#### School of Geography, Geographies of Democracy, GEG6133

#### School of Geography

Geographies of Democracy (GEG6133) No. of responses = 31 (70.45%)



Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of an Relative Frequencies of an Lef		Mean Media 0 50 0 0% 50% 0% 4 3 2 Histogr	25 25% 	Right pole	av.= md= dev. ab.=	o. of responses Mean Median =Std. Dev. Abstention
Description of quality symbol	<ul> <li>Mean value is quality guidelin</li> </ul>	below the e.	Mean is within t tolerance for the guideline.		M	lean value is with uality guideline.	in the
1. Rate this module							
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught		Definitely agree	27 3 90% 10%	0 0 0% 0% 3 2	00%	Definitely disagree	n=30 av.=4.9 md=5 dev.=0.31
<sup>1.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking been made clear in advance	on the module have	Definitely agree	20 64.5% 29%	$ \begin{array}{c}     - 1 \\     - 1 $	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=31 av.=4.55 md=5 dev.=0.72
<sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate t module	feedback during the	Definitely agree		5 0 16.7% 0%	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=30 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.77
<sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient adv my studies on the module	vice and support with	Definitely agree	73.3% 23.3%		0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=30 av.=4.7 md=5 dev.=0.53
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised	and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	22 7 75.9% 24.1%		0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=29 av.=4.76 md=5 dev.=0.44
<sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning module	g resources for the	Definitely agree		3 10% 3.3% 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=30 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.82
<ul> <li><sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made contribution to this module</li> </ul>	e an appropriate	Definitely agree	$\overline{1} = -\overline{14} = -\overline{10} = -$	4 1 13.3% 3.3% 	1 3.3% 1	Definitely disagree	n=30 av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=1.02
<sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the module	e quality of the	Definitely agree	21 8 72.4% 27.6%		0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=29 av.=4.72 md=5 dev.=0.45

## School of Geography

School of Geography			١. Q	Queen	Marv
Geographies of Health and Place (GEG6136) No. of responses = 14 (73.68%)				University of Lo	ondon
Legend Absolute Frequencies Relative Frequencies Question text		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.= md= dev: ab.=	o, of responses Mean Std. Dev. Abstention
Description of quality symbol I Mean value quality guide	is below the eline.	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	💽 Mea	n value is withi ity guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module					
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught	Definitely agree	10 4 0 0 71.4% 28.6% 0% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.47
<ul> <li><sup>1.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance</li> </ul>	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.43 md=4.5 dev.=0.65
<sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate feedback during the module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.65
<ul> <li><sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module</li> </ul>	Definitely agree	8         6         0         0           57.1%         42.9%         0%         0%           1         1         1         1           5         4         3         2		Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.51
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	12         2         0         0           85.7%         14.3%         0%         0%           5         4         3         2		Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.86 md=5 dev.=0.36
<ul> <li><sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning resources for the module</li> </ul>	Definitely agree	10     4     0     0       71.4%     28.6%     0%     0%       5     4     3     2			n=14 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.47
<ul> <li><sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module</li> </ul>	Definitely agree	9 2 2 1 64.3% 14.3% 7.1% 5 4 3 2	0%I	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.36 md=5 dev.=1.01
<sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	10     4     0     0       71.4%     28.6%     0%     0%       1     1     1     1       5     4     3     2	0%I		n=14 av.=4.71 md=5 dev.=0.47

		School c	of Geography, Ge	eographies of Nature, GEG6128
School of Geography Geographies of Nature (GEG6 No. of responses = 25 (56.82%)	128)		<u>k</u>	Queen Mary University of London
Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of answers Relative Frequencies of answers Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is below the quality guideline.	<ul> <li>Mean is within the range of</li> <li>tolerance for the quality guideline.</li> </ul>	🂽 Meai 😂 quali	n value is within the ty guideline.
1. Rate this module				
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught	Definitely agre			efinitely disagree av=4.35 nd=4 dev=0.57
<ul> <li><sup>1.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance</li> </ul>	the module have Definitely agree	$e \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 D	efinitely disagree n=25 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.87

— – 1.3)	I have been given adequate feedback during the module	Definitely agree	7 8 8 0 0 30.4% 34.8% 34.8% 0% 0% D% Definitely disagree
1.4)	I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1 9 8 5 0 0 40.9% 36.4% 22.7% 0% 0% Definitely disagree
 1.5)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	5         4         3         2         1           12         9         3         0         0           50%         37.5%         12.5%         0%         0%
 1.6)	I had access to good learning resources for the module	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1 12 6 6 0 0 50% 25% 25% 0% 0% Definitely disagree
1.7)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2 1 $47.8% 34.8% 17.4% 0% 0% 0%$ $5 4 3 2 1$ Definitely disagree
— — 1.8)	Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the		8 13 2 0 0 34.8% 56.5% 8.7% 0% 0%

# <sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely agree

-

3

n=23 av.=3.96 md=4 dev.=0.82

n=22 av.=4.18 md=4 dev.=0.8

n=24 av.=4.38 md=4.5 dev.=0.71

n=24 av.=4.25 md=4.5 dev.=0.85

n=23 av.=4.3 md=4 dev.=0.76

n=23 av.=4.26 md=4 dev.=0.62

• •

.

•

•

Definitely disagree

School of Geography Geography, Technology and S No. of responses = 25 (75.76%)	ociety (GEG6134)			<u>k</u>	Queen University of Lo	Mary
Legend Question text			Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.= md= dev. ab.=	o. of responses Mean Median =Std. Dev. Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is b quality guideline	elow the	<ul> <li>Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.</li> </ul>	🂽 Mea	n value is with ity guideline.	in the
1. Rate this module						
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught		Definitely agree	4 8 5 2 18.2% 36.4% 22.7% 9.1% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=22 av.=3.36 md=4 dev.=1.29
<sup>1.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c}             4 & 9 & 6 & 1 \\             16\% & 36\% & 24\% & 4\% \\             \hline             5 & 4 & 3 & 2         \end{array} $	5 20%	- — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	n=25 av.=3.24 md=4 dev.=1.36
<sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate fee module	edback during the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=25 av.=4.16 md=4 dev.=1.03
<sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=1.01
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised a	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	6         8         4         3           25%         33.3%         16.7%         12.5%           5         4         3         2		Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.46 md=4 dev.=1.35
<sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning module	esources for the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=25 av.=4.04 md=4 dev.=0.93
<ul> <li><sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module</li> </ul>	an appropriate	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=25 av.=4.32 md=4 dev.=0.69
<sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the q module	juality of the	— — — — — — — — — — Definitely agree	4 10 5 4 16% 40% 20% 16% 5 4 3 2	2 8% 1	- — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	n=25 av.=3.4 md=4 dev.=1.19

School of Geography, Geography, Technology and Society, GEG6134

#### School of Geography

Geopolitics post-9/11: War, Security, Economy (GEG6130) No. of responses = 23 (35.94%)




	osolute Frequencies of ans elative Frequencies of ans Left	swers 25 25%	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Meain md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is b quality guideline	elow the	Mean is within the ran tolerance for the qualit guideline.	ge of 💽 M y 🔄 qu	ean value is within the ality guideline.
1. Rate this module					
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught		Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 9 & 11 & 1 \\ 40.9\% & 50\% & 4.5\% \\ \hline \\ 5 & 4 & 3 \end{array}$	1 0 4.5% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree av=4.27 md=4 dev.=0.77
<sup>1.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on the i been made clear in advance	module have	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 1	Definitely disagree n=23 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=0.72
<sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate feedbac module	ck during the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree av.=3.48 dev.=0.68
<ul> <li><sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice and my studies on the module</li> </ul>	d support with	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1         0           4.3%         0%           2         1	Definitely disagree av.=3.87 md=4 dev.=0.81
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and ru	uns smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<u>1</u> 0 <u>4.3% 0%</u> <u>2</u> 1	Definitely disagree av=4.57 md=5 dev.=0.73
<sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning resou module	rces for the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree n=23 av.=4,43 md=4 dev.=0.59
<ul> <li><sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made an ap contribution to this module</li> </ul>	propriate	Definitely agree	9 8 4 39.1% 34.8% 17.4% 5 4 3	2 0 8.7% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree n=23 av.=4.04 md=4 dev.=0.98
<sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the quality module	y of the	Definitely agree	11 9 2 47.8% 39.1% 8.7% 5 4 39.1% 8.7% 5 4 39.1% 8.7% 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1         0           4.3%         0%           2         1	Definitely disagree av.=4.3 md=4 dev.=0.82

### School of Geography

Integrated Catchment Management (GEG6218) No. of responses = 8 (57.14%)



Legend Question text	cies of answers Std. Dev. 25 25% Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	lue is below the uideline.	<ul> <li>Mean is within the range of</li> <li>tolerance for the quality guideline.</li> </ul>	Mean va uality g	alue is within the uideline.
1. Rate this module				
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Defini 1	tely disagree I av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.64
<ul> <li><sup>1.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance</li> </ul>	/e Definitely agree	0 0% 62.5% 37.5% 0% 5 4 3 2	0 Defini	tely disagree av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=0.52
<ul> <li><sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate feedback during the module</li> </ul>	1e Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		tely disagree av.=3.29 md=3 dev.=0.76
<ul> <li><sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice and support w my studies on the module</li> </ul>	ith Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		tely disagree av.=3.38 md=3.5 dev.=0.74
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smooth	ly Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		tely disagree av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=0.92
<ul> <li><sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning resources for the module</li> </ul>	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Defini 1	tely disagree av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.53
<sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% Defini	n=8 av.=4.13 md=4 dev.=0.64
<sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	12.5% 37.5% 37.5% 12.5% 5 4 3 2		n=8 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=0.93



19.04.2017

#### School of Geography Queen Mary Readings in Geography: Geographies of Democracy (GEG6005) No. of responses = 10 (55.56%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers \_0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 9 90% 0 0 0 0% <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught 0% n=10 av.=4.9 md=5 dev.=0.32 Definitely agree Definitely disagree H • 5 3 2 4 7 3 ٥ 0 0 70% 30 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=10 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=10 av.=4.7 md=5 dev.=0.48 H. • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 9 0 0 0 1.3) 90% 0 0% 10% n=10 av.=4.9 md=5 dev.=0.32 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree 1 • module 5 Δ a 0 0 0 Π 100% 0 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=5 md=5 dev.=0 . my studies on the module 5 4 3 2 10 0 0 0 0 1009 0 0 0 0% <sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=10 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • av.=5 md=5 dev.=0 5 3 Δ 2 5 0 0 0 1.6) 50% 50% 0% I had access to good learning resources for the n=10 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.53 : module 5 3 0 5 4 1 0 40% 1.7) 50% The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=10 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Π • av.=4.4 md=4.5 dev.=0.7 contribution to this module 5 3 2 9 0 0 0 0 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1.8) n=9 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module

3

Δ

5

2

#### School of Geography

School of Geography Terrestrial Vegetation Modelling (GEG6223) No. of responses = 6 (100%)			<u>k</u> Q4	Queen University of Lo	Mary
Legend Question text	s of answers Std. Dev. s of answers 25 Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50% 0 25% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=  md= dev: ab.=	b. of responses Vean Median Std. Dev. Abstention
aquality guid	e is below the deline.	<ul> <li>Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.</li> </ul>	🌒 Mea 💆 qual	n value is withi ity guideline.	n the
<ol> <li>Rate this module</li> <li><sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught</li> </ol>	Definitely agree	6         0         0         0           100%         0%         0%         0%           1         1         1         1           5         4         3         2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
<ul> <li><sup>1.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance</li> </ul>	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0 1	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.52
<ul> <li><sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate feedback during the module</li> </ul>	Definitely agree	$5  1  0  0 \\ 83.3\%  16.7\%  0\%  0\% \\ \hline 10^{-1}  $	  	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=4.83 md=5 dev.=0.41
<sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	[ 	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
<ul> <li><sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning resources for the module</li> </ul>	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=6 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0

5 83.3% 16.7% <sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made an appropriate 0% 0% 0% n=6 av.=4.83 md=5 dev.=0.41 Definitely agree Definitely disagree \* • contribution to this module 5 3 2 4 1 0 0% 6 0 0 0% <sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the 100% 0% 0% n=6 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0 Definitely agree Definitely disagree 1 : module 5 4 3 2 1

19.04.2017