School of Mathematical Sciences

Advanced Computing in Finance (MTH773P)

No. of responses = 14 (58.33%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module



1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module



1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Mathematical Sciences

Complex Systems (MTH743P/MTH743U/MTH743N)
No. of responses = 19 (86.36%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module



1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module



1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Mathematical Sciences

Computational Statistics (MTHM731/MTH731U/MTH731P/MTH6931)

No. of responses = 11 (61.11%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



School of Mathematical Sciences

Financial Programming (MTH777P/MTH777U)

No. of responses = 20 (64.52%)

Queen Mary University of London

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



School of Mathematical Sciences

Portfolio Theory and Risk Management (MTH774P)

No. of responses = 10 (76.92%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module



1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module



1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module



School of Mathematical Sciences

Processes on Networks (MTHM751/MTH751P/MTH751U)

No. of responses = 17 (85%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module



School of Mathematical Sciences

Stochastic Calculus and Black-Scholes Theory (MTH772P)

No. of responses = 17 (65.38%)

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Rate this module

1.1) The module is well taught



1.2) The criteria used in marking on the module have been made clear in advance



1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the module



1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with my studies on the module



1.5) The module is well organised and runs smoothly



1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the module



1.7) The use of QMplus has made an appropriate contribution to this module



1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module