#### School of Mathematical Sciences Queen Mary Algebraic Structures II (MTH6104/MTH6104P) University of London No. of responses = 32 (69.57%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers \_0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 23 71.9% 0 0 0 0% 0% <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught n=32 av.=4.72 md=5 dev.=0.46 Definitely agree Definitely disagree **ب** • 5 3 2 q 20 0 0 6 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=31 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=31 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.56 ×. • been made clear in advance 5 3 2 20 9 0 1.3) 64.5% 29% 3 0% 3.2% 2% n=31 av.=4.52 md=5 dev.=0.85 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • F module 15 46.9% 15 0 Π 46.9% 6 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=32 av.=4.41 md=4 dev.=0.61 Definitely agree Definitely disagree . my studies on the module 5 3 0 22 68.8% 21.9% 3.1% 6. 0% 3% <sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=32 av.=4.53 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree -• dev.=0.84 2 5 3 15 0 0 37.5% 46.9% 1.6) 0% n=32 av.=4.22 md=4 dev.=0.71 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree : module 5 12 11 5 4 0 37.5% 34 15.6% 12 4% 5% 0 1.7) n=32 av.=3.97 md=4 dev.=1.03 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 11 0 0 19 35.5% 61.3% 3 0% 0% 1.8) .2% n=31 av.=4.58 md=5 dev.=0.56 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree H Definitely disagree • module 5 2

Chaos and Fractals (MTH6107/MTH6107P) No. of responses = 17 (48.57%)



Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of answers. Relative Frequencies of answers. Left pole	Std. Dev. Mean 25 0 50 25% 0% 50 5 4 3	% <u>0%</u> 25%	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is below guideline.	the I Mean is tolerand guidelin	s within the range of ce for the quality e.	Mean value is quality guideli	within the ne.
1. Rate this module					
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught	De	finitely agree	29.4% 41.2% 0% 4 3 2	0 0% Definitely disa	n=17 av.=3.88 ■ md=4 dev.=0.86
<sup>1.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance	e module have <sub>De</sub>	efinitely agree	3 4 5 17.6% 23.5% 29.4% 4 3 2	2 11.8% Definitely disa	n=17 av.=3 md=3 dev.=1.32
<sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate feedb module	pack during the De	efinitely agree	2 5 4 11.8% 29.4% 23.5% 4 3 2	A 23.5% H Definitely disa	n=17 av.=2.65 md=3 dev.=1.32
<sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice a my studies on the module	nd support with <sub>De</sub>	finitely agree	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 17.6\% \\ 35.3\% \\ 23.5\% \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{array}$	1 5.9% Definitely disa	n=17 av.=3.18 md=3 dev.=1.19
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and	runs smoothly <sub>De</sub>	efinitely agree	5     2     4       31.3%     12.5%     25%       4     3     2	1 6.3% Definitely disa	n=16 av.=3.44 md=4 dev.=1.31
<sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning reso module	purces for the De	finitely agree	2 3 6 12.5% 18.8% 37.5% 4 3 2	2 12.5% Definitely disa	n=16 av.=2.88 md=2.5 dev.=1.36
<sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made an a contribution to this module	appropriate <sub>De</sub>	efinitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 5.9% Definitely disa	n=17 av.=3.29 md=3 dev.=1.16
<sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the qua module	lity of the De	efinitely agree	4 3 2	1 5.9% Definitely disa	n=17 av.=3.41 md=3 dev.=1.23

Combinatorics (MTH6109/MTH6109P) No. of responses = 27 (47.37%)




	Absolute Frequencies of answe Relative Frequencies of answe Left pole	e 25 5	Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of av.=Meau md=Med dev.=Std ab.=Abst	ian . Dev.
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is belo quality guideline.	ow the	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	🚺 Me	Quality ir ean value is within the ality guideline.	
1. Rate this module						
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught		Definitely agree	13 7 5 2 48.1% 25.9% 18.5% 7.4%	00%	Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=0.99
<ul> <li><sup>1.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on the been made clear in advance</li> </ul>	e module have	Definitely agree	9 8 3 7 33.3% 29.6% 11.1% 25.9% 5 4 3 2	0	Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=3.7 md=4 dev.=1.2
<sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate feedb module	ack during the	Definitely agree	14 6 5 2 51.9% 22.2% 18.5% 7.4%		Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.19 md=5 dev.=1
<sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice an my studies on the module	nd support with	Definitely agree	13 8 3 3 48.1% 29.6% 11.1% 11.1% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.15 md=4 dev.=1.03
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and	runs smoothly	Definitely agree	16 5 4 1 61.5% 19.2% 15.4% 3.8%		Definitely disagree	n=26 av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=0.9
<ul> <li><sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning reso module</li> </ul>	urces for the	Definitely agree	14 6 4 2 51.9% 22.2% 14.8% 7.4%	1 3.7% 1	Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.11 md=5 dev.=1.15
<ul> <li><sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made an a contribution to this module</li> </ul>	ppropriate	Definitely agree	11 10 2 3 40.7% 37% 7.4% 11.1%	1	Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.14
<sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the qual module	ity of the	Definitely agree	13 7 4 2 48.1% 25.9% 14.8% 7.4%	1 3.7% 1	Definitely disagree	n=27 av.=4.07 md=4 dev.=1.14

Cryptography (MTH6115/MTH6115P) No. of responses = 46 (49.46%)

Queen Mary	1
------------	---

Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Left		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=  md= dev.= ab.=	o, of responses Wean Median ~Std. Dev. Abstention
					Qual	ity index
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	below the e.	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	I Ma	ean value is withi iality guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module						
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught		Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	Definitely disagree	n=45 av=3.87 md=4 dev.=0.84
<ul> <li><sup>1.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance</li> </ul>	the module have	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 2.2% 1	Definitely disagree	n=46 av.=3.37 md=3 dev.=1.12
<sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate fea module	edback during the	Definitely agree	8         17         14         4           17.8%         37.8%         31.1%         8.9%           5         4         3         2	2 4.4%	Definitely disagree	■ n=45 av.=3.56 md=4 dev.=1.03
<ul> <li><sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advic my studies on the module</li> </ul>	e and support with	Definitely agree	8         15         17         6           17.4%         32.6%         37%         13%           5         4         3         2	0% 0%	Definitely disagree	■ n=46 av.=3.54 ■ md=3.5 dev.=0.94
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised a	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 4.3%	Definitely disagree	■ n=46 av.=3.54 md=4 dev.=1.15
<sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning r module	esources for the	Definitely agree	8         16         15         4           17.8%         35.6%         33.3%         8.9%           5         4         3         2	2 4.4%	Definitely disagree	■ n=45 av.=3.53 md=4 dev.=1.04
<sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	an appropriate	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 4.4%	Definitely disagree	n=45 av.=3.73 md=4 dev.=1.07
<sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the o module	quality of the	Definitely agree	9 19.6% 45.7% 13% 19.6% 5 4 3 2	1 2.2%	Definitely disagree	n=46 av.=3.61 md=4 dev.=1.08



Linear Algebra II (MTH6140/MTH6140P) No. of responses = 28 (37.33%)



Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lefi		Mean Median 0 50 0 0% 50% 0% 4 3 2 Histogram	25 25% 1	Right pole	av.=M md=1 dev.= ab.=/	o. of responses Vean Vedian •Std. Dev. Abstention
						Qual	ity index
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	pelow the g	Mean is within the tolerance for the oguideline.	e range of quality	🂽 Me	ean value is withi ality guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module							
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught		Definitely agree		4 1 4.3% 3.6%	1 3.6% 1	Definitely disagree	■ n=28 av.=3.89 md=4 dev.=0.92
<sup>1.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking been made clear in advance	on the module have	Definitely agree	5 9 17.9% 32.1% 38 5 4	10 3 5.7% 10.7%	1 3.6% 1	Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=1.04
<sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate module	feedback during the	Definitely agree		3 2 0.7% 7.1% 3 2	0 	Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=4.14 md=4 dev.=0.89
<sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient ad my studies on the module	vice and support with	Definitely agree	8 28.6% 28.6% 28 5 4	8 3 3.6% 10.7%	1 3.6% 1	Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=3.68 md=4 dev.=1.12
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organise	d and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	13 9 46.4% 32.1% 7	2 4 .1% 14.3% H		Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=4.11 md=4 dev.=1.07
<sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learnin module	g resources for the	Definitely agree	10 7 35.7% 25% 32 5 4	9 1 2.1% 3.6% 1 3 2	1 3.6% 1	Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=1.08
<ul> <li><sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has mad contribution to this module</li> </ul>	e an appropriate	Definitely agree			2 7.1% 1	Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=3.96 md=4 dev.=1.17
<sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with th module	e quality of the	Definitely agree	7 12 25% 42.9% 21 5 4	6 1 1.4% 3.6% 3.6%	2 7.1% 1	Definitely disagree	n=28 av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1.11

Relativity (MTH6132/MTH6132P) No. of responses = 31 (60.78%)



	Absolute Frequencie Relative Frequencie	es of answers Std. Dev. 25 25% Left pole 5 Scale	Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention
De	scription of quality symbol	e is below the deline.	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	) Me	Quality index an value is within the ality guideline.
1.	Rate this module				
1.1)	The module is well taught	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	00%	Definitely disagree n=31 av.=4.58 md=5 dev.=0.5
— — 1.2)	The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	Definitely disagree n=31 av.=4.16 md=4 dev.=0.73
1.3)	I have been given adequate feedback during the module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 3.2% 1	Definitely disagree n=31 av.=4.32 md=5 dev.=0.94
1.4)	I have received sufficient advice and support wit my studies on the module	h Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<u>0</u>	Definitely disagree n=31 av.=4.23 md=4 dev.=0.76
— — 1.5)	The module is well organised and runs smoothly	/ Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree n=31 av.=4.26 md=4 dev.=0.68
1.6)	I had access to good learning resources for the module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0% 1	Definitely disagree n=31 av.=3.94 md=4 dev.=0.85
1.7)	The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0%	Definitely disagree n=31 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.89
— — 1.8)	Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree n=31 av.=4.19 md=4 dev.=0.79

#### School of Mathematical Sciences Queen Mary Statistical Modelling II (MTH6134/MTH6134P) No. of responses = 48 (52.17%) University of London Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers \_0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 31 64.6% 0 0% <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught n=48 av.=4.56 md=5 dev.=0.68 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Ц • 5 3 2 14 21 10 0 0 46. 22 31 1% . 7% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=45 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=45 av.=4.09 md=4 dev.=0.73 • been made clear in advance 5 2 27 57.4% 0 14 3 3 29.8% 1.3) 6.4% 6.4% 0% n=47 av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=0.87 I have been given adequate feedback during the Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 5 20 17 Π 43.5% 37 15.2% Δ 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=46 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.86 Definitely agree 11 Definitely disagree . my studies on the module 5 2 33 71.7% 10 0 0 21.7% 6.5% 09 0% <sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=46 av.=4.65 md=5 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • dev.=0.6 5 3 Δ 22 16 3 46.8% 10.6% 2 1.6) .4% 1% I had access to good learning resources for the n=47 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.17 md=4 dev.=1.01 • F module 5 4 3 0 16 25 4 1 34.8% 54 8 2 2% 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=46 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=46 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.78 11 • contribution to this module 5 3 2 24 17 4 0 1 2 52.2% 8.7% 37% 0% 1.8) 2% n=46 av.=4.39 md=5 dev.=0.74 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree H Definitely disagree • module 3 2

### School of Mathematical Sciences Queen Mary Time Series (MTH6139/MTH6139P) University of London No. of responses = 14 (38.89%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mear Median Relative Frequencies of answers \_0 0% n n=No. of responses Question text Left pole Right pole av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Description of quality symbol Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the quality guideline. tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 10 71.4% 0 0% <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught n=14 av.=3.79 md=4 dev.=0.7 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 3 2 ٥ 21.4% 14 14 .3% 1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have n=14 Definitely agree av.=3.64 md=4 dev.=0.93 Definitely disagree ۲ been made clear in advance ٥ 5 0 8 21.4% 57.1% 1.3) 14.3% 7 1% 0% I have been given adequate feedback during the n=14 av.=3.79 md=4 dev.=0.8 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ Δ 6 42.9% 3 Π 21.4% 28.6% 7 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=14 av.=3.79 md=4 dev.=0.89 Definitely agree Definitely disagree . my studies on the module ð -5 2 6 42.9% 0 28.6% 14.3% 14 .3% 0% <sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=14 av.=3.86 md=4 dev.=1.03 Definitely agree Definitely disagree Õ 5 Δ 3 2 8 3 2 0 57.1% 21.4% 7 14 1.6) .1% 0% n=14 av.=3.57 md=4 dev.=0.85 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree module ē 5 4 6 3 0 28.6% 42 21.4% 7 0 1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate n=14 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.93 md=4 dev.=0.92 ۲ contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 8 0 28.6% 7 57.1% 7 .1% 0% 1.8) 1% n=14 av.=3.64 md=4 dev.=0.74 Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the Definitely agree Definitely disagree ⊢ module Õ

3