


Electronic Engineering and Computer Science


C Programming (ECS501U) No. of responses = 28 (59.57%)




Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of an Relative Frequencies of an Left		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=1 md=1 dev.= ab.=/	o. of responses Aean Vedian Std. Dev. Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is t quality guidelin	pelow the e.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	🚺 Me	ean value is withi ality guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module						
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	3 10.7% 42.9% 25% 21.4% 5 4 3 2	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=3.43 md=4 dev.=0.96
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking or been made clear in advance 	the module have	— — — — — — — — — — Definitely agree	9 14 2 3 32.1% 50% 7.1% 10.7% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=4.04 md=4 dev.=0.92
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fer module	edback during the	— — — — — — — — — — Definitely agree	6 8 8 6 21.4% 28.6% 28.6% 21.4% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=1.07
 ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advic my studies on the module 	e and support with	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	0% 0%	Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=3.46 md=3 dev.=0.96
^{1.5)} The module is well organised a	and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 21.4\% \\ 39.3\% \\ 14.3\% \\ 25\% \\ \hline \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{array}$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=3.57 md=4 dev.=1.1
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning r module	esources for the	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	1 3.6% 1	Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=3.61 md=4 dev.=1.07
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	an appropriate	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	9 32.1% 32.1% 25% 10.7% 5 4 3 2 5 4 3 2	0%	Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=1.01
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the o module	quality of the	Definitely agree	4 10 8 4 15.4% 38.5% 30.8% 15.4% 5 4 3 2	0%	Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=3.54 md=4 dev.=0.95 ab.=2


Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Queen Mary Creating Interactive Objects (ECS511U) University of London No. of responses = 11 (91.67%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 9 81.8% 0 0 0 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught 18.2% n=11 av.=4.18 md=4 dev.=0.4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 3 5 2 0 9.1% 63.6% 18.2% 9. 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=11 Definitely agree av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=0.79 Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ē 5 3 2 10 90.9% 0 0 0 1.3) 9.1% 0% 0% 0% n=11 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.3 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree HH-• module Δ 5 45.5% Π 27.3% 18.2% 9 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=11 av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=0.94 Definitely agree Ŵ. Definitely disagree . my studies on the module Õ -5 2 0 0 6 0 45.5% 54.5% 0 0 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=11 av.=4.45 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • dev.=0.52 5 Δ 3 2 6 0 0 36.4% 54.5% 9 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=11 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.27 md=4 dev.=0.65 -: module 3 5 7 0 4 0 0 . 36.4% . 63.6% 0 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=11 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 1 av.=4.36 md=4 dev.=0.5 • contribution to this module 3 2 5 2 0 0 63.6% 18.2% 18.2% 0% 0% 1.8) n=11 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.63 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • ŀ module







3



3

2

Electronic Engineering and Computer Science


Probability and Matrices (ECS509U) No. of responses = 100 (50.51%)



	blute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev ative Frequencies of answers 25 Left pole 5 Scale		Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is below the quality guideline.	 Mean is within the range o tolerance for the quality guideline. 	f 🚺 Mean val	ue is within the ideline.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agr	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 83 & 13 & 3 & 0 \\ 83\% & 13\% & 3\% & 0\% \\ \hline \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$		ely disagree n=100 av.=4.77 md=5 dev.=0.6
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the m been made clear in advance 	odule have Definitely agr	781920 78% _19% _2%0%	1 1%	ely disagree n=100 av.=4.73 md=5 dev.=0.6
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback module	c during the Definitely agr	$\begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & &$		n=99 av.=4.77 md=5 dev.=0.59 ab.=1
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and my studies on the module	support with Definitely agr	ee 5 4 3 2		ely disagree ely disagree md=5 dev.=0.65
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and rur	is smoothly Definitely agr	ee 5 4 3 2		ely disagree av.=4.77 md=5 dev.=0.6
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resource module	ces for the Definitely agr	ee 5 4 3 2		ely disagree ely disagree mt = 100 av.=4.58 mt = 5 dev.=0.73
 ^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an app contribution to this module 	ropriate Definitely agr	ee 5 4 3 2		n=99 av.=4.58 md=5 dev.=0.7 ab.=1
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality module	of the Definitely agr	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		ely disagree n=100 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.64

Electronic Engineering and Computer Science

Software Engineering (ECS505U) No. of responses = 130 (52.85%) Queen Mary



Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Queen Mary Website Design and Authoring (ECS507U) University of London No. of responses = 21 (53.85%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 2 10% n=20 av.=3.45 md=4 dev.=0.94 ab.=1 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught Definitely agree Definitely disagree e Õ 5 3 3 10 10% 10% 15% n=20 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have av.=3.4 md=4 dev.=1.31 ab.=1 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ٥ 5 3 2 4 4 4 1.3) 15% 20% 25% 20% n=20 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree av.=2.85 md=3 dev.=1.39 ab.=1 Definitely disagree н module : 5 З 6 4 30% 10% 20% n=20 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with av.=3.2 md=3 dev.=1.24 ab.=1 Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree ۲ my studies on the module ð 5 1 8 14.3% 38.1% 19% 19% 9.5% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=21 av.=3.29 md=4 dev.=1.23 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 Δ 3 2 1 6 2 n=20 av.=3.15 md=3 dev.=1.23 ab.=1 10% 1.6) 10% 35% 30% 15% I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 3 3 9 1 1 42.9% . 33.3% 14 Δ .8% 4.8% 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=21 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.57 md=4 dev.=0.98 н contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 5 23.8% 2 9.5% 33.3% 19% 14.3% 1.8) n=21 av.=3.24 md=3 dev.=1.22 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree F module Õ

5

3