Relative Freque	ncies of answers Std. Dev.	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25%		- 1	
Question text	Left pole 5	4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=l md= dev.=	o. of responses Mean Median =Std. Dev. Abstention
	ocale	riistogram		Qual	ity index
Description of quality symbol Quality	value is below the guideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	Mea 🖬 Mea	n value is withi ity guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 	Definitely disagree	n=25 av.=4.04 md=4 dev.=0.8
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the module ha	AVE Definitely agree	7 10 5 2 29.2% 41.7% 20.8% 8.3% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.92 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback during module	the Definitely agree		0	Definitely disagree	n=25 av.=3.84 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support my studies on the module	with Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.92 md=4 dev.=0.9
^{.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoot	thly Definitely agree	11 8 4 1 45.8% 33.3% 16.7% 4.2%		0efinitely disagree	n=24 av.=4.21 md=4 dev.=0.8
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources for th module	Definitely agree	13 5 6 0 54.2% 20.8% 25% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=4.29 md=5 dev.=0.8
 .7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module 	Definitely agree	16		Definitely disagree	n=25 av.=4.4 md=5 dev.=0.5
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	7 <u>12</u> 3 3 28% 48% 12% 12%	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=25 av.=3.92 md=4 dev.=0.9

Barts Cancer Institute, Cancer Pharmacology, CANM903/OS

Barts Cancer Institute,	Pathology of Cancer.	CANM909/OS
Barto Garroor moutato,	. aanology of oanoon,	0,



Barts Cancer Institute,	Research Lab	Skills.	CANM938/OS
Barto Gartoor motitato,	1 toooda on Edo	o,	0,



						Search Methous,	
В	arts Cancer Institute					00000	Mon
20	esearch Methods (CANM937/O 016-17 SEM 1 o. of responses = 44 (63.77%)	S)			<u>B</u>	Queen University of Lo	IVIALY
IN	0. of responses = 44 (63.77%)						
Le	egend	Absolute Frequencies of	answersStd. Dev.	Mean Median			
	estion text	Relative Frequencies of	answers 25 25%	0 50 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25%	D : 14		. of responses
		L	eft pole		Right pole	dev.=	lean Aedian Std. Dev. Abstention
			Scale	Histogram			
						_	ty index
De	scription of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guidel	s below the ine.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	f 🚺 Mea 💆 qual	n value is withii ity guideline.	n the
1.	Rate this module						
1.1)	The module is well taught		Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=44 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=0.92
1.2)	The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance	e module have	Definitely agree	14 16 10 3 31.8% 36.4% 22.7% 6.89	6 2.3%	Definitely disagree	n=44 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=1.02
.3)	I have been given adequate feedba module	ack during the	Definitely agree			Definitely disagree	n=44 av.=3.52 md=4 dev.=1.21
.4)	I have received sufficient advice ar my studies on the module	nd support with	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 $15 15 11 25% 4.5%$ $34.1% 34.1% 25% 4.5%$ $5 4 3 2$		- — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	n=44 av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=1
.5)	The module is well organised and	runs smoothly	Definitely agree	20 14 7 2 45.5% 31.8% 15.9% 4.5%	1 %3%	Definitely disagree	n=44 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=1
.6)	I had access to good learning reso module	urces for the	Definitely agree	15 15 9 2 35.7% 35.7% 21.4% 4.89	6 2.4%	Definitely disagree	n=42 av.=3.98 md=4 dev.=1
.7)	The use of QMplus has made an a contribution to this module	ppropriate	Definitely agree			Definitely disagree	n=44 av.=4.32 md=5 dev.=0.88
1.8)	Overall I am satisfied with the qual module	ity of the	Definitely agree	$- \frac{5}{16} - \frac{4}{13} - \frac{3}{8} - \frac{6}{6}$ $- \frac{36.4\%}{29.5\%} \frac{29.5\%}{18.2\%} \frac{18.2\%}{13.6}$		Definitely disagree	n=44 av.=3.84 md=4 dev.=1.14