
Big Data Processing (ECS640U) No. of responses = 46 (52.27%)



	egend estion text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lef		Mean 0 500 50% 4 3	Median 0 25 0% 25% 2 1 2 1 Histogram		Right pole	av= md= dev: ab.=	o. of re Mean Media Std. I Abste	Dev. ntion
De	scription of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	below the e.	Mean is v tolerance guideline.	for the qualit	ge of ty	M	lean value is withi uality guideline.	in the	9
1.	Rate this module									
1.1)	The module is well taught		Definitely agree		14 3 30.4% 6.5%	2 4.3%	0 0%	Definitely disagree		n=46 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.81
1.2)	The criteria used in marking on t been made clear in advance	he module have	Definitely agree		12 7 26.1% 15.2%	2	1 2.2% 1	Definitely disagree	•	n=46 av.=4.28 md=5 dev.=0.96
1.3)	I have been given adequate feed module	dback during the	Definitely agree		12 10 26.1% 21.7%	4 8.7% 2	0%	Definitely disagree	•	n=46 av.=4.04 md=4 dev.=1.01
1.4)	I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree		12 10 26.1% 21.7%	5 10.9% 2	0%	Definitely disagree	•	n=46 av.=3.98 md=4 dev.=1.04
— — 1.5)	The module is well organised an	d runs smoothly	— — — — — — — — — — — Definitely agree			2.2%	0%	Definitely disagree		n=45 av.=4.27 md=4 dev.=0.81 ab.=1
1.6)	I had access to good learning re module	sources for the	Definitely agree	24 52.2% 5	13 7 28.3% 15.2%	2 4.3%		Definitely disagree		n=46 av.=4.28 md=5 dev.=0.89
1.7)	The use of QMplus has made ar contribution to this module	n appropriate	— — — — — — — — — — — Definitely agree		<u>12</u> 7 7 <u>26.1% 15.2%</u> 4 3	2	0%	Definitely disagree		n=46 av.=4.37 md=5 dev.=0.83
1.8)	Overall I am satisfied with the qu module	uality of the	— — — — — — — — — — — Definitely agree			2 4.3%	0 0%	Definitely disagree		n=46 av.=4.3 md=5 dev.=0.87



Computer Graphics (ECS610U) No. of responses = 31 (59.62%)



Legend Question text		Mean Median 0 50% 0 25 0% 225% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of respon av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention	
Description of quality symbol	ue is below the ideline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	I Me	an value is within the ality guideline.	
1. Rate this module					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	2 6 15 6 6.5% 19.4% 48.4% 19.4% 5 4 3 2	2 6.5%	Definitely disagree av.=' md= dev.=	3
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	Definitely agree	4 12 9 4 12.9% 38.7% 29% 12.9% 5 4 3 2	6.5%	Definitely disagree av.= md= dev.=	3.39
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback during the module	Đ Definitely agree	2 3 14 9 6.5% 9.7% 45.2% 29% 5 4 3 2	3 9.7% 1	Definitely disagree n=33 av.=/ md= dev.s	2.74 -3
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support wi my studies on the module	th Definitely agree	0 0% 22.6% 54.8% 16.1% 5 4 3 2	2 6.5% 1	Definitely disagree n=31 av.=2 md= dev.=	2.94
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Y Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 6 6.5%	Definitely disagree av.=: md= dev:	3.16 ⊧3
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources for the module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 35.5\% \\ 32.3\% \\ 19.4\% \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{array} $	4 12.9%	Definitely disagree n=31 av.= md= dev.=	2.9
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree		7 22.6% 	Definitely disagree n=31 av.=: md= dev.:	2.52
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<u> </u>	Definitely disagree n=31 av.= md= dev:	2.84

Control Systems (ECS601U) No. of responses = 19 (76%)



Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of a Relative Frequencies of a Le		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbo	Mean value is e quality guidelir	below the ne.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	🚺 Me	ean value is within the ality guideline.
1. Rate this module					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0%	Definitely disagree n=19 av.=4.47 md=5 dev.=0.6
^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking been made clear in advance	on the module have	Definitely agree	11 6 2 0 57.9% 31.6% 10.5% 0% 1 5 4 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree n=19 av.=4.47 md=5 dev.=0.7
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate module	e feedback during the	Definitely agree	9 47.4% 31.6% 21.1% 0% 5 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 2	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree n=19 av.=4.26 md=4 dev.=0.8
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient ac my studies on the module	lvice and support with	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree n=19 av.=4.37 md=5 dev.=0.8
^{1.5)} The module is well organise	ed and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c} 8 \\ 42.1\% \\ 47.4\% \\ 5.3\% \\ 5.$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree n=19 av.=4.26 md=4 dev.=0.8
^{1.6)} I had access to good learnin module	ng resources for the	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 9 & 6 & 3 & 0 \\ 50\% & 33.3\% & 16.7\% & 0\% \\ \hline \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree n=18 av.=4.33 dev.=0.7 ab.=1
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made contribution to this module	de an appropriate	Definitely agree	7 8 3 1 36.8% 42.1% 15.8% 5.3% 5 4 3 2	0%	Definitely disagree n=19 av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=0.8
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with th module	ne quality of the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0%	Definitely disagree n=19 av.=4.37 md=5 dev.=0.7

Data Mining (ECS607U) No. of responses = 67 (69.07%)



		e Frequencies of ansi e Frequencies of ansi Left p	wers 25 25%	Mean Mec 0 50 09 4 3 2 Histor	25 25% → 1	Right pole	av.= md= dev: ab.=	o. of re Media =Std. l Abste	Dev. ntion
De	scription of quality symbol	Mean value is be quality guideline.	elow the	 Mean is within tolerance for the guideline. 	the range of ne quality	Di M	ean value is withi uality guideline.	in the	e
1.	Rate this module								
1.1)	The module is well taught		Definitely agree	12 17.9% 20.9%	16 19 23.9% 28.4%	6 9% 1	Definitely disagree	e	n=67 av.=3.1 md=3 dev.=1.26
1.2)	The criteria used in marking on the moc been made clear in advance	dule have	Definitely agree		16 10 23.9% 14.9%	2 3% 1	Definitely disagree	Ð	n=67 av.=3.64 md=4 dev.=1.12
1.3)	I have been given adequate feedback d module	luring the	Definitely agree	10 18 14.9% 26.9%	21 12 31.3% 17.9%	6 9% 1	Definitely disagree	•	n=67 av.=3.21 md=3 dev.=1.17
1.4)	I have received sufficient advice and su my studies on the module	pport with	Definitely agree		23 11 34.3% 16.4%	5 7.5%	Definitely disagree	•	n=67 av.=3.27 md=3 dev.=1.15
 1.5)	The module is well organised and runs	smoothly	Definitely agree	10 20 14.9% 29.9%	<u>19</u> <u>14</u> <u>28.4%</u> <u>20.9%</u> <u>3</u> <u>2</u>	4 6% 1	Definitely disagree	•	n=67 av.=3.27 md=3 dev.=1.14
1.6)	I had access to good learning resources module	s for the	Definitely agree		19 - 11 29.2% 16.9% 3 2	3 4.6% 1	Definitely disagree	•	n=65 av.=3.4 md=3 dev.=1.1 ab.=2
1.7)	The use of QMplus has made an appro contribution to this module	priate	Definitely agree	16 20 24.6% 30.8%	15 7 7 23.1% 10.8%	7 10.8% 1	Definitely disagree	•	n=65 av.=3.48 md=4 dev.=1.28 ab.=2
— — 1.8)	Overall I am satisfied with the quality of module	the	Definitely agree	10 16 14.9% 23.9% 5 4	23 12 34.3% 17.9%	6 9%	Definitely disagree	•	n=67 av.=3.18 md=3 dev.=1.17

Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Queen Mary Design for Human Interaction (ECS638U) University of London No. of responses = 34 (65.38%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 7 <u>20.6%</u> 4 11.8% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=34 av.=3.53 md=4 dev.=0.9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree e Õ 5 3 10 29.4% 10 q 29.4% 26.5% 11.8% 2.9% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=34 Definitely agree av.=2.85 md=3 dev.=1.08 Definitely disagree been made clear in advance 8 5 3 6 10 6 9 29.4% 17.6% 26.5% 1.3) 17.6% 8.8% n=34 av.=3.21 md=3 dev.=1.27 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree н module Õ 8 a 10 6 26.5% 29.4% 2.9% 23.5% 17 .6% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=34 Definitely agree Definitely disagree . av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=1.13 my studies on the module Õ 5 15 5 6 20.6% 14.7% 44.1% 17.6% 2.9% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=34 av.=3.5 md=4 dev.=1.05 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Ŀ Õ 5 Δ 3 13 0 26.5% 38.2% 23.5% 11.8% 1.6) 0% n=34 av.=3.79 md=4 dev.=0.98 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 5 11 12 6 5 0 32.4% 35 17.6% 14 7% 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=34 Definitely agree 1 Definitely disagree n=34 av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=1.05 ۲ contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 9 11 10 26.5% 29.4% 2.9% 32.4% 8.8% 1.8) n=34 av.=3.15 md=3 dev.=1.05 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree ŀ module Õ

5

3

Digital Systems Design (ECS615U) No. of responses = 13 (65%)




Legend Question text		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	e is below the deline.	 Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline. 	Mean valu	ue is within the ideline.
1. Rate this module				
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	7.7% 61.5% 23.1% 0% 5 4 3 2	1 7.7% Definited	ly disagree n=13 av.=3.62 md=4 dev.=0.96
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance 	Definitely agree	1 7 3 1 7.7% 53.8% 23.1% 7.7% 5 4 3 2		ly disagree av.=3.46 md=4 dev.=1.05
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback during the module	Definitely agree	7.7% 38.5% 30.8% 15.4% 5 4 3 2		ly disagree n=13 av.=3.23 md=3 dev.=1.09
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support wit my studies on the module	h Definitely agree	7.7% 53.8% 23.1% 15.4% 5 4 3 2		ly disagree av.=3.54 md=4 dev.=0.88
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly	/ Definitely agree	2 4 3 4 15.4% 30.8% 23.1% 30.8% 5 4 3 2		ly disagree ■ av.=3.31 ■ md=3 dev.=1.11
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources for the module	Definitely agree	4 5 3 1 1 30.8% 38.5% 23.1% 7.7% 5 4 3 2		n=13 av.=3.92 md=4 dev.=0.95
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 3 & 6 & 4 & 0 \\ 23.1\% & 46.2\% & 30.8\% & 0\% \\ \hline & & & & & \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$	0 0% 0% Definited	ly disagree ∎ av.=3.92 md=4 dev.=0.76
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		n=13 av.=3.54 md=4 dev.=0.78

Embedded Systems (ECS642U) No. of responses = 19 (50%)




Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lefi		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Meaia md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	pelow the e.	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	🊺 Me	an value is within the ality guideline.
1. Rate this module					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught		Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0%	Definitely disagree n=19 av.=4.32 md=4 dev.=0.82
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking or been made clear in advance 	n the module have	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree av.=4.21 md=4 dev.=0.98
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate fe module	edback during the	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	Definitely disagree n=19 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.91
^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advic my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree n=18 av.=4.22 md=4 dev.=0.65 ab.=1
^{1.5)} The module is well organised a	and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree n=19 av.=4.21 md=4 dev.=0.79
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning module	resources for the	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree n=19 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.62
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made contribution to this module	an appropriate	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree n=19 av.=4.16 md=4 dev.=0.69
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the module	quality of the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree n=19 av.=4.16 md=4 dev.=0.76



Interaction Design (ECS612U) No. of responses = 64 (52.03%)



Legend Absolute Frequencie Relative Frequencie	es of answers Std. Dev. es of answers 25 Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=Me md=Me dev.=S	dian d. Dev. stention
Description of quality symbol	ie is below the deline.	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	S Me	ean value is within ality guideline.	the
1. Rate this module					
^{1.1)} The module is well taught	Definitely agree	24 28 7 3 37.5% 43.8% 10.9% 4.7%	2 3.1%	Definitely disagree	n=64 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.98
 ^{1.2)} The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance 	Definitely agree	25 24 10 2 39.1% 37.5% 15.6% 3.1%	4.7%	Definitely disagree	n=64 av.=4.03 md=4 dev.=1.05
^{1.3)} I have been given adequate feedback during the module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 1.6% 1		n=64 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=0.96
 ^{1.4)} I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module 	h Definitely agree	24 21 14 4 38.1% 33.3% 22.2% 6.3% 5 4 3 2	0% 0%	Definitely disagree	n=63 av.=4.03 md=4 dev.=0.93 ab.=1
^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly	/ Definitely agree	25 26 9 3 39.1% 40.6% 14.1% 4.7%	1 1.6% 1	Definitely disagree	n=64 av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=0.93
^{1.6)} I had access to good learning resources for the module	Definitely agree	25 27 8 4 39.1% 42.2% 12.5% 6.3% 5 4 3 2	0% 0%	Definitely disagree	n=64 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.87
^{1.7)} The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	24 26 10 3 37.5% 40.6% 15.6% 4.7%	1.6%	Definitely disagree	n=64 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.93
^{1.8)} Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	22 29 9 4 34.4% 45.3% 14.1% 6.3% 5 4 3 2	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=64 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=0.86



Power Electronics (ECS643U) No. of responses = 14 (66.67%)



Leg		Absolute Frequencies of ansu Relative Frequencies of ansu Left p	wers 25 25%	Mean 0 50 0% 50% 4 3	Median 0% 0% 1 2 Histogram	25 25%	Right pole	av. md dev	No. of r =Mean =Media /.=Std. =Abste	n Dev.
Descri	iption of quality symbol	Mean value is be quality guideline.	elow the	Mean is	within the	range of		lean value is with uality guideline.	ality ind	
				guideline	9.		- 1			
	e module is well taught		Definitely agree	0%	0 0% 14	2 4 .3% 28.6%	8 57.1%	Definitely disagree		n=14 av.=1.57 md=1 dev.=0.76
^{1.2)} The bee	e criteria used in marking on th en made clear in advance	ne module have	Definitely agree			3 2 3 4 4% 28.6%	1 5 35.7%	Definitely disagree		n=14 av.=2.21 md=2 dev.=1.25
^{1.3)} I ha mo	ave been given adequate feed odule	back during the	Definitely agree	5 0	7.1% 28	$\frac{3}{4} - \frac{2}{4} - \frac{2}$	$\frac{1}{5}$ 35.7%	Definitely disagree		n=14 av.=2.07 md=2 dev.=1
^{1.4)} I ha my	ave received sufficient advice a studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	0		$\frac{3}{4} - \frac{2}{8}$ $\frac{6\%}{57.1\%}$ 3 2	$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$	Definitely disagree		n=14 av.=2.14 md=2 dev.=0.66
^{1.5)} The	e module is well organised and	d runs smoothly	Definitely agree	0%	1 7.1% 21	3		Definitely disagree		n=14 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0.96
^{1.6)} I ha mo	ad access to good learning res odule	sources for the	Definitely agree	0 		7 4 0% 28.6% 3 2	2 14.3% 1	Definitely disagree		n=14 av.=2.5 md=3 dev.=0.85
cor	e use of QMplus has made an ntribution this module	appropriate	Definitely agree	1 7.1%		6 3 .9% 21.4%	14.3%	Definitely disagree		n=14 av.=2.79 md=3 dev.=1.12
^{1.8)} Ove mo	erall I am satisfied with the quantum of the quantu	ality of the	Definitely agree	0 	7.1% 14	2 2 3% 14.3%	9 64.3%	Definitely disagree		n=14 av.=1.64 md=1 dev.=1.01

Electronic Engineering and Computer Science Queen Mary Project Risk Management (ECS609U) No. of responses = 7 (63.64%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers _0 0% n 50 n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 2 28.6% 0 0 0% ^{1.1)} The module is well taught n=7 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 3 2 0 0 28.6% 28.6% 42.9% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=7 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • been made clear in advance 2 5 0 0 28.6% 28.6% 1.3) 42.9% 0% 0% n=7 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.9 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 3 0 Π 42 .9% 14 42.9% . 3% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=7 av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.76 Definitely agree . 🕈 Definitely disagree . my studies on the module 5 1 2 0 0 42.9% 28.6% 28.6% 09 0% ^{1.5)} The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=7 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • 5 Δ 3 2 0 0 28.6% . 57.1% 14 1.6) 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=7 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 1 n=7 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.79 • module 5 0 3 2 2 0 42.9% 28.6% 28.6% 0 0 1.7) n=7 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.9 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree • contribution to this module 3 2 0 0 3 14 42.9% 42.9% .3% 0% 0% 1.8) n=7 av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.76 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module

3

