
Biological and Chemica	I Sciences, Animal and	d Plant Diversity, BIO211
------------------------	------------------------	---------------------------

### **Biological and Chemical Sciences** Queen Mary Animal and Plant Diversity (BIO211) University of London 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 19 (30.65%) Legend Std. Dev Absolute Frequencies of answers Mean Median Relative Frequencies of answers 50 0 25 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Scale Histogram . Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. • tolerance for the quality guideline. quality guideline. 1. Rate this module 12 <u>63.2</u> 0 0 0 0% 36.8% 09 <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught n=19 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.63 md=5 dev.=0.5 • 5 3 2 2 10.5% 10 0 0 36.8% 1.2) 52.6% 0% 0% n=19 av.=4.42 md=5 dev.=0.69 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree H • been made clear in advance a 2 Π 47.4% 36.8% 10.5% 5.3% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=19 av.=3.47 md=4 dev.=0.77 Definitely agree Ļ Definitely disagree module Õ 5 3 9 0 47.4% 10.5% 36.8% 5.3% 0% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=19 av.=3.63 md=4 Definitely agree t Definitely disagree F my studies on the module Õ dev.=0.76 3 5 Δ 2 12 3 0 0 63.2% 21.1% 15.8% <sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly 0% n=19 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.62 • 8 3 0 42.1% 36.8% 15.8% 5 3% 0% <sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning resources for the n=19 av.=4.16 md=4 dev.=0.9 Definitely agree 11 Definitely disagree • module 3 2 3 0 6 47.4% 15.8% 31.6% 5.3% 0% 1.7) n=19 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.85 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree • contribution to this module 3 2 5 Δ 14 73.7% 0 0 0 26.3% 1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=19 av.=4.26 md=4 dev.=0.45 Definitely agree Definitely disagree н • module 3 2 4

Biological and Chemical S Cell Biology (BIO111) 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 58 (26.73%)	Sciences					٢Ċ	Queen University of L	Mar	у
Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Left		Mean 0 500 50% 4 3	Median 0 25 0% 25 2 1 Histogram	<u>%</u>	Right pole	av.= md dev. ab.=	o. of respon: Mean Median =Std. Dev. Abstention	ISES
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	pelow the e.	Mean is tolerance guideline	within the rar e for the qual e.	nge of ity	D M	lean value is with uality guideline.	n the	
1. Rate this module									
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught		Definitely agree	13.8% 	39 67.2% 13.8%	2 3.4%	1 1.7% 1	Definitely disagree	n=58 av.=3 md=4 dev.=	3.88
<sup>1.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	9 15.5% 5	23 17 39.7% 29.3%	8 13.8% 2	1.7% 1.7%	Definitely disagree	n=58 av.=3 md=4 dev.=	3.53
<sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	4 6.9% 5	13 21 22.4% 36.2% 4 3	15 25.9%	5 8.6%	Definitely disagree	n=58 av.=2 md=3 dev.=	2.93
<sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	and support with	Definitely agree	6.9% 5	18 23 31% 39.7%	10 17.2%	3 5.2% 1	Definitely disagree	n=58 av.=3 md=3 dev.=	3.17
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	12 21.1%	33 9 57.9% 15.8%	3 5.3% 2		Definitely disagree	n=57 av.=3 md=4 dev.=	3.95
<sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree		32 10 55.2% 17.2%	3 5.2%		Definitely disagree	n=58 av.=3 md=4 dev.=	3.95
<ul> <li><sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module</li> </ul>	n appropriate	Definitely agree	19 32.8%	21 13 36.2% 22.4%	3 5.2%	2 3.4% 1	Definitely disagree	n=58 av.=3 md=4 dev.=	3.9
<sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the que module	uality of the	Definitely agree	5 8.6%	33 - 17 56.9% 29.3% 4 3	1 1.7% 2	2 3.4% 1	Definitely disagree	n=58 av.=3 md=4 dev.=	3.66

Biological and Chemical Sciences, Cell Biology, BIO111



### **Biological and Chemical Sciences** Queen Mary Chromosomes and Gene Functions (BMD111) University of London 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 91 (40.27%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mean Median Relative Frequencies of answers 50 0 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. • tolerance for the quality guideline. quality guideline. 1. Rate this module 12 13.3% <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught n=90 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.92 md=4 dev.=0.89 ē 5 3 2 12 28 34 37.8% 12 1.2) 13.3% 31.1% 13.3% 4.4% n=90 av.=3.36 md=3 dev.=1.02 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree н been made clear in advance Õ 30 33% 29 31.9% 11 14 15.4% 7.7% 12.1% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=91 av.=3.26 md=3 dev.=1.1 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • • module Õ 5 32 15 31 9 16.7% 35.6% 34.4% 10% 3 1.4) .3% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=90 av.=3.52 md=4 dev.=1 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ my studies on the module Õ 5 3 Δ 2 24 50 10 0 26.4% 54.9% <sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly 11% 0% n=91 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.83 • 5 3 23 49 12 6 53.8% 13.2% 6 6% 1 1% 25 1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the n=91 Definitely agree Definitely disagree n=91 av.=3.96 md=4 dev.=0.87 ۲ module ē 3 2 40 44% 6 42 3 0 6.6% 3 46.2% 3% 0% 1.7) n=91 av.=4.33 md=4 dev.=0.75 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree • \_ contribution to this module 5 Δ 3 2 46 1.1% 11 6 51 12.2% 6 3.3% 26.7% 1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=90 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.91 md=4 dev.=0.98 e module Ĩ 3

Biological and Chemical S Clinical Pharmacology (BMD3 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 14 (20.29%)				<u>k</u>	Queen University of Lo	Mary
Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of a Relative Frequencies of a Le		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 25% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=1 md=1 dev.= ab.=,	o. of responses Aean Vedian Std. Dev. Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is <b>e</b> quality guideli	below the ne.	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	🚺 Mea	in value is withi ity guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module						
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught		Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.36 md=4.5 dev.=0.74
<sup>2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=3.21 dev.=1.2
<sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate fee module	edback during the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=3.5 md=3 dev.=0.7
<sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	3 21.4% 28.6% 35.7% 14.3% 5 4 3 2	1	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=3.57 md=3.5 dev.=1.0
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised a	ind runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$5 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ 14.3\% \\ 42.9\% \\ 7.1\% \\ 7.1\% \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=3.79 md=3.5 dev.=1.0
<sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning r module	esources for the	Definitely agree	7     6     0     0       53.8%     46.2%     0%     0%       6     0     0       7     0     0       6     0     0       7     0     0       6     0     0       6     0     0       6     0     0       6     0     0       6     0     0       7     0     0       7     0     0       6     0     0       9	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=13 av.=4.54 md=5 dev.=0.5
<sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	an appropriate	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.6
<sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the c module	quality of the	Definitely agree	5  4  3  2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=14 av.=4.21 md=4 dev.=0.7

Biological and Chemical Sciences, Clinical Pharmacology, BMD372

### **Biological and Chemical Sciences** Queen Mary Fundamentals of Spectroscopy (CHE104) University of London 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 33 (31.73%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mean Median Relative Frequencies of answers 50 0 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. • tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 8 24.2% 5 15.2% 4 1<u>2.1%</u> <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught n=33 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.12 md=3 dev.=1.11 ē 5 13 21.2% 39.4% 1.2) 15.2% 15.2% 9.1% n=33 av.=3.36 md=4 dev.=1.19 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ н been made clear in advance Õ a 11 Δ Π 34 4% 12 28.1% 25% . 5% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=32 av.=3.78 md=4 dev.=1.01 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ¥ 1 module Õ -5 13 8 24.2% 9.1% 39.4% 12.1% 15.2% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=33 av.=3.15 md=3 Definitely agree Definitely disagree F my studies on the module Õ dev.=1.23 5 Δ 3 2 1 13 6 21 <u>39.4%</u> 18.2% .2% 6.1% <sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly 15.2% n=33 av.=3.36 md=4 dev.=1.17 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ 1 6 10 13 3 39.4% 18. 30.3% 91% 3% 1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the n=33 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.52 md=3 dev.=1 X module Õ 3 2 9 17 12.5% 3.1% 28.1% 53.1% 3.1% 1.7) n=32 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.92 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree • contribution to this module 5 Δ 3 2 14 42.4% 8 5 24 15.2% 15.2% 2% 1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=33 av.=3.03 md=3 dev.=1.16 Definitely agree Definitely disagree e F module ē

Biological and Chemical S Health Psychology (PSY213) 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 20 (40%)	Sciences				<u>k</u>	Queen University of L	) IV	lary
Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of an Relative Frequencies of an Lef		Mean Med 0 50 0 0% 50% 0% 4 3 2 Histor	25 25%	Right pole	av= md dev ab.=	lo. of r Mean =Media =Std. =Abste	Dev. ntion
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is guality guidelin	below the ne.	<ul> <li>Mean is within</li> <li>tolerance for th guideline.</li> </ul>	the range on the quality	of 🚺 N	lean value is with Juality guideline.	in th	e
1. Rate this module								
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught		Definitely agree	16 3 80% 15% 5 4	1 0 5% 09 	% 0%	Definitely disagree		n=20 av.=4.75 md=5 dev.=0.55
<sup>.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	7 6 35% 30% 5 4	3 15% 20 3 2	% 0%	Definitely disagree		n=20 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=1.1
<sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate fee module	edback during the	Definitely agree			% 0%	Definitely disagree		n=20 av.=4.05 md=4 dev.=0.9
<sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	5 5 4		% 0%	Definitely disagree		n=20 av.=3.9 md=4 dev.=0.79
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised a	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	14 5 70% 25%	1 0 5% 09 3 2	% 0%	Definitely disagree		n=20 av.=4.65 md=5 dev.=0.5
<sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c}         10 & 9 \\         50\% & 45\% \\         \hline         5 & 4       \end{array} $	1 0 5% 09 3 2	% 0%	Definitely disagree		n=20 av.=4.45 md=4.5 dev.=0.6
<ul> <li><sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module</li> </ul>	n appropriate	Definitely agree	12 5 66.7% 27.8%	1 0 5.6% 09 3 2	% 0%	Definitely disagree		n=18 av.=4.61 md=5 dev.=0.6
<sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the q module	uality of the	Definitely agree		$-\frac{1}{1}$ $-\frac{1}{0}$	0	Definitely disagree		n=20 av.=4.45 md=4.5 dev.=0.6

Biological and Chemical Sciences, Health Psychology, PSY213

Biological and Chemical S Membrane Proteins (SBS922) 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 25 (28.41%)					<u>k</u>	Queen University of L	N Nondor	lary
Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of an Relative Frequencies of an Lef		Mean Median 0%50%0% 432 Histogram	25 25% Rigt	t pole	av.= md= dev. ab.=	o. of r Mean =Std. Abste	Dev. ntion
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is guality guidelin	below the e.	<ul> <li>Mean is within the</li> <li>tolerance for the q guideline.</li> </ul>	range of uality	Mea 🔮 qual	n value is with ity guideline.	in th	9
1. Rate this module								
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught		Definitely agree		<u>\$% 0% 0</u> %	<u>6                                    </u>	Definitely disagree	•	n=25 av.=4.32 md=4 dev.=0.75
<sup>.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	9 37.5% 33.3% 16. 5 4 3	7 <u>% 12.5% 0</u> % H	<u>6                                    </u>	Definitely disagree		n=24 av.=3.96 md=4 dev.=1.0
<sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate fee module	edback during the	Definitely agree		3 1 1 1 2% 4% 4% 3 2 1	[	Definitely disagree		n=25 av.=4.12 md=4 dev.=1.0
<sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree		0% 0% 49	6 [	Definitely disagree		n=25 av.=4.08 md=4 dev.=1
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised a	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	14 9 56% 36% 4 5 4 3		<u>6                                    </u>	Definitely disagree		n=25 av.=4.44 md=5 dev.=0.7
<sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning r module	esources for the	Definitely agree		2 1 0 % 4% 0%	6 [	Definitely disagree		n=25 av.=4.32 md=4 dev.=0.8
<sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	an appropriate	Definitely agree			6 [			n=25 av.=4.32 md=5 dev.=0.8
<sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the q module	uality of the	Definitely agree		3 2 1 9% 8% 49 1	<u>6                                    </u>			n=25 av.=4.04 md=4 dev.=1.1

Biological and Chemical Sciences, Membrane Proteins, SBS922

Biological and Chemical S	Sciences			、 <b>土</b>	0	N. 4-
Molecular Basis of Disease (SE 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 24 (20.34%)	BS929)			<u>hQ4</u>	Queen University of Lo	IVIAry
Legend	Absolute Frequencies of Relative Frequencies of L		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0 0 25 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=  md= dev: ab.=	o. of responses Weai Median =Std. Dev. Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is quality guideli	s below the ine.	<ul> <li>Mean is within the range</li> <li>tolerance for the quality guideline.</li> </ul>	e of 🚺 Mea 💆 qual	n value is withi ity guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module						
<sup>1)</sup> The module is well taught		Definitely agree	10 41.7% 45.8% 12.5% 5 4 3	0 0 0% 0% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.69
<sup>2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 1 0% 4.2% 2 1	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=4.33 md=4.5 dev.=0.9
<sup>3)</sup> I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<u>0</u>	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.7
<sup>4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=4.5 md=5 dev.=0.7
<sup>5)</sup> The module is well organised ar	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	16 5 3 66.7% 20.8% 12.5%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=4.54 md=5 dev.=0.7
<sup>.6)</sup> I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	13     9     2       54.2%     37.5%     8.3%       5     4     3		- — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	n=24 av.=4.46 md=5 dev.=0.6
<sup>7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	n appropriate	Definitely agree	$\frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{9}\frac{1}{2}$	1 0	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=4.33 md=4.5 dev.=0.8
<sup>.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the que module	uality of the	Definitely agree	$\frac{1}{13}$ $\frac{1}{7}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ $$	<u> </u>	Definitely disagree	n=23 av.=4.43 md=5 dev.=0.7

Biological and Chemical Sciences, Molecular Basis of Disease, SBS929

# **Biological and Chemical Sciences** Queen Mary Molecular Clinical Microbiology (SBC350) University of London 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 10 (24.39%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mean Median Relative Frequencies of answers 50 0 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Scale Histogram . Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. • **آ**آ quality guideline. tolerance for the quality guideline. 1. Rate this module 6 0 0 10% <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught n=10 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.63 11 • 5 3 2 1.2) 10% 20% 20% 40% 10% n=10 av.=2.8 md=2.5 dev.=1.23 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree 1 been made clear in advance 8 5 Δ 30% 40% 10% 10% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=10 av.=2.7 md=2.5 dev.=1.16 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module 1 5 2 0 30% 10% 0% 1.4) 20% 40% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=10 av.=3.7 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree my studies on the module Õ dev.=0.95 3 2 5 Δ 4 3 3 0 0 1.5) 40% 30% 30% 0% 0% The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=10 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.88 • 5 4 0 0 . 40% 10% 0 0% 50% 1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the n=10 Definitely agree V Definitely disagree • av.=4.4 md=4.5 dev.=0.7 module 3 2 6 0 60% 20% 10% 10% 0% 1.7) n=10 av.=4.3 md=5 dev.=1.06 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree F • contribution to this module 5 3 2 0 2 20% 10% 1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=10 av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=0.92 Definitely agree • Definitely disagree e module ē

Biological and Chemical S Organic Synthesis (CHE302U) 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 19 (21.11%)				<u>k</u>	Queen	Mary
Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of an Relative Frequencies of an Left		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=1 md=1 dev.= ab.=/	b. of responses Median Std. Dev. Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is t quality guideling	pelow the e.	<ul> <li>Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.</li> </ul>	e Mea	an value is withi lity guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module						
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught		Definitely agree	10         8         1         0           52.6%         42.1%         5.3%         0%           5         4         3         2	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.47 md=5 dev.=0.61
<sup>1.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	7     8     4     0       36.8%     42.1%     21.1%     0%       4     0     0%       5     4     3	0 0% 1		n=19 av.=4.16 md=4 dev.=0.76
<sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	4         7         8         0           21.1%         36.8%         42.1%         0%           5         4         3         2	0 0%	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=3.79 md=4 dev.=0.79
<sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	7 $5$ $7$ $036.8%$ $26.3%$ $36.8%$ $0%5$ $4$ $3$ $2$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0.88
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised a	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.32 md=4 dev.=0.58
<sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.21 md=4 dev.=0.85
<sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	n appropriate	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0% 0% 1		n=19 av.=4.42 md=5 dev.=0.69
<sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the q module	uality of the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0%	Definitely disagree	n=19 av.=4.26 md=4 dev.=0.56

Biological and Chemical Sciences, Organic Synthesis, CHE302U

# **Biological and Chemical Sciences** Queen Mary Population and Chromosome Genetics (SBC611) University of London 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 10 (22.73%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mean Median Relative Frequencies of answers 50 0 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Scale Histogram . Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. • tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 2 20% 0 10% <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught n=10 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.9 md=4 dev.=1.2 ē 5 3 2 0 0 1.2) 50% 30% 20% 0% 0% n=10 av.=4.3 md=4.5 dev.=0.82 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree н • been made clear in advance 5 Δ 3 3 0 Π 40% 30 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=10 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.88 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • module 5 0 0 40% 0 0% 1.4) 40% 20% I have received sufficient advice and support with n=10 av.=4.2 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree • my studies on the module dev.=0.79 5 Δ 3 2 2 4 2 0 10% <sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly 30% 40% 20% 0% n=10 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.8 md=4 dev.=1.14 ē 5 4 5 1 0 0 40% 50% 10% 0 0% 1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the n=10 Definitely agree n=10 av.=4.3 md=4 dev.=0.67 t Definitely disagree \_ • module 3 2 0 0 40% 50% 0% 10% 0% 1.7) n=10 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.92 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree • contribution to this module 5 2 2 22.2% 0 3 33.3% 11.1% 33.3% 1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=9 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.78 md=4 dev.=1.2 e module ē


Biological and Chemical S Practical Chemistry (CHE201) 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 42 (35.29%)	Sciences			<u>L</u>	QUEEN University of L	ndon	lary
Legend Question text			Mean Median 0 50 0 25 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av= md= dev. ab.=	o. of re Mean Media Std. [ Abster	Dev. htion
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is t quality guideling	pelow the e.	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	P Me	an value is with ality guideline.	in the	9
1. Rate this module							
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught		Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 4.9%	Definitely disagree		n=41 av.=3.68 md=4 dev.=1.1
<sup>1.2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 5% 1	Definitely disagree		n=40 av.=4.05 md=4.5 dev.=1.2
<sup>3)</sup> I have been given adequate fee module	edback during the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 7.3%	Definitely disagree	<b>9</b>	n=41 av.=3.85 md=4 dev.=1.2
<sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	11 10 9 10 26.8% 24.4% 22% 24.4% 5 4 3 2	1 2.4%	Definitely disagree		n=41 av.=3.49 md=4 dev.=1.2
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised a	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9.8%	Definitely disagree	ĨŤ	n=41 av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=1.2
<sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 4.9%	Definitely disagree	ĨŤ	n=41 av.=3.68 md=4 dev.=1.2
<sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	in appropriate	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1			n=41 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.9
<sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the q module	uality of the	Definitely agree	5 4 3 2	4.9%	Definitely disagree		n=41 av.=3.66 md=4 dev.=1.1


Biological and Chemical Sciences, Practical Chemistry, CHE201


### **Biological and Chemical Sciences** Queen Mary Problem Solving in Chemistry (CHE205) University of London 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 32 (36.36%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mean Median Relative Frequencies of answers 50 0 25 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. • tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 6 <u>18.8%</u> 9 28.1% 5 15.6% <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught n=32 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=3.03 md=3 dev.=1.28 ۲ ē 5 6 13 40.6% 6 3 18.8% 1.2) 18.8% 9.4% 12.5% n=32 av.=3.44 md=4 dev.=1.27 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree Ŀ been made clear in advance Õ 10 1.3% 8 6 Δ 31 18.8% 12.5% 12.5% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=32 av.=3.44 md=4 dev.=1.34 Definitely agree Definitely disagree module Õ -5 1 9 6 8 12.5% 28.1% 25% 18.8% 15.6% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=32 av.=3.03 md=3 dev.=1.28 Definitely agree Definitely disagree н my studies on the module Õ Δ 5 3 2 1 3 12 3 9 9.4% 9.4% 37.5% 28.1% 15.6% <sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=32 av.=2.97 md=3 dev.=1.31 Definitely agree Definitely disagree F : 10 6 21.9% 12.5% 31.3% 18.8% 15.6% 1.6) n=32 av.=3.03 md=3 dev.=1.31 I had access to good learning resources for the Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ module ē 3 5 16.1% 11 35.5% 12.9% 16.1% 19.4% 1.7) n=31 av.=3.29 md=4 dev.=1.37 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution Õ to this module 5 Δ 3 2 5 16.1% 5 16.1% a a 9.7% 29% 29% 1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=31 av.=2.87 md=3 dev.=1.28 Definitely agree V Definitely disagree module

Biological and Chemical S Psychology of Creativity (SBC 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 24 (38.71%)				<u>k</u> Q	Queen University of Lo	Mary
Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lefi		Mean Median 0 50 0 25 0% 60% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=h md=l dev.= ab.=/	o. of responses Aean Vedian Std. Dev. Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin	below the g	Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.	Mea 🖬 Mea	n value is withi ity guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module						
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught		Definitely agree	8 7 3 33.3% 29.2% 12.5% 16.7% 5 4 3 2	2 8.3%	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.63 md=4 dev.=1.33
<sup>2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	9 9 9 3 0 37.5% 37.5% 12.5% 0% 5 4 3 2	3 12.5%	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=1.3
<sup>.3)</sup> I have been given adequate fee module	edback during the	Definitely agree	10 7 2 3 41.7% 29.2% 8.3% 12.5%		Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.83 md=4 dev.=1.3
<sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advic my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12.5%	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=1.3
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised a	and runs smoothly	Definitely agree	4 3 7 7 16.7% 12.5% 29.2% 29.2% 5 4 3 2		Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=2.92 md=3 dev.=1.2
<sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning r module	esources for the	Definitely agree	7         8         8         1           29.2%         33.3%         33.3%         4.2%           5         4         3         2	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.88 md=4 dev.=0.9
<sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	an appropriate	Definitely agree	5 20.8% 50% 20.8% 4.2% 5 5 4 3 2	1 4.2% 1	Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.79 md=4 dev.=0.9
<sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the o module	quality of the	Definitely agree	7 5 5 3 29.2% 20.8% 20.8% 12.5%		Definitely disagree	n=24 av.=3.33 md=3.5 dev.=1.4

Biological and Chemical Sciences, Psychology of Creativity, SBC306







-5

### **Biological and Chemical Sciences** Queen Mary Techniques in Biomedical Sciences (BMD219) University of London 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 46 (25.41%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mean Median Relative Frequencies of answers 50 0 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. • tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 17 8 17.4% 16 34.8% <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught n=46 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.22 md=3 dev.=0.96 ē 5 3 14 14 10 30.4% 30.4% 21.7% 1.2) 10.9% 6.5% n=46 av.=3.17 md=3 dev.=1.1 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree н been made clear in advance Õ 19 1.3% 11 , 4% 41 23.9% 4.3% 17 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=46 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=2.76 md=3 dev.=1.04 ¥ module 1 5 3 15 15 9 8.7% 32.6% 32.6% 19.6% 6.5% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=46 av.=3.17 md=3 Definitely agree Definitely disagree н my studies on the module Õ dev.=1.06 5 Δ 3 1 25 9 3 10.9% 54.3% 19.6% 6.5% 8.7% <sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly n=46 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ۲ av.=3.52 md=4 dev.=1.07 ē 5 8 10 15 8 4 17.8% 22. 33.3% 17.8% 8.9% 1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the n=45 av.=3.22 md=3 dev.=1.2 Definitely agree t Definitely disagree ۲ module ē 5 3 12 26.1% 13 28.3% 14 3 6.5% 4 30.4% 8.7% 1.7) n=46 av.=3.59 md=4 dev.=1.17 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree contribution Õ to this module 5 3 2 14 30.4% 15 32.6% 9 19.6% 8.7% 8.7% 1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=46 Definitely disagree Definitely agree av.=3.11 md=3 dev.=1.1 e F module ē

Biological and Chemical S The Human Cell (BMD115) 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 90 (36.73%)	Sciences			<u>v</u>	Queen University of Lo	Mary
Legend Question text	Absolute Frequencies of ar Relative Frequencies of ar Lef		Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25% 4 3 2 1 Histogram	Right pole	av.=1 md=1 dev.= ab.=/	o. of response Median Std. Dev. Abstention
Description of quality symbol	Mean value is l quality guidelin		<ul> <li>Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.</li> </ul>	🊺 Mea 🗮 qual	n value is withi ity guideline.	n the
1. Rate this module						
<sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught		Definitely agree	33 36.7% 51.1% 8.9% 3.3%	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=90 av.=4.2 md=4 dev.=0.
<sup>2)</sup> The criteria used in marking on been made clear in advance	the module have	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 2.2%	Definitely disagree	n=90 av.=3.4 md=3 dev.=0.
<sup>1.3)</sup> I have been given adequate fee module	dback during the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=90 av.=3.3 md=3 dev.=0.
<sup>1.4)</sup> I have received sufficient advice my studies on the module	e and support with	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=88 av.=3.6 md=4 dev.=0.
<sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised a	nd runs smoothly	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 1.1%	Definitely disagree	n=90 av.=4.0 md=4 dev.=0.
<sup>1.6)</sup> I had access to good learning re module	esources for the	Definitely agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=89 av.=4.1 md=4 dev.=0.
<sup>1.7)</sup> The use of QMplus has made a contribution to this module	n appropriate	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0% 1	Definitely disagree	n=89 av.=4.4 md=5 dev.=0.
<sup>1.8)</sup> Overall I am satisfied with the q module	uality of the	Definitely agree	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Definitely disagree	n=89 av.=4.0 md=4 dev.=0.

Biological and Chemical Sciences, The Human Cell, BMD115

#### **Biological and Chemical Sciences** Queen Mary The Microbial World and Humans (BMD117) University of London 2016-17 SEM 1 No. of responses = 64 (38.32%) Legend Absolute Frequencies of answers Std. Dev Mean Median Relative Frequencies of answers 50 0 25 n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 0% 0% Question text Left pole Right pole 5 Scale Histogram Quality index Mean value is below the Mean is within the range of Mean value is within the Description of quality symbol quality guideline. • tolerance for the quality quality guideline. guideline. 1. Rate this module 32 50.8% 5 .9% 0 0% <sup>1.1)</sup> The module is well taught n=63 Definitely agree Definitely disagree av.=4.29 md=4 dev.=0.68 × • 5 3 2 21 32.8% 29 9 0 1.2) 45.3% 14.1% 7.8% 0% n=64 av.=4.03 md=4 dev.=0.89 The criteria used in marking on the module have Definitely agree Definitely disagree • been made clear in advance 19 29.7% 23 35.9% 17 5 Π 7 26.6% 1.3) I have been given adequate feedback during the n=64 Definitely agree ¥١ Definitely disagree av.=3.81 md=4 dev.=0.92 module Õ 5 28 15 19 0 23.4% 43.8% 29.7% 3.1% 0% 1.4) I have received sufficient advice and support with n=64 av.=3.88 md=4 Definitely agree Definitely disagree ¥1 my studies on the module Õ dev.=0.81 5 Δ 3 2 30 48.4% 0 26 6 0 41.9% 9 <sup>1.5)</sup> The module is well organised and runs smoothly 0% n=62 Definitely agree Ż Definitely disagree av.=4.32 md=4 dev.=0.65 -• 5 3 29 21 11 0 46.8% 33.9% 17 7% 1 6% 0% 1.6) I had access to good learning resources for the n=62 Definitely agree n=62 av.=4.26 md=4 dev.=0.81 Definitely disagree • module 3 2 35 20 0 31.3% 10.9% 3.1% 54.7% 0% 1.7) n=64 av.=4.38 md=5 dev.=0.81 The use of QMplus has made an appropriate Definitely agree Definitely disagree Р • contribution to this module 5 3 2 26 40.6% 10 15.6% 28 0 0 43.8% 1.8) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the n=64 av.=4.28 md=4 dev.=0.72 Definitely agree **₽**Ť Definitely disagree -• module